

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses

May 2018

Outcome		Description
1	Dismissed, no basis	5-303 Authorized Use of Force Complainant alleges that he was hit with a baton and his money taken.
2	Sent to coaching, officer coached and policy violation	2-500 MPD In-Service Training It is alleged that the officer failed to attend mandatory training.
3	Sent to coaching, no coaching or policy violation	5-105(C)(1) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant contends that he was behind another vehicle at an intersection when the vehicle failed to go during a green light, instead stopping at the intersection. Complainant contends that other vehicles on other lanes proceeded through the light, prompting Complainant to honk at the driver of the vehicle in front of him. According to Complainant, when a lane opened up, he turned into it and bypassed the vehicle. Upon doing so, Complainant alleges that the driver of the vehicle told him, "you got a problem[,] a**h**e," and proceeded to pull out a badge, which Complainant believed to be a Minneapolis Police Department badge but wasn't sure. After this, Complainant contends that he asked the driver for his badge number but the driver instead drove away.
4	Dismissed, no basis	5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that a neighbor was blocking her alley with his parked vehicle and requested that officers inspect the area. Upon calling for police assistance, Complainant claims that she was told that an officer would speak with her neighbor and her neighbor and take a report. An hour-and-a-half after calling, Complainant asserts that she called 911 again and asked why no officer had responded but the 911 operator told her that an officer had responded; however, the operator informed her that no notes from her prior discussion existed, particularly those concerning an officer speaking with Complainant.
5	Dismissed, no basis	5-303 Authorized Use of Force It is alleged that Complainant was "tazed while sitting on [his] front steps" upon being asked for his identity and then thrown down on "the cement/grass." After his arrest, he claims he was taken to a hospital. He also alleges that "none of the officers had their bodycams on."
6	Sent to coaching, no policy violation or coaching	5-105(A)(4) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that the officer was extremely rude when issuing a traffic ticket, acted rushed, and did not let her know what the traffic citation was for. Complainant states the citation was for not wearing a seatbelt, even though "he clearly saw me having my seatbelt on." Also, it is alleged that the officer opened Complainant's passenger door in violation of the 4th Amendment.
7	Sent to investigation, sent to coaching, policy violations and coaching occurred	7-314 Domestic Abuse Complainant claims that her sister was hitting her and gave police officers who responded an "old non[-]enforceable court order." Complainant states that the order is non-enforceable because it involves her daughter and her sister, who does not have custody of her daughter. Despite her attempts to tell the police of the non-enforceable order, Complainant asserts that the police came to her home and arrested her. She also claims that an officer accused her of touching the officer.
8	Sent to investigation, sent to coaching, policy violation and coaching	7-406 Role of Officers in Primary Pursuit Vehicle It is alleged that the officer failed to assist or inspect for injuries occupants of a recently-crashed car, instead opting to pursue the driver of the vehicle involved in the hit-and-run who was driving the wrong way on a major freeway.

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses

May 2018

9	Dismissed, failure to cooperate	<p>No Officer Identified – No Allegation Attached According to Complainant, he was "detained" by police and later, when his items were returned to him, he was short five-hundred dollars.</p>
10	Sent to coaching	<p>5-105(A)(4) Professional Code of Conduct According to Complainant, an officer in an unmarked squad car was behind him and at a stop light. While at the light and just prior to it turning green, Complainant claims that the officer turned on his lights, but not his sirens, and proceeded to drive to the right of Complainant's vehicle. Upon turning around Complainant's vehicle, Complainant contends that the officer attempted to make a U-turn, effectively cutting off Complainant's vehicle and another person next him. According to Complainant, the officer's lights were not visible when his car was perpendicular to the road, thus giving the appearance to the driver next to him that someone was cutting him off. Making matters worse, Complainant claims that the light for which they were stopped at turned green as the officer was doing this.</p> <p>During the officer's attempted U-turn, Complainant claims that the driver next to him honked and the officer responded by stopping his car and yelling at the driver.</p>