CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The FY 2017 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is a consolidated report on activities in the following U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) entitlement formula grants received by the City of Minneapolis: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). The FY 2017 CAPER covers the 12-month program year June 1, 2017 - May 31, 2018 which is the third year of the Five Year Consolidated Plan Strategy 2015-2019.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source /	Indicator	Unit of	Expected	Actual –	Percent	Expected	Actual –	Percent
		Amount		Measure	-	Strategic	Complete	-	Program	Complete
					Strategic	Plan		Program	Year	
					Plan			Year		
Community	Non-Housing		Public service activities							
Economic	Community	CDBG: \$	other than	Persons	2595	507		154	156	
	,	CDBG. 5	Low/Moderate Income	Assisted	2333	507	19.54%	104	130	101.30%
Development	Development		Housing Benefit							
Community	Non-Housing									
Economic	Community	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	1875	1417	75.57%	394	532	135.03%
Development	Development						13.3170			133.05%

Community	Non-Housing									
Economic	Community	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses	500	498		100	126	
Development	, Development			Assisted			99.60%			126.00%
Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	9853	4026	40.86%	229412	321149	139.99%
Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	400	164	41.00%			
Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	224		%			

Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	150	56	37.33%		
Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	750	528	70.40%		
Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	11383	227.66%		

Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	0	0		20	10	50.00%
Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment	Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Public Services	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	227472	0	0.00%			
Sustainable, Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$922657	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		70	4	5.71%

	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	1								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:		Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Rental units constructed	Housing	475	1371	288.63%	95	189	198.95%
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$		Unit			200.0370			190.9370
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								
	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	/								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:		Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Rental units rehabilitated	Housing	775	2178	281.03%	155	426	274.84%
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$		Unit			201.05%			274.04%
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								
	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	/								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:	Homeowner Housing	Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Added	Housing	50	39	78.00%	15	7	46.67%
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$	Audeu	Unit			78.0076			40.0776
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								
	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	/								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:	Homeowner Housing	Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Rehabilitated	Housing	150	56	56 37.33%	30	11	36.67%
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$		Unit		37.33%			50.0770	
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								

Sustainable, Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$922657	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	50	24	48.00%			
Sustainable, Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$922657	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	375	528	140.80%	270	166	61.48%
Sustainable, Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$922657	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	250	0	0.00%	5500	7223	131.33%
Sustainable, Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$922657	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		0	0	

	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	1								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:	Housing for Dooplo with	Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Housing	30	72	240.00% 100.00% 84.00% 31.86%	0	0	
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$	HIV/AIDS audeu	Unit			240.00%			
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								
	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	/								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:	HIV/AIDS Housing	Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Operations	Housing	36	36	100.00%	36	36	100.00%
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$	Operations	Unit			100.00%			100.00%
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								
	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	/								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:								
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	100	84	84 0.0%			
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$					84.0076			
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								
	Affordable	CDBG: \$								
	Housing	/								
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:	Housing Code	Household						
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Enforcement/Foreclosed	Housing	430	30 137 31.86%	31 86%			
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$	Property Care	Unit			51.00/0			
	Homeless	/ ESG:								
	Special Needs	\$922657								

	Affordable	CDBG: \$							
	Housing	/							
Sustainable,	Public	HOPWA:							
Affordable	Housing	\$/	Other	Other	0	0	100	0	0.00%
Housing	Non-	HOME: \$							0.00%
	Homeless	/ ESG:							
	Special Needs	\$922657							

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

With a 22% reduction in overall Consolidated Plan funding since 2010, the City has continued to be challenged in its efforts to address community development priorities, goals and strategies with HUD resources. The City continues to; seek expanded economic opportunities that benefit its low and moderate income citizens, preserve and create decent and affordable housing opportunities, address the needs faced by those who are homeless or are threatened with homelessness, provide accessible public services for vulnerable populations, affirmatively further fair housing, and leverage its federal HUD funding with other funds to make significant, sustainable change in the community.

Specifically during the 2017 Program Year, CDBG funds were used for the Business Technical Assistance Program (B-TAP). This year, the Adult Training and Placement program, Minneapolis Works, served 532 income qualified Minneapolis residents who were either unemployed or underemployed. With an annual goal to serve 394 residents, City staff and service providers served 25% more. Minneapolis Works is meeting the overwhelming demand of residents looking for increasing their wages and contributes significantly to the overall economic health of their families and the City.

The City can point to its efforts as success, however, great need still exists in the community, especially for those at the lowest of incomes. Housing costs in the city have continued to rise at a rate higher than personal income. Rental vacancy rates are historically low, units that are priced at the most affordable levels and exhibiting quality still incur great demand. Low income renters continue to compete for limited rental market opportunities.

Increasing cuts at both the federal and state levels of government have put a squeeze on public service programs, when in turn, the demand for these services has increased. The ability of the City to meet these particular needs with its HUD funds has been limited with federal CDBG budgets not keeping pace with inflation and being aggressively cut.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The HUD reporting tool for ESG grant provides race/ethnicity status by persons and not families/households, therefore the ESG number is for persons assisted.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	12,900,249	12,058,402
HOME	HOME	2,575,774	4,103,460
HOPWA	HOPWA	1,202,248	1,028,034
ESG	ESG	922,657	1,163,407
Other	Other		

Identify the resources made available

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Resources made available through 2017 grant agreements and receipted program income are shown in the above table. It does not include unexpended prior year funding. The City received \$ 2,657,105 of CDBG program income during PY 2017. All CDBG program income was expended for immediate needs within the program year, and the program-income balance remaining at 5/31/18 is zero. The City is in compliance with 24 CFR 570.504(b)(iii), by not having program income remaining in excess of one twelfth (1/12th) of the most recent grant (1/12th x \$10,243,144= \$853,595). HOME program income of \$509,057 is detailed below in this report. The period reported for HOME program income is October 2016-September 2017 in line with HOME annual reporting requirements. There was no program income received with ESG and HOPWA programs.

The City met its CDBG expenditure timeliness target at a draw ratio of 1.39, below the threshold ratio of 1.5.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

CDBG is directed on an area basis to census tracts in the city where the majority of residents are of lowand moderate-income. ESG funds are expended for eligible activities within the Hennepin County Continuum of Care for projects benefiting Minneapolis residents. HOPWA funds programs serving sitebased initiatives and providing tenant based rental assistance throughout the metropolitan area. HOME rehabilitation funds are spent throughout the City for income-eligible units. The City's Affordable Housing Trust Fund program composed partially of CDBG and HOME funds, awards priority points for new housing construction in non-poverty-impacted areas of Minneapolis. If a new construction project receives HOME funds out this program, that project is sited in an area that is a non-concentrated area of race or poverty. New affordable housing is targeted for designated growth areas and commercial and transit corridors that can benefit from and support increased housing density.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Other resources made available to support Consolidated Plan strategies include General Fund dollars; federal grants made available from the U.S. Departments of Justice, Housing and Urban Development, Commerce, Health and Human Services, Environmental Protection Agency; state grants made available by Trade and Economic Development; Human Services; Children, Families and Learning; Metropolitan Council; and local resources through foundations, financial institutions, the Family Housing Fund, and subrecipient in-kind goods and services.

Match requirements for the HOME and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) programs are met through funding provided by the project, Hennepin County or other government bodies. All RFPs for these projects specify the need of committed match in order to qualify for funding. Match provided to the ESG program is met by funded programs and composed primarily of state pass through and private funding to covered programs.

As part of its compliance with the need to pursue all available resources to support its Consolidated Plan, the City is required to provide Certifications of Consistency to its Consolidated Plan to organizations seeking federal HUD funding. These certifications testify to the fact that the proposed funding application meets strategies identified in the City's Consolidated Plan. City staff reviews certification requests against Consolidated Plan strategies and recommends certification of eligible proposals. All requests for certifications received by the City during the past year were certified as addressing Consolidated Plan strategies.

