POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses

June 2018

	Outcomo	June 2018
1	Outcome	Description
1	Sent to coaching,	5-105(A) (3) Professional Code of Conduct
	officers coached	It is alleged that Officer 1 referred to a recruit as a "motherfucker" on multiple
	and policy violation found	occasions.
2	Dismissed, no	5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct
2	basis	Complainant states that a burglar was in his backyard at two in the morning and he
	Da313	chased him away. According to Complainant, he called 911, explained the situation
		and was told that officers would soon be arriving. However, Complainant asserts
		that officers never came or even passed by.
3	Sent to	2-501(III)(E) MPD In-Service Training
	investigation,	It is alleged that the officer failed to complete mandatory training absent an excuse.
	cleared by	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	exception	
4	Dismissed, no	5-105(A)(4) Professional Code of Conduct
	basis	Complainant alleges that, after he had been placed into custody, his phone was not
		returned to him.
5	Sent to	5-102.01 Minnesota Law Enforcement Code of Ethics
	investigation,	It is alleged that the officer has committed a criminal act.
_	withdrawn	
6	Sent to	5-104.01 Professional Policing
	investigation,	Complainant states that an officer "violated [his] civil rights and conducted illegal
	sent to coaching, no coaching or	vehicle search" when he removed "legal documents from [his] glove box compartment inside [his] vehicle without [his] consent" nor "probable cause."
	policy violation	compartment inside [ms] venicle without [ms] consent nor probable cause.
7	Dismissed, no	5-104.01 Professional Policing
.	basis	Complainant alleges that he tried to advise officers that his neighbor's car should
		not be towed as she had a permitthough expiredhanging on the vehicle.
		Complainant contends that no car should be towed prior to the updating of permits
		the next day. Further, Complainant attempted to explain to the officers that his
		neighbor does not speak English well. Nonetheless, Complainant asserts that the
		officers disregarded the "facts." Complainant asserts in particular that one of the
		officers was "unprofessional" and "arrogant" when interacting with him and his
		neighbor.
8	Dismissed, no	5-105(A) (4) Professional Code of Conduct
	basis	Complainant contends that she was stopped by an officer for not having her head
		lights on and was later asked to do sobriety tests. After the tests, Complainant claims that the officer put her into his squad and threatened to take her to jail. However,
		Complainant asserts that she requested another officer at the scene, and she was
		eventually let go after re-doing the tests.
		eventually let go after re doing the tests.
		Upon being let go, Complainant contends that the officer failed to give her back her
		license and insurance.
9	Dismissed, no	5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct
	basis	Complainant alleges that officers failed to write a report after he told them that he
		had was stalked by several unknown individuals who planted a GPS device on his
		car.
10	Sent to	5-104.01 Professional Policing
	investigation,	Complainant asserts that she is a nurse at a local hospital and was assisting a patient
1	withdrawn	file a police report when, during the course of the reporting, an officer accused the
		patient of having "quite the record." She also claims that the officer "used a tone
1		throughout suggesting that [her] patient was a perpetrator, not a victim."
<u> </u>		Complainant additionally comments that the patient was a "Native woman."