
### **OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY** 





PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-51

## **ALLEGATIONS**

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1	7-401 (B)(1) – Normal and Emergency Vehicle Operation	A-D	Dismissed	N/A	N/A

#### **REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS**

Race: White

Gender: Male

**Police Precinct**: 1<sup>st</sup>

## SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that officers were not obeying traffic laws while operating a squad. Complaint acknowledges it did not appear officers were responding to a call and felt like the law did not apply to officers.

#### **SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION**

- 1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION
  - a) VisiNet report
    - i) There is no VisiNet report as officers were not responding to a call.
  - b) Police Report
    - i) No known police report as officers were not responding to a call.
  - c) Other
    - i) Initial complainant statements
    - ii) Any other documents used to properly identify the officer

## **OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY** 

OPCR-21-51



# 2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

- a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review
  - i) BWC analyst reviewed a 2-hour window of time around the time complainant alleges traffic violations occurred. BWC analyst found 3 cases in that 2-hour window. Case 1 was of officers traveling at high rate of speeds with lights on and were assisting in recovering of stolen vehicle call. Case 2 did not have any apparent moving violations captured. They were observed obeying speed limits and stopped for one red light. Case 3 confirms both officers were working in the identified squad in complaint. No apparent moving violations were captured in this case either.
  - ii) It was noted that officers were observed obeying speed limits and stopping for red lights in footage seen except for case 1 as they had their lights activated.
  - iii) No other BWC exists that matches description in complaint.
- b) Squad Video Review
  - i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

## 3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the complaint warranted dismissal for no basis.

# 4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

N/A

# CASE OUTCOME

- 5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW
  - *a)* The case was dismissed for no basis.
- 6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL

N/A

7) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT N/A