

## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

## Public Case Synopses

November 2014

	Outcome	Description
1	Dismissal - No Basis	Complainant was present at a home when police responded to a report of loud party and shots fired. Complainant alleges that the police discovered a marijuana growing operation in the home, went through his wallet, and confiscated all of the money in the wallet as drug money. Complainant alleges he was not given a receipt for the cash, but was not arrested during the incident.
2	Investigated – Dismissed, No Basis	Complainant 1 is Complainant 2's father. Complainant 2 was walking to school, and was approximately 30 minutes late. Complainant 2 was stopped by Officer 1. Complainant 2 was allegedly searched, handled roughly, handcuffed, and transported to the Juvenile Supervision Center. Complainant 1 was not contacted during the arrest.
3	Investigated – Dismissed, Failure to Cooperate	Complainant alleges she was attacked by her granddaughter and called police. Complainant alleges that the responding officer did not get out of his squad car and told her that she must fill out a report before the granddaughter would be questioned. The granddaughter left the scene before Complainant could do so.
4	Coaching – Officer Coached, No Policy Violation	Complainant alleges that she witnessed an officer speeding in the bike lane without lights/sirens. Visinet confirms the squad's location at the time provided by Complainant, and the squad reaches the speed of 51 mph.
5	Coaching – No Coaching or Policy Violation	Complainant has a custody sharing agreement with her daughter's father. Complainant alleges she and the father informally agreed that Complainant could keep the child an extra day. Complainant alleges that later that day, Complainant and her daughter were at a picnic when officers approached her. Complainant alleges that one officer told her that the child's grandmother had the order and would pick up the child. Complainant alleges that she told the officers about the agreement and the officers insisted that she turn over the daughter. Complainant alleges that one of the officers accused her of "fabricating" the conversation and told her that he (the officer) was going to list her as a suspect in the crime of "withholding a child from the other parent." Complainant alleges that one of the officers "snapped" at her that she "was manipulating the situation." Complainant alleges that the officers told her that the father wanted her to hand over the child. Complainant alleges that she immediately complied. Complainant alleges that the officers were "very accusatory" and "brash." Complainant alleges that the daughter cried throughout the encounter.
6	Dismissal – No Basis	Complainant alleges that his dog was shot without cause.
7	Coaching – No Coaching or Policy Violation	It is alleged that Officers 1 and 2 encountered the Complainant's daughter after she was caught shoplifting. They contacted the Complainant to request that the Complainant pick up the child. The Complainant informed the officers that the daughter was a runaway. Officers 1 and 2 released the daughter before the Complainant arrived.

## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

## Public Case Synopses

November 2014

8	Coaching – Officer Coached, No Policy Violation	Complainant's car was keyed by an individual in a parking lot. Surveillance recordings identified the individual, and officers investigated the case. Complainant alleges that she called every week for five months straight and no one would call her back. Complainant alleges that when she was contacted, she was assured officers were working on the case.
9	Investigation – Failure to Cooperate	Complainant alleges he was removed from the Uptown Tavern and was "entirely docile during the event." Complainant alleges that security "set [him] in front of two officers who were stationed/standing at the doorstep." Complainant alleges that Officer 1 forced Complainant to the ground and "press[ed] his knee on [Complainant's] throat without just cause or provocation."
10	Dismissal – No Basis	Complainant alleges that Officer 1 used defamatory language and, "indicated falsehoods or gross exaggerations" in a report. Complainant alleges this is because Officer 1 has a relationship with a business that Complainant has reported for violations.