## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION Public Case Synopses April 2014

	Case	Outcome	Description
1	13-xxxx	Sent to Coaching	7-401 NORMAL VEHICLE OPERATION
		<ul><li>No coaching or Policy Violation</li></ul>	Complainant alleges an officer in a squad "buzzed" him on his bicycle by driving much too closely. Complainant provided a video shot from his
		I officy violation	handlebars.
2	13-xxxx	Sent to Coaching	5-105(2) PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT
~	10 MM	– No coaching or	Complainant alleges that Officer 1 refused to take a police report about a
		policy violation	theft and refused to transfer Complainant to a supervisor.
3	13-xxxx	Sent to Coaching	5-105(3) PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT
		– No coaching or	Officer 1 was investigating the disappearance of Victim. It is unclear how,
		policy violation	but Complainant's phone number came up in the investigation.
			Complainant lives in a southern state and has no connection to Victim or
			Minnesota. Complainant alleges that Officer 1 called and harassed her,
			threatening to arrest her.
4	13-xxxx	Preliminary	5-301 USE OF FORCE
		Investigation –	Complainant alleges he was stopped and ordered to exit his vehicle to be
		Dismissed, No	arrested for driving with a suspended license. Complainant alleges Officer
		Basis	1 bent his arm back, causing his muscles in his shoulder to tear.
			Complainant alleges Officer 1 did significant damage to his car while
			searching it. Complainant alleges Officer 1 repeatedly accused him of
			looking for prostitutes and refused to acknowledge his disability. Complainant alleges he was arrested for a misdemeanor without cause.
5	14-xxxx	Sent to Coaching	7-401 NORMAL VEHICLE OPERATION
J	14-XXXX	– No coaching or	Complainant alleges that officers in a squad "trail[ed] [Complainant] but
		Policy Violation	changed in the intersection without signaling." Complainant alleges that
		Toney Violation	the officers "also cut two people off all without [their] lights on."
6	14-xxxx	Dismissed – No	5-104 IMPARTIAL POLICING
		basis	Complainant alleges that he is being harassed by police about a suspect
			that he does not know. A CAPRS report exists from the date in question
			that lists both Suspect and Complainant, and lists Complainant's name as
			an alias of Suspect, who has an outstanding felony warrant. Complainant
			alleges that he has BCA paperwork demonstrating that he is not Suspect.
7	13-xxxx	Sent to Coaching	5-105(2) PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT
		<ul> <li>No coaching or</li> </ul>	Complainant alleges that she went to the 2nd precinct to report an
		Policy Violation	assault. Complainant alleges that Officer 1 refused to take a statement,
		A 1	because Complainant could not identify the attacker.
8	14-xxxx	Administrative	5-301 USE OF FORCE
		Investigation –	Complainant alleges that officers "jumped on [his] back and punched
		Withdrawn	[him] in the head and in the face." Complainant alleges that his "head is busted" and that his knee "is swollen." Complainant was arrested after a
			short motor vehicle and foot chase.
			SHOLL HIGHER AND TOOL CHASE.

## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

## Public Case Synopses

## April 2014

9	14-xxxx	Dismissed – No	5-105(2) PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT
		basis	Complainant alleges she is being stalked and harassed by a man she met
			on the internet. Complainant alleges that the man has broken into her
			home and left items sexual in nature. Complainant alleges that the stalker
			sexually assaulted her. Complainant alleges that she was told that
			"leaving [sexually inappropriate] things [in her home] wasn't a crime,"
			that the DNA lab that would analyze her sexual assault kit "was backed up
			with real crimes," and that because she had a "consensual online
			relationship to begin with [suspect], it would be difficult for
			[Complainant] to prove that [entering her home] wasn't consensual."
			Complainant felt that officers were "dismissive, demeaning, and sexist."
10	14-xxxx	Sent to coaching	5-104.01 PROFESSIONAL POLICING
		– coached, no	Officers were responding to a domestic on Complainant's block. The
		policy violation	house number listed in the incident report was XXXX; Complainant lives
			several houses away from that address. Complainant alleges that officers
			"pounded" on Complainant's door early in the morning. Complainant
			woke up and opened the door. Complainant alleges he asked "what was
			going on" and an officer responded, "you tell me." Complainant alleges he
			was placed in handcuffs and was told to put shoes on but could not locate
			them. Complainant alleges that one of the officers stated that "they'd take
			[Complainant] to the car without shoes if that's what [he] wanted."
			Complainant was told to put boots on but could not while handcuffed.
			Complainant alleges he repeatedly asked why officers were at his home,
			but no officers would respond. After talking to Complainant and his
			fiancé, the officers determined that they were at the wrong house and
			Complainant was released. Officers went to the correct address.
			Complainant alleges that no officer "gave [Complainant] his name or
			badge number."