HOME match consisted of \$132,985.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match							
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	36,176,155						
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	132,985						
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	36,309,140						
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	305,832						
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	36,003,308						
Table F. Elecal Vear Summary HOME Match Penert							

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

			Match Contrik	oution for the Fe	ederal Fiscal Yea	r		
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
2412-PPL								
Youthlink	04/20/2017	23,544	0	0	109,441	0	0	132,985

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period					
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$	
461,272	509,057	432,641	0	537,688	

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Bus	iness Enterprise	es and Women	Business Enter	r prises – Indicat	e the number	and dollar
value of cont	racts for HOME	projects compl	eted during the	e reporting perio	od	
	Total		Minority Busin	ess Enterprises	White Non-	
		Alaskan	Asian or	Black Non-	Hispanic	Hispanic
		Native or	Pacific	Hispanic		
		American	Islander			
		Indian				
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	49,425,724	133,175	33,650	376,330	0	48,882,569
Number	21	1	3	2	0	15
Sub-Contract	ts					
Number	340	7	8	46	6	273
Dollar						
Amount	38,782,932	2,058,115	1,496,105	794,181	117,511	34,317,020
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts	•					
Dollar						
Amount	49,722,724	772,093	48,950,631			
Number	21	4	17			
Sub-Contract	ts					
Number	340	27	313			
Dollar						
Amount	38,782,932	910,535	37,872,397			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

	Total		Minority Prop	perty Owners		White Non-
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

_

-

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition								
Parcels Acquire		0			0			
Businesses Disp	laced			0		0		
Nonprofit Orga	nizations	s						
Displaced				0		0		
Households Ter	Households Temporarily							
Relocated, not Displaced				0		0		
		Minority Property Enterprises						
Households	Total			Minority P	rope	rty Enterprises	T	White Non-
Households Displaced	Total	Alas		Minority P Asian c	-	rty Enterprises Black Non-	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic
	Total	Alas Nativ	kan	-	or			
	Total		kan ve or	Asian o	or :	Black Non-		
	Total	Nativ	kan ve or rican	Asian c Pacific	or :	Black Non-		
Displaced		Nativ Amer	kan /e or rican ian	Asian c Pacific	or C er	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
	Total	Nativ Amer	kan ve or rican	Asian c Pacific	or :	Black Non-		

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	275	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	153	36
Total	428	36

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	353	307
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	95	189
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	155	426
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	20	21
Total	623	943

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

No problems were encountered.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City will continue to budget approximately half of its CDBG resources to the support of affordable housing creation and stabilization and use its HOME funds to creatively bring new affordable housing into the market. Rental assistance will be provided through ESG and HOPWA resources.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	92	2
Low-income	132	7
Moderate-income	51	9
Total	275	18

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Numbers of persons served by activity is pulled from IDIS reports of CDBG and HOME summary of accomplishments.

There were 14 first time homebuyers assisted with HOME funds with nine of them minority. Four renter households were assisted with HOME funds. Ongoing challenges in creation of affordable housing include the lack of project-based Section 8 rental assistance to make units affordable at <30% MMI, shortage of large family rental housing units (and the rising costs to produce those larger units), and the continuing challenge in providing location choice. Due to the deep subsidy required for new production, preservation of existing units is easier than creation of new units. It is a continuing challenge to the City and its development partners to leverage enough resources to meet this commitment. However, preservation activities are just as important in holding an inventory of available, affordable housing units.

The City continues its work with housing foreclosures with funding for its foreclosure prevention program, stepped up enforcement of vacant properties, and the addition of new financing products for households threatened with foreclosure. Even though foreclosure numbers have stabilized, the concentration of foreclosed properties threatens to undo past community efforts at stabilizing neighborhoods. The City received Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds from HUD and the State of Minnesota. These funds will assist local communities in dealing with the market effects caused by foreclosed properties.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City of Minneapolis participates in the local Continuum of Care model. The lead administrative entity for the Continuum of Care in Hennepin County and the City of Minneapolis is the Hennepin County Office to End Homelessness. The Heading Home Hennepin Executive Committee is responsible for planning and implementing the Continuum of Care in the County with support of the Heading Home Hennepin Operations Board. The County Office to End Homelessness provides staff support for the overall Continuum of Care; soliciting, reviewing and recommending applications for HUD funding; providing certifications of consistency with other HUD and state funding programs and development of Continuum of Care documentation materials required for applicants for HUD homeless program funding.

The City of Minneapolis works closely with a number of local and state agencies to ensure that Federal entitlement opportunities such as HOPWA, HOME, and ESG meet the funding priorities supporting the Continuum of Care. For instance, the City works to direct funding to increasing the number of housing units, as well as expansion of the scope of services provided to homeless persons. Entitlement funds were used to assist the local Continuum of Care in 2017 by: continuing to coordinate outreach and assessment at shelter entrance points, emphasizing permanent supportive housing and prevention services versus continuing emergency shelter, and combining housing with appropriate supportive services.

In 2017 City ESG-supported programming assisted 7,474 persons with emergency shelter housing, street outreach services and rapid re-housing services.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City provides ESG funds to support programs and projects that serve persons who are homeless and those persons who are threatened with homelessness. Funding is used for rehabilitation and development of quality emergency shelter space, rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention service options, and for street outreach services for the chronically homeless.

Initial barriers seen in the programs are both environmental and system issues. With a rapidly decreasing vacancy rate in the City of Minneapolis, it can be difficult to find appropriate and affordable housing for clients with multiple barriers. There can be program restrictions realized when attempting to find a range of housing resources for clients. Additionally there are implementation concerns raised with instituting the new program requirements of the Emergency Solutions Grant with existing and newly developed programs. The City in coordination with the County Office to End Homelessness continues to

explore ways in which to effectively address these barriers.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

ennepin County's Adult Discharge Policy and Planning Coordination works with the Social Work Manager at Hennepin County Medical Center (HCMC) in assisting patients who were homeless prior to admittance find appropriate housing prior to discharge. Discharged patients with minor medical recuperation needs are referred to Salvation Army Harbor Lights medical respite facility. Case Managers work with many of these patients to move into permanent housing with Group Residential Housing subsidies. The Hennepin Health program (Accountable Care Organization) works with County Human Services to address post-discharge housing and service needs of homeless persons with chronic or major medical needs to stabilize housing and prevent re-hospitalization.

Protocol is in place to prevent discharging people to a shelter or streets from a state regional mental health facility. Per state mandate all persons committed to any treatment facility are assigned a mental health case manager through the home county of the person discharged. Discharge planning begins during the commitment process & continues through discharge. Housing stability remains a part of the treatment plan after discharge. The case manager assists in locating appropriate housing. Hennepin County's Adult Discharge Policy and Planning Coordinator works with the Adult Discharge Planning Committee to develop & implement appropriate protocols for homeless patients discharged from HCMC and publically supported residential treatment facilities.

Minnesota Department of Corrections (DOC) completes intake on entry and assesses eligibility for county services and income supports. For those homeless upon entering, a correctional officer will coordinate with County personnel for housing search assistance.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The City continues to work with programs that offer assistance to families to make the transition to permanent housing. Funding for the JustUs Health Transitional Housing Program is one example. Family Housing Fund research recommends that time limits for transitional program participation be eased due to complexities of conditions faced by clients and the tight rental market which makes it difficult for

clients to secure permanent housing. JustUs Health and Metropolitan Council Housing & Redevelopment Authority work to offer continued rental assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS when they expire their time limits in the transitional program. Project staff works with clients to pursue alternative subsidy options: increased income, other public forms of assistance or personal rent supports.

Minneapolis, through CPED, uses its Affordable Housing Trust Fund to create additional supportive housing opportunities. Hennepin County also works to address permanent housing barriers with the Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

In the past the City has contributed CDBG funding to the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA) for improvements to the housing inventory and support for resident initiatives. Funding for resident participation enables resident councils to promote resident citizen participation in the review of public housing programs, policies and community building activities. Federal funding reductions realized for the 2011 program year eliminated the use of CDBG for rehabilitation programming at MPHA public housing, and through 2012, remaining CDBG dollars funded additional rehabilitation work on two MPHA single family scattered site residences. Due to budget cuts there were no CDBG funds provided to MPHA and no accomplishments to report since 2013.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The MPHA will continue its work in supporting public housing resident involvement and participation in agency activities that impact residents and their homes. MPHA will work with established resident councils and representation systems such as its resident committees including, Resident Advisory Board, Tenant Advisory Committee, Security Advisory Committee and the Maintenance, Modernization and Management Committee to support this goal.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The local PHA, Minneapolis Public Housing Authority in not considered a troubled PHA.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

• In the area of regulatory controls, the city has administratively reformed its licensing and examining boards to ensure objectivity and eliminate unnecessary regulation in housing development. The city continues to update unnecessary regulation in housing development. The city no longer limits the pool of contractors that can enter the city to facilitate housing development, which encourages a more competitive pricing environment.

• In response to other regulatory controls and life safety issues that may affect the cost of affordable housing, the city's housing agency continues to work with various regulatory departments to cancel special assessments and outstanding water charges on properties during the acquisition process. Construction Code Services waives the deposit on condemned buildings when the housing agency or the county requests to rehabilitate these buildings. The Minnesota Conservation Code has given the building official the opportunity to extend greater discretion when rehabilitating existing buildings.

• CPED's land acquisition programs, (Higher Density Corridor Housing Program for multifamily housing projects and Capital Acquisition Revolving Fund for mixed-use projects) address a key barrier to affordable housing – the need to assemble sites for development. These initiatives allow the city to gain control of land for disposition to developers for affordable and mixed-income development on the city's corridors, creating a critical linkage between affordable housing, jobs and transit.

