POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses November 2018

	Outcome	Description
1	Sent to coaching, officer coached, no policy violation	5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that she saw a woman being harassed by a male who was calling the woman a "b**ch" and a "w**re." Afterward, Complainant contends that she walked to an officer who was nearbyabout a block awayand alerted him to the woman being harassed. However, she claims that the officer sighed and appeared to not want to be "bothered." Complainant asserts that the officer asked if she called the police, to which she replied "no" as she knew that officers were nearby. Next, Complainant contends that the officer asked her for a description of the man and woman, and Complainant replied that a "female is being chased by a male" and also that they "aren't rich people[,]if that is what [the officer] was wondering" about. At this point, Complainant claims that the officer walked away from her and Complainant told the officer, "Nice, MPD. 'To serve and protect,'" to which the officer replied, "How can I find them if I don't know what they look like?" After this, Complainant asserts that she requested the officer's badge number.
2	Sent to coaching, officer coached and policy violation found	7-406.04(7) Role of the Pursuit Supervisor Pursuit policy violation.
3	Sent to coaching, officer coached, no policy violation	5-104.01 – Professional Policing Complainant alleges that an officer initially refused her attempts to file a police report against an ex who had violated her restraining order against him and was otherwise rude with her and others seeking her assistance.
4	Sent to coaching, officer coached and policy violation found, officer attended refresher training	5-105(C)(1) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant asserts that he was riding his bike in route to a park when he passed a SUV to discover that Officer 1 kneeling by a trash can was pointing a gun at it. Afterwards, Complainant alleges that a Officer 2 "walked over to [him] and asked if [he] was 'f*c#ng stupid,'" to which Complainant replied that he did not see the gun till after he passed Officer 1. Further, Complainant contends that he has disabilities and would like for the officer to apologize.
5	Dismissed, no basis	5-104.01 – Professional Policing Complainant alleges that she was attempting to attend to a woman who had passed out at a bar and was treated unprofessionally by an officer who responded. It is also alleged that the officer only recorded a part of his response to the scene and not the inception of it per policy.
6	Sent to preliminary investigation and sent to coaching, no coaching or policy violation	5-303 – Authorized Use of Force It is alleged that an officer (s) committed police abuse against a juvenile. Potential pursuit violation.

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses

November 2018

~	a	November 2018
7	Sent to coaching, officer not identified.	5-104.01 – Professional Policing Complainant alleges that she was alarmed by a crash outside her home and saw police chasing someone in her neighbor's yard. According to Complainant, she went outside to check on the safety of her little girls, so she ran out to her car. However, Complainant asserts that she uncovered that her car had been crashed into and also had been pushed into another car.
		Complainant asserts that the officers were rude and inconsiderate to her, saying such things to her like she "should be happy" that the officers had apprehended a drug dealer despite her crashed vehicle.
		Complainant also states that officers told her that she could tow her car; however, she claims that the officers towed it. Prior to her car being towed, Complainant claims that she was unable to get all her belongings out of her car due to the damaged trunk. Later, Complainant claims that she received a notice from the impound lot telling her that her totaled car would been sold if not retrieved in 15 days.
		Lastly, Complainant contends that she suffered a damaged and impounded vehicle due to officers' not "following the police pursuit ordinance."
8	Sent to investigation, sent to Review Panel, Panel found no merit, no discipline imposed	5-303 Authorized Use of Force Complainant contends that her son was arrested, and that during his arrest, officer "released [their] K9 and allowed it to bite [her] son all over his body." Also, Complainant alleges that the cuffs were put on his wrists so tightly that cuts could be seen, and also that his face was swollen.
9	Dismissed, no basis	5-104.01 Professional Policing
		Complainant alleges that he noticed others assisting a man who had fallen who was "visibly intoxicatedand had cuts on his head" and was possibly concussed. According to Complainant, "every responding officer on the scenetreated [the man] very poorly." Complainant that one officer, who placed his hand over his mouth, stated the name of the injured man, and another officer told the man, "Remember me from last week?" After this, Complainant asserts two of the officers "violently" and "aggresive[ly]" grabbed the man and forced him up with, "absolutely no care to the fact that he was injured or potentially concussed" and dragged him onto a stretcher, where he was eventually handcuffed. Complainant also contends that the officers failed to ask the man what happened and were generally very disrespectful; he also asserts that the race of the man, who was black, may have factored into their treatment of him.
10	Sent to coaching, officer coached	7-406.04(7) Role of the Pursuit Supervisor It is alleged that an officer failed to air his speed during a pursuit and that the
	and policy violation	supervisor failed to write required reports.