

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

October, 2016

	Outcome	Description
1	Sent to Investigation – Dismissed, No Basis	<p>MPD P&P § 5-105(C)(2) – Professional Code of Conduct</p> <p>Complainant alleges that an officer warned him about going through a yellow light, to which Complainant contends he replied that, "he was very sorry but couldn't stop in time." According to Complainant, after his response, the officer retorted that "maybe if n***** lay off the weed they can drive better." Complainant states that he replied in turn that "not every black male smokes weed." Complainant further alleges that his fiancée asked the officer again about what she said, the officer replied "shut up n***** lover" and that she had a "monkey-face baby in the back" of the car. Complainant contends that he told the officer that "there was no need for that" and that he would be willing to pull over to the first precinct so that they could search his car. Lastly, Complainant alleges that the officer was making gestures suggestive of weed smoking as she and her partner drove away.</p>
2	Dismissal—No Basis	<p>MPD P&P § 5-104.01– Professional Policing</p> <p>Complainant alleges that officers stormed into his home with guns drawn. Further, he contends that officers ignored his pleas to get his infant daughter from upstairs for 15-20 minutes. Finally, he contends that he was asked uncomfortable questions about his relationship with another individual and that--amongst others in the house--only his and his daughter's information was taken down.</p>
3	Dismissal—Failure to Cooperate	<p>MPD P&P § 5-104.01– Professional Policing</p> <p>Complainant alleges that, when she began to inquire about how to reach an officer to Officer 1, he was threatened with arrest by Officer 1.</p>
4	Dismissal—Failure to Cooperate	<p>MPD P&P § 5-303– Use of Force</p> <p>Complainant contends that he has suffered "bodily injury numerous times in the past year and a half "due to the actions of "MPLS police," and he has been hospitalized at least a "half dozen times due to said injuries."</p>
5	Sent to Investigation—Review Panel Recommends Merit, Coached	<p>MPD P&P § 9-200(B) Search and Seizure</p> <p>Complainant alleges that officers were rude to her, and made comments about a high profile incident when her boyfriend was arrested for driving without a license. Additionally, the Complainant alleges that the officers searched her car.</p>

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

October, 2016

6	Sent to Investigation— Review Panel Recommends No Merit, Coached	<p>MPD P&P § 7-300(IV)(D)(1)—Specific Call Procedures Complainant alleged during a domestic call, officers asked her daughter what happened and then told her to shut up when she began to answer. Complainant alleges officers did not ask to see evidence of injuries nor where the altercation occurred. Complainant reported one of the officers stated "We can either arrest all three of you right now for fifth degree assault or she (alleged assailant) can leave and you can go inside." Complainant reported she told the officer as long as she (alleged assailant) was leaving, she (complainant) was happy. Complainant alleges if officers had taken a detailed report at the scene and spoken to witnesses, her assailant would have been arrested. Complainant reported because her assailant made it to the precinct first and the police had failed to make a detailed report or an arrest (as they should have), her assailant became the victim of record instead of her and her daughter. Complainant reported she was arrested and booked when she went to the 4th Precinct to file a police report/supplement.</p>
7	Sent to Investigation – Review Panel Recommends No Merit	<p>MPD P&P § 7-403—Emergency Response It is alleged that an officer sped down a highway in the wrong direction.</p>
8	Sent to Investigation – Review Panel Recommends No Merit	<p>MPD P&P § 5-105(5) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges he was leaving a parking ramp after a Twins game. Complainant alleges there was an officer directing traffic. Complainant alleges he started moving into the intersection, and the officer started yelling at him. Complainant alleges the officer hit his truck with his fist, leaving a dent. Complainant alleges the office kept yelling at him, and asked him to pull over. Complainant alleges the officer requested his information, but did not ticket him. The Complainant alleges the officer continued to berate him, and the officer was rude.</p>
9	Sent to Investigation – Review Panel Recommends No Merit	<p>MPD § 5-104—Impartial Policing Complainant states he is targeted by the officers. Complainant states he works on cars at his home and garage. Complainant states that Officers are towing his cars that are used for business. Complainant states when Officers see the cars being driven they are pulled over for driving without a license. Complainant states he had a licensed driver in the car when he was stopped. Additionally, Complainant states that the cars are being towed for parking over 72 hours. Complainant believes the towing is due to filing complaints on these officers.</p>
10	Sent to Investigation— Dismissed, No Basis	<p>MPD P&P § 9-200—Search and Seizure Complainant alleges that he was put in handcuffs and his phone seized despite being told that he "was not under arrest."</p>