• The Department of Regulatory Services works to facilitate the rehabilitation of vacant homes through the Restoration Agreement program. These agreements suspend or hold in abeyance the Vacant Building Registration fee in exchange for completing the rehabilitation in a timely manner. In addition, this process pairs property owners with an inspector who is able to help them through the rehabilitation process. Through the suspension of fees and the assistance of staff, this program makes it easier for low to moderate income property owners to rehabilitate and reoccupy vacant homes in Minneapolis.

In the area of Zoning, the City of Minneapolis spent the 2017 program year developing and updated Comprehensive Plan, the document that drives land use and zoning throughout the City for the next ten years (2019-2029). At this time, August 2018, the Plan is still draft form and will be finalized by the end of the 2018. The draft Comprehensive Plan addresses barriers to developing affordable housing by proposing to ease restrictive zoning codes in residential areas.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Consolidated Plan resources to address priority needs identified in its goals of 1) Sustainable Affordable Housing; 2) Community Economic Development; and 3) Safe, Equitable, Livable Environment. The City has sought additional funding for both its entitlement resources and complementary funding efforts. For example, the City is in the third year of its Promise Zone designation and is working on focusing leveraged resources for the communities within the zone, the City also contines to maintain and grow it's lead reduction efforts through competitive HUD grants.

In areas of public services and public facilities, the City still seeks to address its priority areas. Over the past several years national CDBG budgets have been reduced which has reduced funding available for Public Services (up to 15 percent of CDBG can be used on public service programming). Annually the City budgets the maximum of 15 percent of its CDBG grant for public services to address the needs of its residents.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City has worked for years to institute a comprehensive lead-based paint strategy to address the issue of lead hazards in the city's housing stock with the goal of eliminating these hazards from the overall City Housing stock. The City may not realistically be able to reach the goal of zero poisoned children; however, it will continue to sustain its goal through partnerships with rehab agencies, education, enforcing current lead law and community engagement. In 2014 the State changed the definition of when the City was allowed to respond to lead poisoning from 10ug/dl to 5ug/dl to align with the Center for Disease Controls change in level of concern.

The City of Minneapolis has taken a two pronged approach towards the goal of eliminating childhood lead poisoning. In 2017 the elevated blood lead (EBL) response program performs risk assessments, writes corrective orders and issues administrative citations for non-compliance for all homes where a child with an EBL of > 5ug/dl resides. The program also combines CDBG funds with a HUD lead hazard control grant which is performing risk assessments and making properties lead safe in a targeted neighborhoods traditionally high for lead poisoning. Using resources from the City, Hennepin County and HUD, this office addresses lead-based paint hazards through:

• Identification and mitigation of lead hazards from units occupied by children with elevated blood lead levels.

• Education and outreach to the parents/guardians of children most impacted by lead hazards in dwellings.

• Lead-based paint hazard awareness training for housing inspectors and education materials on the disclosure rules and lead safe work practices distributed to rental property owners.

• Prevention of lead poisoning by incorporating lead hazard reduction into the maximum numbers of dwelling units undergoing rehabilitation activities.

These efforts have seen the level of child screening for lead vary over time while at the same time the number of children diagnosed with an elevated blood lead level has decreased.

In 2017, under the City's Childcare Facilities Loan & Grant program, 4 home daycares were made leadsafe using interim controls and abatement methods. In the City's Lead Hazard Reduction Program, CDBG funds were used for lead risk assessments, clearances, or rehab activities on 73 properties, all of which were properties belonging to households at or below 80% of the Area Median income.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City of Minneapolis continued its efforts to reduce the number of poverty-level families in the city through focusing its development resources on programs and activities that facilitate the creation or retention of job opportunities.

Improved housing and human development activities are essential components of the City's antipoverty strategy. Various programs are utilized by the City in this effort, including among others CPED programs and initiatives of the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority. In areas of economic development, the City has worked with private and non-profit partners to create an environment to develop opportunities for residents to leave poverty through workforce and business development assistance.

Businesses provided with public funds for economic assistance from the City are required to post job openings with the City's Employment and Training Program in an attempt to link City residents with these jobs. In PY 2017, CDBG-funded vocational training efforts assisted 532 adults in obtaining private sector, permanent jobs.

The City also funds programs that prepare youth for their future by equipping them with job and career building skills. Youth employment training programs funded through CDBG public service funds enabled 156 youth to receive job training skills and experience in PY 2017 through summer youth job training.

The City works to clean up polluted industrial sites in order to attract new light industrial businesses, or to site new housing or commercial development opportunities. Minneapolis CPED is the key public agency in coordinating the cleanup of sites. Funding assistance is received from the Environmental Protection Agency, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and Department of Trade and Economic Development, the Metropolitan Council and other local sources. The benefits to Brownfield's redevelopment is an increase in the tax base, provision of job opportunities to city residents, improvement in the environment, and recycling of city land to productive uses. The City addresses economic development needs by providing business development assistance. Recognizing that jobs paying a living wage and strong, growing, local businesses are the cornerstone of economic stability in the Central Business District and neighborhood business districts throughout the City, the City of Minneapolis' CPED provides assistance to businesses with identifying locations for expansion or relocation and understanding and navigating various city regulatory processes, and establishes business-to-business connections in key economic sectors. CPED also funds local business organizations to provide assistance to entrepreneurs and small businesses with developing a business plan, accounting, marketing, merchandising and gaining access to capital. The City has a number of business financing programs for purchasing equipment and property, real estate development and tenant improvements, working capital and micro loans.

In 2017, the City of Minneapolis City Council approved a municipal minimum wage ordinance that requires large employers to pay Minneapolis workers \$15 an hour in five years and gives small employers seven years to reach the target wage. Increasing the municipal minimum wage is one tool that the city has used to assist in reducing the number of Minneapolis families living in poverty.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Institutional partnerships continue to allow the city to realize progress on its housing and community development work. However, much continues to remain to be done especially in the area of delivering the benefits of progress equitably throughout the community. Communities of color and very low-income continue to realize unequal outcomes in housing and service delivery compared with the broader community. How institutional actors work to address these gaps continues to be a focus of the city over this five year planning cycle.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The institutional structure through which the City carries out its housing and community development plan consists of public, private and nonprofit partners. Many have worked with and supported the city in carrying out the affordable and supportive housing strategy for the community.

The primary public entities are the City of Minneapolis, Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development (CPED), the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA), Hennepin County, and the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency. Nonprofit organizations include nonprofit developers, community housing development organizations, and the Family Housing Fund. The federal government and the state are key funding source for rental and ownership housing projects. Local funds are available for housing and non-housing activities. Private sector partners such as local financial institutions, community development finance institutions, for-profit developers, faith-based organizations and the philanthropic community continue to be valuable in assisting Minneapolis meet its housing and community development goals and strategies.

The City works with these partners to design programs that effectively work to better the conditions present in the city. However, gaps in program delivery still occur whether through funding shortfalls, differing timetables, and contrary regulations. The City seeks to resolve these gaps through its commitment to its institutional relationships evidenced by its close working relations with its partners. The city will continue to meet with and inform its partners of its housing and community development needs, goals and strategies as well as strengthen interagency program coordination.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Actions undertaken to address identified impediments is found in the appendix.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City Finance and IGR/Grants Offices provide overall assurance that Consolidated Plan grant programs implemented through the City, its Subrecipient programs, and other community-based organizations are being carried-out as required. Each department who manages grant-funded programming is responsible for monitoring their respective program activity for compliance with City, OMB, and HUD program standards. Finance and IGR/Grants will monitor these activities in cooperation with the department implementing Consolidated Plan programming.

Programmatic, regulatory and contract compliance is achieved through the City's administrative structure, its offices of contract management, and through Finance/Grants and the IGR/Grants Office. Structured reviews are conducted on-site to ensure consistency with the contract, for determining the adequacy of program performance and to ensure that reported information is accurate. The Finance and Grants Offices monitor for program compliance and performance, as well as provide technical assistance to grant-funded program managers. This framework allows for an effective oversight of the monitoring and technical assistance process. This communication link, together with guidance offered from the Minneapolis HUD Field Office, provides the capacity to identify potential risk. The Grants office uses a monitoring checklist which includes standard HUD monitoring guidelines; the Finance department monitors compliance using a checklist specific to minimum standards determined by the City, State and Federal government. If potential issues of non-compliance are identified a corrective action is implemented based on City policy to prevent continuance of a violation and to mitigate adverse effects of violation.

The objectives of the City's monitoring system are, to satisfy the statutory requirements of grantor agencies, to assist contractors in properly administering grant-funded programs implemented on behalf of the City, to minimize the City's liability by identifying and correcting major program deficiencies before they result in financial penalties and/or funding sanctions, and to provide City management and grantor agencies with performance information to guide them in making future funding decisions (i.e. verify the quantity and assess the quality of the services being delivered).

