OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY





PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-60

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1	Professional Policing	A-D	Dismissed – Lack of Jurisdiction	N/A	N/A

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: Black

Gender: Female

Precinct: Unknown

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that Officers were rude and behaving in a threatening manner when complainant was attempting to drive home. Complainant states they were stopped and had weapons drawn, pointed at them with officers requesting complainant turn around and go back the way they came.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

- 1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION
 - a) VisiNet report
 - i) There is no known VisiNet of incident.
 - b) Police Report
 - i) There is no known police report for incident.
 - c) Otheri) Initial complainant statements

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-60



2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

- a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review
 - i) There is no known BWC for incident.
 - ii) BWC was active in the location and same day listed in complaint. That BWC was in relation to a multi-unit apprehension of suspects in a residential area. Multiple officers were present with weapons and flashlights drawn as they were securing the scene looking for and arresting/detaining suspects. At the end of the BWCs for this incident officers are seen discussing suspicious behavior of a vehicle that is reportedly circling the area as officers begin to clear the street of roadblocks. BWC footage ends roughly around 11:40pm and complaint indicates incident occurred at 11:50pm. Unclear which officer/s would have had the interaction with complainant as the interaction is not known to be captured.
- b) Squad Video Review
 - i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident. Complaint indicates officers did not have lights and sirens activated.
 - ii) BWC listed above also shows multiple squads without lights on as they are all in a residential area.
- 3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING
 - a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the complaint warranted dismissal. An officer could not be identified.
- 4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION
 - N/A

CASE OUTCOME

- 5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW
 - a) Case was dismissed due to lack of jurisdiction. No specific officer or officers were identified.
- 6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL
 - a) Case was not brought to panel. Case was dismissed.

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-60



- 7) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT
 - a) No further action was required.