## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

# Public Case Synopses

August 2018

	Outcome	Description
1	Dismissed – No	9-301 – Search/Arrest Warrant Requirements
	Basis	Complainant alleges that officers performed a raid on her home. Complainant further states that officers refused to tell her what the raid was for and pulled a gun out on her brother who had "approached [the officers] at the door so that he wouldn't startle them." Complainant further asserts that the officers asked for her brother's ID and, once her brother had shown them his ID and they realized he wasn't who they were looking for, they shut the door on him. Afterwards, Complainant contends that she inspected her home and "noticed that things [were] missing and not listed on the paper they gave to" her brother. She further claims that the officers presented a "body warrant" and not a warrant for the home. Also, Complainant asserts that the officers took her "club money" and "rent money" though she is "registered with the state." Lastly, Complainant claims that the trashed the home unnecessarily, including opening "baby bottles" and moving her children's clothes.
2	Dismissed – No Basis	5-105(A)(4) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant contends that an officer was yanking and dragging his dog cruelly.
3	Sent to coaching, employee retired before coaching concluded	5-104.01 – Professional Policing It is alleged that a desk officer was "intimidating, aggressive and hostile" toward a complainant who was attempting to file a report.
4	Dismissed – No Basis	5-104.01 – Professional Policing Complainant alleges that two women at a beauty salon threatened her and lied to the police stating that she had told them that she issued a bomb threat against them. During the encounter, Complainant claims that the officer took the situation lightly even as the women made culturally insensitive comments towards Complainant.
5	Sent to coaching, no coaching or	5-105(A)(4) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that he was placed on an emergency hold without cause.
6	policy violation Sent to investigation, Dismissed – Failure to Cooperate	<ul> <li>5-314.05 Use of Conducted Energy Devices</li> <li>Complainant alleges that he attempted to "check on a friend" who was being detained by police. Complainant also claims that his friend was his ride. As he was walking near the incident, Complainant contends that he asked officers "what happen or what's going on." Complainant contends that an officer yelled "keep moving" to him though he was "not approaching anyone."</li> <li>Next, asserts that he was pushed by an officer, which prompted Complainant to tell the officers that he was just trying to go to a gas station. At this moment, Complainant claims that he tried to take out his phone and was again pushed by officers and placed on handcuffs. He also asserts that the officers tased him while he was being handcuffed. After Complainant was arrested, he contends that officers realized that his friend had not committed any crime and let him go. However,</li> </ul>
7	Dismissed – No Basis	<ul> <li>Complainant was taken to jail and officers did not provide medical attention to him after he was tased. Furthermore, he asserts that his hands were bruised from the handcuffs being placed too tightly on him. Lastly, Complainant contends that he was unable to get any badge numbers.</li> <li>4-218 – Mobile and Video Recording (MVR) Policy</li> <li>Pursuit referral. It is alleged that the officer failed to record a pursuit on his dashcam.</li> </ul>

## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

# Public Case Synopses

## August 2018

8	Dismissed – No Basis	5-105(A)(4) – Professional Code of Conduct
		Complainant alleges that he was arrested for loitering, detained for 5 hours and his property not released to him.
9	Dismissed – No	9-301 – Search/Arrest Warrant Requirements
	Basis	Complainant alleges that officers arbitrarily and capriciously "raid[ed]" her property in the middle of the night. Further, she claims that she called the precinct to find out why her property had been raided and was given three different explanations by officers, including that the property was raided by accident.
10	Sent to coaching,	7-406.04 (7) - Role of the Pursuit Supervisor
	officer coached	It is alleged that the officer failed to write a CAPRS supplement and pursuit memo
	and policy	per policy.
	violation found	