HOME is a critical source of funding in several of the City's affordable housing programs managed through CPED. A third-party contracted provider monitors all HOME-funded rental projects, including on-site property and property management file inspections, on at least an annual basis to verify compliance with HOME requirements including tenant income, rent restrictions, unit mix and occupancy, lease provisions, and affirmative marketing.

The Civil Rights Department monitors City-funded projects (including HOME) through its Contract

Compliance unit for Davis Bacon and Section 3 and sets development participation goals for women/minority-owned businesses through its Small and Underutilized Business Program.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The 2017 CAPER is made available for a 15-day review period before its August 29, 2018 submission to HUD.

The City's Consolidated Plan citizen participation plan encourages the inclusion of all City residents throughout the Consolidated Plan development process--especially low-income residents who are the primary clients for HUD programs, non-profit organizations and other interested parties. At least three public hearings are held each year to address housing and community development needs, development of proposed activities, and review of program performance.

To ensure broad-based participation, extensive communication efforts are used during the implementation of the City's Consolidated Plan citizen participation plan. A mailing distribution list of approximately 200 names is revised continuously. The list includes public, private and social service agencies and individuals requesting notification of Consolidated Plan meetings, hearings and materials. Public notices for public hearings are published in Finance and Commerce, following City notification practices.

The various printed notices notify where copies of the Consolidated Plan and CAPER are available and invite persons to either speak at the public hearings and/or submit written comments. Public hearings are accessible and sign language interpretation is available for public hearings.

The public comment period for the 2017 CAPER was August 13-27, 2018. The City's Housing Policy and Development Committee held a public hearing on the 2017 CAPER on August 22, 2018. There was one comment recieved at the public hearing, and is summarized as "The City's process for notifying, and engaging with, underserved communities must be improved by diversifying the sources in which public hearing notices are posted and communicated. Aussre that public program beneficiaries are equitably represented by race, and work to assure the inclusion of minority owned contractors on publicly funded projects".

Copies of the draft 2017 CAPER were made available at the Office of Grants & Special Projects, Community Planning and Economic Development, Hennepin County Public Libraries located in the City of Minneapolis, Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid offices and upon request. The draft report is posted at the following website: www.minneapolismn.gov/grants. Copies of the final 2017 CAPER submitted to HUD on August 29, 2018 are available for public review at the Office of Grants & Special Projects (301M City Hall), and upon request.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No changes in program objectives have been made.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The City works with an outside agency to perform on-site and desk monitoring of HOME-funded projects to ensure compliance is being met by the owners of housing projects. Fifty-one projects received site visits during program year period. Others received desk audits or are scheduled for site visits in upcoming program year. The schedule for on-site inspection is dependent upon building size and type of assistance provided.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Prior to properties being held open to the public, CPED-contracted marketing agents mail out information to their mailing lists. In addition, properties are advertised for sale in various community newspapers as well as the Minneapolis Star Tribune and marketing signs on the property. Open houses are held allowing prospective buyers a review of the home interiors. All buyers are given the option of being represented by their choice of agent, who is paid by seller at time of closing. All interested in purchasing a property may submit purchase offers with accompanying documentation by a specified date. When multiple offers are received, an impartial in-house lottery is used to rank order offers.

Affirmative marketing actions and outreach to minority- and women-owned businesses continue to be performed in a satisfactory manner. It indicates continued efforts on the parts of owners to affirmatively market available units.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

The city expended \$509,057 of HOME program income in support of the development of 2 single family owner-occupied housing for new homeowners. The program income also supported 5 new rental units constructed in the North Loop neighborhood of Minneapolis for the Cameron project, the units are set aside for income levels at or below 50% median income.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City continues to pursue the following actions for the development of rental housing to grow the population and to have no net loss of affordable housing across all income levels. City housing policy is the positive gain on affordable housing units through:

• Annually seeking to create more units affordable at 30-60% of Area Median Income (AMI) through new construction/positive conversion than the number of habitable units affordable to 30-60% of AMI that are demolished as a result of City sponsored projects.

• Funding housing programs serving those above 60% of Area Median Income shall continue and those programs will remain a vital part of the City's housing policy.

• Twenty percent (20%) of the units of each City assisted housing project of ten or more units will be affordable to households earning 60% or less of the Area Median Income. It is understood that these affordable units may include any mix of rental and/or homeownership, and can be located on the project site or anywhere within the City of Minneapolis.

• Projects which utilize any funding from the Affordable Housing Trust Fund (CDBG/HOME) must have 20% of the housing units available to households at or below 50% of area median income. In the past year the City has provided financing for the development and preservation of affordable ownership housing by;

• Preserving and improving the physical condition of existing ownership housing through home improvement offerings.

• Supporting in-fill development of new three or more bedroom houses for large families through a combination of construction gap financing and affordability loans.

• Promoting and supporting first-time homeownership opportunities and outreach for traditionally underserved populations.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility		
assistance to prevent homelessness of the		
individual or family	0	0
Tenant-based rental assistance	153	134
Units provided in permanent housing facilities		
developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA		
funds	0	2
Units provided in transitional short-term		
housing facilities developed, leased, or		
operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

City of Minneapolis HOPWA resources are designated for the provision of tenant-based rental assistance, client advocacy and case management services, based on the needs identified by responses to its annual request for proposal (RFP) and by the recommendations from the MN HIV Housing Coalition. Project Sponsors under the grant are the Metropolitan Council HRA, JustUs Health and Clare Housing. Periodically, HOPWA entitlement funding is provided for capital projects developing permanent housing units. In program year 2017, 134 persons with HIV/AIDS were supported with HOPWA grant funding. Additionally, 36 facility-based units of which 2 units were supported with HOPWA capital funding came on line with Marshall Flats in Minneapolis, MN opening in August 2017. The City provides HOPWA supportive services funding to this project.

The City of Minneapolis works with project sponsors, funding partners and the Minnesota HIV/AIDS Housing Coalition to identify and develop its funding plans and priorities for HOPWA expenditures. The need to obtain or keep affordable housing is great, and the programming design for HOPWA assistance helps meet the housing needs of families and persons with HIV/AIDS. Stable housing situations are often second only to health care in importance for this population – and it is widely asserted that "housing is healthcare." JustUs Health and Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Authority (Metro HRA) coordinate to offer continued rental assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS when they expire their time limits in JustUs Health's transitional housing program. Clare Housing provides community located permanent housing units and supportive services for these units.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Co	omplete
Basic Grant Information	
Recipient Name	MINNEAPOLIS
Organizational DUNS Number	066530411
EIN/TIN Number	416005375
Indentify the Field Office	MINNEAPOLIS
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Minneapolis/Hennepin County CoC
ESG Contact Name	
Prefix	Mr
First Name	MATTHEW
Middle Name	A
Last Name	BOWER
Suffix	0
Title	Manager Resource Coordination
ESG Contact Address	
Street Address 1	350 South Fifth St.
Street Address 2	307M City Hall
City	Minneapolis
State	MN
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	6126732188

-6126732188 0 0 matthew.bower@minneapolismn.gov

ESG Secondary Contact Prefix

Extension

Fax Number

Email Address

Ms

CAPER
First Name	Tiffany		
Last Name	Glasper		
Suffix	0		
Title	Senior Project Coordinator		
Phone Number	6126735221		
Extension	0		
Email Address	tiffany.glasper@minneapolismn.gov		

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	06/01/2017
Program Year End Date	05/31/2018

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SALVATION ARMY (MINNEAPOLIS) City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip Code: 55403, 1309 DUNS Number: Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 255000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SIMPSON HOUSING SERVICES City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip Code: 55404, 2347 DUNS Number: 783848922 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 144000 Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ST. STEPHEN'S HUMAN SERVICES City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip Code: 55404, 3315 DUNS Number: 607313850 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 598392

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES HOUSING FIRST City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip Code: 55403, 2513 DUNS Number: 108504168 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 304932

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities - St. Paul/Mpls Higher Ground/Dorothy Day City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip Code: 55403, 2513 DUNS Number: 108504168 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 31400

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Asian Women United of MN City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip Code: 55406, 3466 DUNS Number: 962478335 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 128179

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in	Total	
Households		
Adults	0	
Children	0	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0	
Missing Information	0	
Total	0	

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic				
Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically				
Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabili	ties:			
Severely Mentally				
111	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance				
Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total				
(Unduplicated if				
possible)	0	0	0	0

Number of Persons in Households

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	24
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	8,760
Total Number of bed-nights provided	8,760
Capacity Utilization	100.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Still being developed with OEH.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2015	2016	2017	
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	64,360	166,595	87,022	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and				
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &				
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under				
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0	
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	64,360	166,595	87,022	

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year				
	2015 2016 2017				
Essential Services	0	0	0		
Operations	0	0	0		
Renovation	0	0	0		

Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	289,593	322,838	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year					
	2015 2016 20					
Street Outreach	150,000	0	0			
HMIS	0	4,934	0			
Administration	36,005	42,060	0			

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2015	2016	2017	
	539,958	536,427	87,022	

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2015	2016	2017
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	36,005	42,060	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	214,360	316,595	237,022

Private Funds	0	216,694	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	250,365	575,349	237,022

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG	2015	2016	2017
Activities			
	790,323	1,111,776	324,044

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

2017 HOPWA CAPER



Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 01/31/2021)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. Reporting is required for all HOPWA formula grantees. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 41 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Previous editions are obsolete

Overview. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER fulfills statutory and regulatory program reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives.

