POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

February, 2017

	Outcome Description		
1	Sent to	MPD P&P § 5-105 (E)(2) – Professional Code of Conduct	
	Investigation— Dismissed, No Basis	It is alleged that officers refused to give medical treatment to a citizen.	
2	Dismissal—No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-105 (A)(4) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant contends that he was accused of robbery and detained without cause. He wished to know why he was detained.	
3	Sent to Investigation— Dismissed, Failure to Cooperate	MPD P&P § 5-105 (2) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that the officer responded to an incident. Complainant alleges the officer didn't want to hear his side of the story, or talk to Complainant's witness. Complainant alleges the officer in a rude and disrespectful way stated that even though the Complainant is in a wheelchair he can be arrested.	
4	Dismissal—No Basis	MPD P&P § 5-105 (E)(2) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant contends that he called the police to report an assault against him by a neighbor but instead was threatened with arrest by arriving officers, who he contends believed his wife's allegations that the dispute was his fault. However, he asserts that officers did not arrest him as the neighbor was not present. He also claims that, upon seeing the doctor later for his injuries as a result of the fight, he was diagnosed with a broken elbow. Later, Complainant contends that he went to a precinct to try and file a report but was instead accused of "falsifying information and shopping for a report".	
5	Sent to Review Panel— Recommended No Merit, No Discipline	MPD P&P § 5-104.1 – Professional Policing Case was referred to the joint supervisors by way of the Accident Review Committee. It is alleged that an officer "lost control of the squad and slid off the roadway, colliding with a tree."	
6	Sent to Investigation— Send to Coaching, No Policy Violation Found, Officer Coached	MPD P&P § 7-401 – Normal Vehicle Operation Complainant alleges that an officer in a squad, without sirens or other sign of an emergency, sped at 80 miles an hour, improperly switched lanes, and ran a stop light.	
7	Dismissal— Unfounded	MPD P&P § 5-105 (E)(2) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant contends that she called 911 due to her roommate threatening to, "kill [her] outside [her] room." After waiting for thirty minutes, Complainant claims that no one showed up. Complainant states she was waiting for officers in order to let them in as a key is necessary to enter her apartment complex. Complainant asserts that she called a second time and was told that officers had already left. Complainant alleges that officers did not call her nor did they attempt to come up to check up on her "status."	

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

February, 2017

8	Dismissal—	MPD P&P § 5-105 (E)(2) – Professional Code of Conduct
	Unfounded	Complainant alleges that they witnessed a fight while exiting a light rail
		train and quickly notified an officer nearby. However, Complainant
		asserts that the officer merely "rolled his window up and continue[d]
		looking at his cell phone." She also contends that his vehicle never
		moved.
9	Dismissal—No	MPD P&P § 5-105(A)(4)– Professional Code of Conduct
	Basis	Complainant alleges that he was pulled over and eventually arrested for
		burglary. Complainant asserts that he spent 3 days in jail and was
		released after he gave a statement regarding the matter and no stolen
		goods were found. He also contends that he missed his kidney stone
		surgery and his vehicle was damaged due to the arrest. Finally,
		Complainant contends that he "never received a call back or apology"
		regarding the incident and is now "terrified" when encountering police.
10	Sent to Coaching—	MPD P&P § 7-406.02—Role of Officers in the Secondary
	No Policy	Pursuit Vehicles
	Violation Found,	It is alleged that Officers 1 and 2 did not write required report
	Officers Coached	supplements.