

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

December 2018

| | Outcome | Description |
|---|--|---|
| 1 | Dismissed, No Basis | 5-104.01 Professional Policing Complainant alleges that an officer was rude and unprofessional with him during a traffic stop. |
| 2 | Dismissed, No Basis | 5-104.01 Professional Policing Complainant alleges that he noticed others assisting a man who had fallen who was "visibly intoxicated...and had cuts on his head" and was possibly concussed. According to Complainant, "every responding officer on the scene...treated [the man] very poorly." Complainant that one officer, who placed his hand over his mouth, stated the name of the injured man, and another officer told the man, "Remember me from last week?" After this, Complainant asserts two of the officers "violently" and "aggressive[ly]" grabbed the man and forced him up with, "absolutely no care to the fact that he was injured or potentially concussed" and dragged him onto a stretcher, where he was eventually handcuffed. Complainant also contends that the officers failed to ask the man what happened and were generally very disrespectful; he also asserts that the race of the man, who was black, may have factored into their treatment of him. |
| 3 | Sent to investigation, Officer separated from department, case closed by exception | 5-105(A)(4) Professional Code of Conduct It is alleged that the officer crashed into a civilian's vehicle and an elderly arrestee, who he had failed to seatbelt and was in the back of his squad, sustained an injury to her head as a result. |
| 4 | Sent to coaching, no coaching or policy violation | 5-105(A)(4) Professional Code of Conduct It is alleged that the officer was in a preventable accident. |
| 5 | Dismissed, No Basis | 9-202(IV)(A) Public Recording of Police Activities Complainant alleges that an MPD Officer interfered with her right to record a police interaction where several individuals were detained. Complainant alleges that the officer told them to move, and also physically blocked their ability to record by intentionally standing between them and the scene. |
| 6 | Dismissed, No Basis | 9-200 – Search and Seizure Complainant alleges that he was searched without cause and took both his marijuana and money from a check he had just cashed. |
| 7 | Sent to coaching, officer coached and policy violation found | 7-406.04(1) Role of the Pursuit Supervisor It is alleged that the supervisor cannot be heard acknowledging a pursuit. |
| 8 | Closed, Referred | 5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that his wallet was stolen in Washington D.C. and was subjected to identity theft afterwards. Upon trying to report the identity theft at a local precinct, Complainant contends that he was rebuffed by officers on two separate occasions who told him that the theft should have been reported in Washington D.C. However, Complainant asserts that the Washington D.C. Metropolitan Police told him that he was subjected to identity theft and that they could not investigate the crime until MPD forwarded them a report. |
| 9 | Dismissed, No Basis | 5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that there was a "vehicle that has been tied to burglaries" in his neighborhood and police failed to arrest the suspect. According to Complainant, the vehicle was an exact match with the license plates of a car known to purport these thefts. |

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

December 2018

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| 10 | Sent to coaching, officer coached and policy violation found | 7-406.04 Role of the Pursuit Supervisor It is alleged that the officer did not write a supplement per policy. |
|----|--|--|