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

Table of Contents

PART 1: Grantee Executive Summary

- 1. Grantee Information
- 2. Project Sponsor Information
- Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment a. Grantee and Community Overview
 - b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
 - c. Barriers or Trends Overview
- PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income
- 1. Sources of Leveraging

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments PART 3: Accomplishment Data: Planned Goals and Actual Outputs

- PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes 1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments 3. Access to Care and Support: Housing Subsidy Assistance with

Supportive Services PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Use for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (Only)

- PART 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility Based Units, Master Leased Units ONLY) B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Continued Use Periods. Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation of a building or structure are required to operate the building or structure for HOPWAeligible beneficiaries for a ten (10) years period. If no further HOPWA funds are used to support the facility, in place of completing Section 7B of the CAPER, the grantee must submit an Annual Report of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This report is included in Part 6 in CAPER. The required use period is three (3) years if the rehabilitation is non-substantial

Record Keeping. Names and other individual information must be kept confidential, as required by 24 CFR 574.440. However, HUD reserves the right to review the information used to complete this report for grants management oversight purposes, except for recording any names and other identifying information. In the case that HUD must review client-level data, no client names or identifying information will be retained or recorded. Information is reported in aggregate to HUD without personal identification. Do not submit client or personal information in data systems to HUD.

In connection with the development of the Department's standards for Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS), universal data elements are being collected for clients of HOPWA-funded homeless assistance projects. These project sponsor records would include: Name, Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Ethnicity and Race, Gender, Veteran Status, Disabling Conditions, Residence Prior to Program Entry, Zip Code of Last Permanent Address, Housing Status, Program Entry Date, Program Exit Date, Personal Identification Number, and Household

Page ii

form HUD-40110-D (Expiration Date: 01/31/2021)

Identification Number. These are intended to match the elements under HMIS. The HOPWA program-level data elements include: Income and Sources, Non-Cash Benefits, HIV/AIDS Status, Services Provided, Housing Status or Destination at the end of the operating year, Physical Housing status or Destination at the end of the operating year, Present Disability, Developmental Disability, Chronic Health Condition, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Domestic Violence, Medical Assistance, and T-cell Count. Other HOPWA projects sponsors may also benefit from collecting these data elements. HMIS local data systems must maintain client confidentiality by using a closed system in which medical information and HIV status are only shared with providers that have a direct involvement in the client's case management, treatm line with the signed release of information from the client. nt, treatment and care, in

Operating Year. HOPWA formula grants are annually awarded for a three-year period of performance with three operating years. The information contained in this CAPER must represent a one-year period of HOPWA program operation that coincides with the grantee's program year; this is the operating year. More than one HOPWA formula grant awarded to the same grantee may be used during an operating year and the CAPER must capture all formula grant funding used during the operating year. Project sponsor accomplishment information must also coincide with the operating year this CAPER covers. Any change to the period of performance requires the approval of HUD by amendment, such as an extension for an additional operating year.

Final Assembly of Report. After the entire report is assembled, number each page sequentially

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee's State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: at HOPWA@hud.gov. Electronic submission to HOPWA Program office is preferred; however, if electronic submission is not possible, hard copies can be mailed to: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing. Room 7248, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451. Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C., 20410.

Definitions

Adjustment for Duplication: Enables the calculation of unduplicated output totals by accounting for the total number of households or units that received more than one type of HOPWA assistance in a given service category such as HOPWA Subsidy Assistance or Supportive Services. For example, if a client household received both TBRA and STRMU during the operating year, report that household in the category of HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in Part 3, Chart 1, Column [1b] in the following manner:

H	IOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	[1] Outputs: Number of Households
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	1
28.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units	
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies	
За.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	
4.	Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance	1
5.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	1
6.	TOTAL Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1-4 minus Row 5)	1

Administrative Costs: Costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting. By statute, grantee administrative costs are limited to 3% of total grant award, to be expended over the life of the grant. Project sponsor administrative costs are limited to 7% of the portion of the grant amount they necesive.

Beneficiary(ies): All members of a household who received HOPWA assistance during the operating year including the one individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance as well as any other members of the household (with or without HIV) who benefitted from the assistance.

Chronically Homeless Person: An individual or family who : (i) is homeless and lives or resides individual or family who: (i) Is homeless and lives or resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter, (ii) has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least 4 separate occasions in the last 3 years; and (iii) has an adult head of household (or a minor head of household if no adult is present in the household) with a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain nigury, or chronic physical illness or disability, including the co-occurrence of 2 or more of those conditions. Additionally, the statutory definition includes as chronically homeless a person who currently lives or resides in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar facility, and has resided there for fower than 90 days if such person met the other criteria for homeless prior to entering that facility. (See 42 U.S.C. 11360(2)) This does not include doubled-up or overcrowding situations.

Disabling Condition: Evidencing a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, chronic physical illness, or disability, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions. In addition, a disabling condition may limit an individual's ability to work or perform one or more activities of daily living. An HIV/AIDS diagnosis is considered a disabling condition.

Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All eligible HOPWA Housing expenditures for or associated with supporting facilities including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term facilities, project-based rental units, master leased units, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

Faith-Based Organization: Religious organizations of three types: (1) congregations; (2) national networks, which include national denominations, their social service arms (for example, Catholic Charities, Lutheran Social Services), and networks of related organizations (such as YMCA and YWCA); and (3) freestanding religious organizations, which are incorporated separately from congregations and national networks.

Grassroots Organization: An organization headquartered in the local community where it provides services; has a social services budget of \$300,000 or less annually, and six or fewer full-time equivalent employees. Local affiliates of national organizations are not considered "grassroots."

HOPWA Eligible Individual: The one (1) low-income person with HIV/AIDS who qualifies a household for HOPWA assistance. This person may be considered "Head of Household." When the CAPER asks for information on eligible individuals, report on this individual person only. Where there is more than one person with HIV/AIDS in the household, the additional PWH(A(s), would be considered a beneficiary(s).

HOPWA Housing Information Services: Services dedicated to helping persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families to identify, locate, and acquire housing. This may also include fair housing courseling for eligible persons who may encounter discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, familial status, or handicap/disability.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Total: The unduplicated number of households receiving housing subsidies (TBRA, STRMU, Permanent

Previous editions are obsolete

Page iii

Housing Placement services and Master Leasing) and/or residing in units of facilities dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families and supported with HOPWA funds during the operating year.

Household: A single individual or a family composed of two or more persons for which household incomes are used to determine eligibility and for calculation of the resident rent payment. The term is used for collecting data on changes in income, changes in access to services, receipt of housing information services, and outcomes on achieving housing stability. Live-In Aides (see definition for Live-In Aide) and nonbeneficiaries (e.g. a shared housing arrangement with a roommate) who resided in the unit are not reported on in the CAPER.

Housing Stability: The degree to which the HOPWA project assisted beneficiaries to remain in stable housing during the operating year. See Part 5: Determining Housing Stability Outcomes for definitions of stable and unstable housing situations.

In-kind Leveraged Resources: These are additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the criteria described in 2 CFR 200. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

Leveraged Funds: The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population. Leveraged funds or other assistance are used directly in or in support of HOPWA program delivery.

Live-In Aide: A person who resides with the HOPWA Eligible Individual and who meets the following criteria: (1) is essential to the care and wellbeing of the person; (2) is not obligated for the support of the person; and (3) would not be living in the unit except to provide the necessary supportive services. See 124 CFR 5.403 and the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide for additional reference.

Master Leasing: Applies to a nonprofit or public agency that leases units of housing (scattered-sites or entire buildings) from a landlord, and subleases the units to homeless or low-income tenants. By assuming the tenancy burden, the agency facilitates housing of clients who may not be able to maintain a lease on their own due to poor credit, evictions, or lack of sufficient income.

Operating Costs: Applies to facility-based housing only, for facilities that are currently open. Operating costs can include day-to-day housing function and operation costs like utilities, maintenance, equipment, insurance, security, furnishings, supplies and salary for staff costs cirectly related to the housing project but not staff costs for delivering services.

