

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-44



PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-44

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Officer 1 Allegation 1	10-401.1 Property and Evidence Acquired Or Temporarily Stored At Precincts	A-D	Sent for Coaching	NA	No action taken
Officer 2 Allegation 2	5-105 (B)(4) Professional Code of Conduct See MPD Covid-19 Task Force Reminder: Wear your PPE	A-D	Sent for Coaching	NA	No action taken

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: N/A

Gender: N/A

Police Precinct: 5th

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that an officer tossed the complainant’s belongings in the trash after the complainant was arrested.

It is also alleged that officers on the scene did not wear the necessary PPE required by MPD. See Covid-19 Task Force Reminder: Wear your PPE email dated May 18, 2020.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION

- a) VisiNet report

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-44



i) The “Problem” is listed as “Disturbance”. The call log indicates this was a response to a riot call.

b) Police Report

i) No police report available.

c) Other

i) Initial complainant statements

ii) Any other documents used to properly identify the officer

2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Video analysts reviewed 40 minutes of video and observed officers arresting multiple people. Officer was observed handling the backpack in question. Multiple Officers were observed not wearing PPE. Officers are observed standing by detained civilians and escorting them to holding locations around what appears to be a park when events took place. Officer listed is observed carrying a backpack around as they move from location to location. Officer is observed taking a female he detained to the side to be checked by a superior officer for an injury on her forehead. At the end of BWC, it is observed officer speaking with a Hennepin County Sheriff and then walking over to a garbage where officer is seen throwing the backpack away.

b) Squad Video Review

i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the complaint warranted coaching.

4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

a) NA

CASE OUTCOME

5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-44



a) The case was forwarded to the precinct for coaching.

6) *POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL*

a) *Case was sent to coaching.*

7) *MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT*

a) No action was taken by MPD.