

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

June, 2016

Outcome		Description
1	Dismissal, No Basis	MPD P&P § 10-401– Responsibility for Inventory of Property & Evidence Complainant alleges he has been accused and humiliated by the "Minnesota PD" over the last 15 years. Complainant also alleges "the officer should have put my ID in with the rest of my property."
2	Dismissal, No Basis	MPD P&P § 10-401– Responsibility for Inventory of Property & Evidence Complainant alleges his property is not being released.
3	Dismissal, No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-103–Use of Discretion Complainant contends that he was assaulted by security guards at a shelter. The physical altercation led Complainant, allegedly, to lose his memory. Further, Complainant alleges that Officer 1 and 2, who arrived after the assault, erroneously charged him with trespassing.
4	Sent to Investigation – Dismissed, No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-303–Use of Force Complainant alleges he was asleep when officers arrived at his apartment. Complainant states officers woke him up, swore at him then tased him. Complainant alleges because of being tased he fell forward and knocked out some of his teeth. Complainant alleges when he was taken to the squad car officers slammed him into the car.
5	Dismissal, No Basis	MPD P&P § 9-201 (III)(B)–Search and Seizure Complainant alleges that Officer 1 and 2 failed to assist her properly--only offered to take her to a shelter or hospital--and "checked out [her] car without permission" while she was in an intoxicated state.
6	Dismissal, No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-104–Impartial Policing Complainant alleges that officers inappropriately entered his home; caused destruction of property; committed a theft of \$7000 hidden under a mattress; and that he was targeted by Officer 1 because of his race and religion. Officer 1 "sent the officers to his home to kill him based on the fact that he is black and Muslim."
7	Sent to Investigation – Dismissed, No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-303–Use of Force Complainant, also witness, alleges that Officer 1 used excessive and unnecessary force on another outside of a grocery store due to a verbal spat. More specifically, Complainant alleges that she witnessed Officer 1 antagonize the person outside by pushing her when she was out of view of Officer 2, leading her to retaliate by attempting to strike Officer 1. Afterwards, Officer 1 grabbed the person outside by the face, shoved her into a wall, pushed her onto the ground, and then applied his knee to her back. Lastly, Complainant alleges that Officer 2 did not partake in, nor view, any part of the incident.
8	Dismissed, No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-105– Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that both officers stole her phone.
9	Sent to Investigation – Dismissed, Failure to cooperate	MPD P&P § 5-303–Use of Force Complainant alleges officers shot his dog during a raid, kicked him in the face, told him and his mother to "shut the f**k up," accused Complainant's mother of promoting gun violence, and broke the family's T.V.

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

June, 2016

10	Sent to Investigation – Dismissed, Failure to cooperate	MPD P&P § 5-105 (5) – Professional Code Of Conduct Complainant alleges that the officer has repeatedly harassed her and her fellow co-workers. On one occasion, Complainant alleges that the officer made a sexually implicit comment to a co-worker who stated that she wished to "go to bed," to which the officer replied "Not going to lie, I'd like to go with you." On another occasion, Complainant alleges that the officer, while laughing and stating "Can't you see anything," shined a bright light in her face while she was attempting to prepare a hot drink. Lastly, Complainant contends that her and her co-workers feel "scared," "uncomfortable," and "unsafe" around the officer.
----	---	--