Outcome: The degree to which the HOPWA assisted household has been enabled to establish or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support.

Output: The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA assistance during the operating year.

Permanent Housing Placement: A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including but not limited to reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rent costs.

Program Income: Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration

requirements on program income at 2 CFR 200.307.

Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA): A rental subsidy program that is tied to specific facilities or units owned or controlled by a project sponsor. Assistance is tied directly to the properties and is not portable or transferable.

Project Sponsor Organizations: Per HOPWA regulations at 24 CFR 574.3, any nonprofit organization or governmental housing agency that receives funds under a contract with the granute to provide eligible housing and other support services or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. Project Sponsor organizations are required to provide performance data on households served and funds expended.

SAM: All organizations applying for a Federal award must have a valid registration active at sam.gov. SAM (System for Award Management) registration includes maintaining current information and providing a valid DUNS number.

Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance: A time-limited, housing subsidy assistance designed to prevent homelessness and increase housing stability. Grantees may provide assistance for up to 21 weeks in any 52-week period. The amount of assistance varies per client depending on funds available, tenant need and program guidelines. Stewardship Units: Units developed with HOPWA, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation that no longer receive operating subsidies from HOPWA. Report information for the units is subject to the three-year use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial and to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA): TBRA is a rental subsidy program similar to the Housing Choice Voucher program that grantees can provide to help low-income households access affordable housing. The TBRA voucher is not tied to a specific unit, so tenants may move to a different unit without losing their assistance, subject to individual program rules. The subsidy amount is determined in part based on household income and rental costs associated with the tenant's lease.

Transgender: Transgender is defined as a person who identifies with, or presents as, a gender that is different from his/her gender at birth.

Veteran: A veteran is someone who has served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States. This does not include inactive military reserves or the National Guard unless the person was called up to active duty.

Page iv

Housing Opportunities for Person With AIDS (HOPWA) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outputs and Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 01/31/2021)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.

HUD Grant Number MNH17F001		Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) 06/01/17 To (mm/dd/yy)			kl/yy) 05/31/18	
Grantee Name City of Minneapolis						
Business Address	301M City Hall, 350	South Fifth St.				
City, County, State, Zip	Minneapolis Hennepin		nepin	MN	55415	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	41-6005375					
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	066530411		Is the grantee's ⊠ Yes □ N	System for Award Management (SAM):: Is the grantee's SAM status currently active Yes No If yes, provide SAM Number:		
Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address	MN-005		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s)	NIA					
*City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: N/A		Counties: N/A	Counties: N/A		
Organization's Website Address www.mimespolism.gov		Services in th If yes, explain	ting list(s) for HOPWA Ho e Grantee Service Area? in the narrative section wi his list is administered.	🗆 Yes 🖾 N	lo lo	

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

Page 1

Project Sponsor Information (1 of 3)
 Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.
 Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households.
 Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Parent Company Name, if applicable				
Clare Housing	N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Chuck Peterson, Executive Director				
Email Address	Chuck.peterson@clareh	ousing.org			
Business Address	929 Central Ave. NE				
City, County, State, Zip,	Minneapolis, Hennepin,	MN 55413			
Phone Number (with area code)	(612) 236-9521				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	41-1794924 Fax Number (with area code) (612) 236-9520				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	92752033				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	MN-005				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	MN-005		55.1		
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Minneapolis		Counties: Hen	nepin	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$206,472				
Organization's Website Address	www.clarehousing.org				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes 🗆 No	Does your organi	zation maintain a w	aiting list? 🖾 Yes 🗆 No	
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization				n how this list is administered.	

Previous editions are obsolete

Project Sponsor Information (2 of 3)
 Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.
 Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households.
 Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Parent Company Name, if applicable				
JustUs Health	NA				
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Asneth Omere, Director of Housing and Prevention Programs				
Email Address	Asneth.Omere@JustUsl	Health.mn			
Business Address	2577 Territorial Road				
City, County, State, Zip,	St. Paul, Ramsey, MN 55114				
Phone Number (with area code)	(612) 373-2425				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	41-1524746 Fax Number (with area code) (612) 341-4057				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	1544611743				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	MIN-004				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	MN-002, MN-003, MN	-004, MN-005, MN-006, WI-003,	WI-007		
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Anoka County: Anoka, Columbia Heights, Coon Rapids, Fridley, Ramsey, Diskota County: Apple Valley, Burnsville, Eagan, Inver Grove Heights, South St. Paul; Hennepin County: Minnapolis, Richfield, Robbinsdale, St. Louis Park; Ramsey County: Malewood, New Brighton, St. Paul, White Bear Lake; Scott County: Prior Lake; St. Croix County: Hudson; Washington County: Maltonnedi			t, Anoka, Hennepin, Ramsey, ikota, Scott, Carver	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$502,000				
Organization's Website Address	www.JustUsHealth.mn				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes 🗆 No	Does your organizatio	on maintain a w	aiting list? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.			

Previous editions are obsolete

Project Sponsor Information (3 of 3)
 Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.
 Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households.
 Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable			
Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Auth	lority	State of Minnesota			
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Tammy Wiger, Program Operations Supervisor				
Email Address	Tammy.Wiger@metc.st	late imn.us			
Business Address	390 North Robert St.				
City, County, State, Zip,	St. Paul, Ramsey, MN 5	55101			
Phone Number (with area code)	(651) 602-1600				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	41-6008898	101	Fax Num (651) 602	ber (with area code)	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	030018576		(0.01) 002		
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	MN-064				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	MN-002, MN-003, MN	-004, MN-005, MN-006			
City(les) <u>and</u> County(les) of Primary Service Area(s)	Fridley, Ramsey, Carve Chantrassan, Waconia; I Burnsville, Apple Valle Rosemount, Farmington Paul, South St, Paul, M County: Bloomington, I Crystal, Hopkins, Maple Robbinsdele, St. Louis J Plymouth, Golden Vull Ramsey County: Maple White Bear Lake, Rose Heights, Falcon Height County: Prior Lake, Sta Jordan, New Prague; W Stillwater, Woodbury, C Lake, Oakdale, Hugo	Carver County: Chaska, Carver, onia; Dakota County: Eagan, Valloy, Hastings, Lakoville, ington, Inver Grove Heights, West St. ui, Mandtot Heights; Harnepin gon, Brooklyn Park, Brooklyn Cerner, Maple Grove, Minneapolis, Richfield, Josis Park, Edrin, Eden Prairie, Valley, New Hoghe, Minnetonka; Maplewoot, New Brighton, St. Paul, Roseville, Arden Hills, Vadhais Heights, North Oaks, Storeview: Scott e.s, Stekopee, Savage, Belle Plaine, es, Waltsington County: Maltomedi, ury, Cottage Grove, Lake Emo, Forest		: Anoka, Hennepin, Ramsey, Washington, icott, Carver	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$503,613				
Organization's Website Address	www.metrocouncil.	org			
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes X No	Does your organizati	on maintair	n a waiting list? 🗆 Yes 🛛 No	
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization		If yes, explain in the	narrative s	ection how this list is administered.	

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 4

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. Note: Text fields are expandable.

City of Minneapolis HOPWA resources are designated for the provision of tenant-based rental assistance, client advocacy and case management services, based on the needs identified by responses to its annual request for proposal (RFP) and by the recommendations from the MN HIV Housing Coalition. Project Sponsors under the grant are the Metropolitan Council HRA, JustUs Health and Clare Housing. Periodically, HOPWA entitlement funding is provided for capital projects developing permanent housing units. In program year 2017, 134 persons with HIV/AIDS were supported with HOPWA grant funding. Additionally, 36 facility-based units supported with HOPWA capital funding came on line with Marshall Flats in Minneapolis, MN which opened in August 2017. The City provides HOPWA supportive services funding to this project.

The City of Minneapolis works with project sponsors, funding partners and the Minnesota HIV/AIDS Housing Coalition to identify and develop its funding plans and priorities for HOPWA expenditures. The need to obtain or keep affordable housing is great, and the programming design for HOPWA assistance helps meet the housing needs of families and persons with HIV/AIDS. Stable housing situations are often second only to health care in importance for this population – and it is widely asserted that "housing is healthcare." JustUs Health and Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Authority (Metro HRA) coordinate to offer continued rental assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS when they expire their time limits in JustUs Health's transitional housing program. Clare Housing provides community located permanent housing units and supportive services for these units.

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

 Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your operating year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

With the opening and operation of Marshall Flats, HOPWA capital funds provided for 2 permanent, affordable housing units. In addition, the City of Minneapolis awarded additional HOPWA dollars allowing for the provision of core supportive services to 36 very low-income people living with HIV/AIDS at the facility. Relating to the Minnesota HIV Housing Coalition's goal of developing more affordable housing units for low-income persons with HIV, Marshall Flats' 36 additional units brings the number of HIV-specific housing units in Minneapolis to 159 subsidized units.

The Transitional Housing Program (THP) of JustUs Health provided services to 66 households with HOPWA grant funds. Client enter the THP program waitlist by referrals from HIV Medical Case Managers and other providers in the HIV systems. The goal of THP is to optimize client's ability to obtain and maintain decent affordable housing thorough a scattered site model which will help optimize a client's health in the community in which they wish to live. Clients work with their assigned Housing Specialist to locate housing and to create and implement a plan to find long term affordable housing solutions. While participating in THP, clients may use their time to get education/training for future jobs, take time to look for work or to apply for social security benefits and/or apply for as many long time subsidized housing options as available.

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 5

JustUs Health and Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Authority (Metro HRA) coordinate to offer continued rental assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS when clients expire their time limits in JustUs Health's THP. Thorough this program design, 68 additional HIV/AIDS households were served with HOPWA rental assistance and able to be housed throughout the metropolitan area.

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program's success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

In the Marshall Flats facility, of the initial 36 households leased up during the initial lease period, 31 of those households (86%) are still in their housing as of the end of the program year. Program activities such as a 1.0 FTE Support Services Manager on site, 24/7 front desk Resident Assistants contribute greatly to resident success. For example, Resident Assistants ensure that residents get support and structure around guest management for residents which is greatly impactful in reduced lease violations, etc., involving those guests. Educational activities leading to greater housing stability are also helpful in assisting new residents understand their lease and basic expectations of living in congregate housing setting. Also, when a resident is involved in activity that leads to a lease violation, the Supportive Services Manager will collaborate with property management to create an Eviction Prevention Plan with the resident. This intervention led to several residents being able to maintain their housing during this period even though they engaged in activities that could have led to housing termination.

Out of the 66 clients in the THP program, 64 maintained contact with their HIV Medical Case Manager, 62 clients-maintained contact with their HIV Physician and 66 clients maintained their medical insurance. The program continues to coordinate services with clients' HIV Medical Case Manager so that appropriate interventions take place to assist clients in maintaining their housing and meeting their health goals.

In 2017-18 all clients completed evaluations at the time of discharge from the program 95% of these clients stated that they were satisfied with their current housing, they felt confident they could work out problems with their landlords and maintain current housing.

Client comments included, but not limited to a client stating that their Housing Specialist helped the, find housing and understand how to keep housing. One client stated that THP helped them focus their energy on going to school enabling them to feel ready to find a job in their chosen career.

The Metropolitan Council HRA Housing Assistance Program (HAP) had seven households exit the program, one household had enough income to exit the program with the ability to pay full rent, and one household was deceased, five households had an expired certificate. The HRA coordinates with JustUs Health's THP providing households an opportunity to receive assistance in their current unit or select another unit of their choice. The objective of the program is that 70% of participants will maintain housing. for one year or more. Currently, 77% of participants have been on HAP for one year or more. Presently, 35% of total participants have an adult(s) in the household that are employed. While in the THP program, all participants are encouraged and guided by JustUs Health to apply for any programs or income sources they qualify for, such as SSI, Food Support, etc. By working with participants to obtain all benefits they qualify for, the Metropolitan Council HRA HAP can keep HOPWA housing subsidies at a minimum and assist more households than the project funding identifies.

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 6

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

Prior to the opening of Marshall Flats, Clare Housing worked with the State of Minnesota Housing Supports Division to help pass legislation to expand the Group Residential Housing Demonstration Project to include Marshall Flats in its pilot project. This provided both rental assistance supports and services dollars for the project. The program model also allows for broader definition of homelessness to be served at Marshall Flats, as it includes both long-term homeless as well as currently homeless persons, including those persons leaving a substance abuse and/or mental health treatment facility with no identified housing options. This has been an effective partnership and a good fit for funding rental subsidy and services for formerly homeless residents. To find residents coming out of these situations, Clare Housing coordinated with HIV medical case management programs, clinic social workers, substance abuse treatment discharge workers, etc. to receive referrals in addition to its own waiting list. When required, Clare Housing collaborates with the Hennepin County Coordinated Entry System to find high vulnerability HIV+ residents who are in homeless shelters or on the streets and move them immediately into housing. Approximately one-third of residents came through Coordinated Entry.

Once in housing, Clare Housing staff coordinate with medical agencies such as Hennepin Health Positive Care Center, Allina Infectious Disease Clinics and Park Nicollet Medical Center. Clare Housing also works with Ryan White funded HIV case management agencies such as JustUs Health, African-American AIDS Task Force, the Aliveness Project and Indigenous People's HIV Task Force. Services are also coordinated with other Ryan White funded programs such as "Every Penny Counts" (Emergency Funds), and JustUs Health's Transportation program and Mental Health Clinic.

JustUs Health has committed leveraged funding from Hennepin County to support the THP program. The leveraged funds support administrative and services positions and pays for other costs not allowable under HOPWA funding. Thus, JustUs Health can provide comprehensive services to THP clients. The transitional housing program coordinates with mainstream housing and supportive services and HIV specific services and coordinated care with all metro area HIV Medical Case Managers. Social and medical service funded through Ryan White Care Act are available to THP clients and include numerous HIV specific educational and supportive services such as medication adherence assistance, support groups, on-site meals, food delivery and food shelves, mental health services, chemical health services and more, that are all sensitive to the needs of persons living with HIV. All clients in THP are required to have a HIV Medical Case Manager while in the program to support services while in the program and to ease transition off the program at discharge. While in THP, the client and their assigned Housing Specialist work to apply for housing vouchers and public housing waitlists that come open. An established Memorandum of Understanding with Metropolitan Council HRA's Housing Assistance Program manages long term HOPWA rental subsidy funding.

The HIV services system in the Twin Cities is extensive and comprehensive and Housing Specialists and HIV Medical Case Managers successfully coordinate services both within the system as well as the corrections, mental health/chemical dependency, and child welfare systems to assist clients in meeting their needs.

 Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries. None identified.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 7

 Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

The most frequent barrier facing HOPWA eligible households is the wait time for a permanent subsidy. The current Housing Choice Voucher list for Metropolitan Council HRA and other metropolitan area public housing authorities is closed or when opened, are overapplied for available vouchers by multiple times over. Thus, certificate turnover for Housing Assistance Program households is low which affects those households reaching limits in the Transitional Housing Program. The program response is to continue to allocate HOPWA funds for tenant-based housing subsidies. These subsidies play a key role in helping many HIV positive persons obtain and ultimately maintain stable housing. With the increase in the local HOPWA formula award amount being made available to the Twin Cities, the strategy is to increase the number of subsidies to be made available.

A second barrier is placing clients who have legal and criminal histories. As the housing market has a less than a 2% vacancy rate, finding housing for clients with blemishes on their record becomes more difficult. Housing programs foster relationships with landlords in the community, to work finding housing for those clients with extensive eviction and legal histories.

The community also continues to see higher rents related to a low vacancy rates that makes it a challenge to find housing that is within rental standards for our area.

Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

The Twin Cities region continues to experience a tight housing rental market. The region has a vacancy rate of about 2.7% and rising rents. This tight market makes finding and maintain affordable housing for HIV positive low-income individuals incredibly difficult. Section 8 and public housing waitlist rarely have any openings and when open, resulting waits are from 5-7 years. The need for long term housing certificates-subsidies continues to increase. As treatments for HIV continue to improve and result in healthier, longer lives for PLWH/A, the need for affordable housing units continues to increase, as more and more people are living with HIV in our community. Many of the new infections of HIV (average of 300 per year for the past several years) occur in low-income, marginalized communities where affordable housing is a tremendous need.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

Clare Housing collects residents' HIV viral loads to measure the impact of supportive housing on residents' HIV engagement in care. During the lease-up period, the 36 residents who entered Marshall Flats had a combined "undetectable" percentage of 89%. The agency's goal is to achieve 90% viral undetectability for our residents who have been housed for 12 months. In the next reporting period, Clare Housing will be able to report out on the percentage of residents at Marshall Flats who have been housed for that duration and calculate client viral load percentages. Clare Housing has collected this information for the past four years and these studies are available for review by the public.

All JustUs Health THP clients complete a program evaluation at the time of discharge. These evaluations assist in planning for future services. THP also completes six-month and 12-month follow up phone assessment after discharge to assess if the THP discharged clients could maintain housing.

Previous editions are obsolete

Results of the evaluations are included in RFP responses annually with the City of Minneapolis as well as our agency external communication as possible.

End of PART 1

HOPWA/HUD Regulations	Planning	Housing Availability	Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
Discrimination/Confidentiality	Multiple Diagnoses	Eligibility	Technical Assistance or Training
Supportive Services	Credit History	Rental History	Criminal Justice History
Housing Affordability	Geography/Rural Access	Other, please explain further	

Previous editions are obsolete

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support. **Note:** Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

[1] Source of Leveraging	[2] Amount of Leveraged Funds	[3] Type of Contribution	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support
Public Funding	8		in the second second second
Ryan White-Housing Assistance			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Ryan White-Other			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Housing Choice Voucher Program			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Low Income Housing Tax Credit			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
НОМЕ			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Continuum of Care			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Emergency Solutions Grant			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Public: Hennepin County	158,446	Admin	Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Public: GRH Demo Program "Rent"	155,442	GRH rents	 Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Public: GRH Demo Program "Services"	135,843	GRH Services and Admin	Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Public: S.H.I.F.	95,107	SHIF Grant for services	Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Public:			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Private Funding			
Grants "Welcome Baskets" for Marshall Flats residents	7,181	Services	Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
In-kind Resources			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Private:			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Private:			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Funding			
Grantee/Project Sponsor (Agency) Cash			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord	235,402		
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	787,421		

Previous editions are obsolete

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A, report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of program income. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected		Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)	
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	425,950	
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	55,134	
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	\$481,084	

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on HOPWA programs		Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)
1.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	198,944
2.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non- direct housing costs	270,257
3.	Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	\$469,201

End of PART 2

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 11

PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

		[1] Output: Households		[2] Outpu	t: Funding		
	HOPWA Performance Planned Goal		PWA stance		everaged ouseholds	HOPWA Funds	
			b.	c.	d.	е.	f.
	and Actual	- Coul	Achal	Goal	Actual	HOPWA	augu ang
	HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Outp	ut: Hou	useholds	[2] Outpu	t: Funding
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	130	134		President 1	935.220	832,982
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)						
Zb.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served) (Households Served)						
ša,	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)	0	0	36	36	0	D
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
6	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	1		1997			-
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services						
5.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)						di la constante da la constante
7.	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (Columns a – d equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 1-5)	130	134	36	36	935.220	832,982
	Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)	[1] Output	: Hous	ing Units	[2] Output: Funding	
8.	Facility-based units; Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)						
); – j	Stewardship Units subject to 3- or 10- year use agreements	2	2				
10.	Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 8 & 9)	2	2				
	Supportive Services		[1] Outp	ut: Hou	seholds	[2] Outpu	t: Funding
23	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that also delivered <u>HOPWA</u> housing subsidy assistance						
	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that only provided supportive services.	36	39			206,472	26,915
12.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)						
13.	Total Supportive Services (Columns a – d equals the sum of Rows 11 a & b minus Row 12; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 11a & 11b)	36	39				26,915
	Housing Information Services		[1] Outp	ut: Hou	iseholds	[2] Outpu	it: Funding
14.	Housing Information Services			No.			1
15.	Total Housing Information Services						

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 12

201	Grant Administration and Other Activities	[1] Output: Househo	ids [2] Out	[2] Output: Funding	
16.	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources				
17.	Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)				
18.	Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)		36.067	15,801	
19.	Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)		70.393	59,552	
20.	Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 15 – 19)		106,460	75,353	
	Total Expended			HOPWA Funds	
			Budget	Actual	
21.	Total Expenditures for operating year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)		1,248,152	935,250	

2. Listing of Supportive Services Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

	Supportive Services	Supportive Services [1] Output: Number of Households	
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance		
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services		
3.	Case management	39	26,915
4.	Child care and other child services		
5.	Education		
6.	Employment assistance and training		
7.	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR 5574.310		
8.	Legal services		
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)		
10.	Meals/nutritional services		
11.	Mental health services		
12.	Outreach		
13.	Transportation		
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:		
15.	Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)	39	
16.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)		10000
17.	TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	39	26,915

Previous editions are obsolete

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a, enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households. In Row e, enter the total number of stranu-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g, report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a, column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a, column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b and f, respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1]. Rows b, c, d, e, and f equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b, c, d, e, f, and g, equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

Housing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)		Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU) [1] Output: Number of Households Served	
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance		
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY.		
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.		
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY.		
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.		
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY.		
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		

End of PART 3

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 14

Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type. In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column[1]. Note: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Nex Operating Year	[3] Assessment: No Households that ex HOPWA Program; th Status after Ex	cited this reir Housing	[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes	
			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing	3	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
			3 Private Housing	8		
Tenant-Based Rental	134	110	4 Other HOPWA			
Assistance			5 Other Subsidy	3	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH	
			6 institution			
			7 Jail/Prison			
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	9	 Unstable Arrangements 	
			9 Death	1	Life Event	
			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing		Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
			3 Private Housing			
Permanent Supportive			4 Other HOPWA			
Housing			5 Other Subsidy		Stable/Permanent Housing (Pf	
Facilities/ Units			6 Institution		_	
			7 Jail/Prison			
			B Disconnected/Unknown		Unstable Arrangements	
			9 Death		Life Event	
	Housing Assistance [1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Num Households that exitu HOPWA Program; Housing Status after (d this their [4] HOPWA Client Outcomes	
			1 Emergency Shelten/Streets		Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing		Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
Transitional/ Short-Term			3 Private Housing			
Housing			4 Other HOPWA		Paralal and the second state of the second sta	
Facilities/ Units			5 Other Subsidy		Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
			6 Institution			
			7 Jail/Prison		Underlie Berneren	
			8 Disconnected/uniknown		Unstable Arrangements	

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-40110-D (Expiration Date: 01/31/2021)

Life Event

9 Death

B1: Total number of households receiving transitional/short-term housing
assistance whose tenure exceeded 24 months

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].

In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor's best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year. Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required. At the bottom of the chart:

- - · In Row 1a, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
 - In Row 1b, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the ٠ two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

[1] Output: Total number of households	[2] Assessment of Housing Status	[3] HOPWA Client Outcomes
	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)	
	Other Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	stabler ernanent housing (* 1)
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	
	Institution (e.g. residential and long-term care)	
	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term (e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement (e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)	
	Emergency Shelter/street	
	Jail/Prison	Unstable Arrangements
	Disconnected	
	Desth	Life Event
	ouseholds that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this re rior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in two	
	ouseholds that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this re wo prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance	

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 16

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors that did <u>NOT</u> provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b below.

1.	For Proj	of Households ect Sponsors that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that receiv HOPWA-funded services:	ved the
	а.	Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	134
	b.	Case Management	
	C.	Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	Ū
	d.	Total Households Served by Project Sponsors with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a and b minus Row c)	134
2.		ect Sponsors did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that re HOPWA-funded service:	eceived th
	8.	HOPWA Case Management	39
	b.	Total Households Served by Project Sponsors without Housing Subsidy Assistance	39

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

Categories of Services Accessed	 For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following: 	[2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
 Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on- going housing 	128	38	Support for Stable Housing
 Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management) 	132	35	Access to Support
 Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan 	130	35	Access to Health Care
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	134	38	Access to Health Care
Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	131	39	Sources of Income

Chart 1b, Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name	Veterans Affairs Medical Services AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name	 Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance 		

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 17

Chart 1b, Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- Earned Income
- Veteran's Pension
- Unemployment Insurance
 Pension from Former Job
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
- supplemental security income (551)
- Child Support
 Social Security Disability Income (SSDI)
- Alimony or other Spousal Support
 - Veteran's Disability Payment
 - Retirement Income from Social Security
- Worker's Compensation
- General Assistance (GA), or use local program name
 - Private Disability Insurance
 Temporary Assistance for Needy
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
- Other Income Sources

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/courseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor or obtained outside this agency.

٠

Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1 For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	5	9	

End of PART 4

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)

 This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance	Stable Housing (# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6)	Temporary Housing (2)	Unstable Arrangements (1+7+8)	Life Event (9)
Terant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	121	3	9	1
Permanent Facility- based Housing Assistance/Units				
Transitional/Short- Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units				
Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		5 5 6		
Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance	Stable/Permanent Housing	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	Unstable Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)				
Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance				

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed. 4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.

5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).

6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).

7 = Jail /prison.

8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. <u>Unstable</u> <u>Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 19

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary <u>Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. <u>Temporarily Stable</u>, with <u>Reduced Risk of Homelessness</u> is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements <u>unstitutional STRMU assistance</u>; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements <u>Unstable Situation</u> is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used, they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s)	Operating Year for this report From (05/01/17) To (05/31/18)		
MNH14F001	□ Yr 1; □ Yr 2; ⊠ Yr 3; □ Yr 4; □ Yr 5; □ Yr 6; □ Yr 7; □ Yr 8; □ Yr 9; □ Yr 10		
Grantee Name City of Minneapolis	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy) 12/02/15		

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name: Clare Terrace	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year	
Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)	2	23,924	

3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	Clare Terrace
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	55422
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	MN-05
Is the address of the project site confidential?	Yes, protect information; do not list
	Not confidential; information can be made available to the public
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address	Chuck Peterson, (612) 236-9521, <u>chuck peterson@clarehousing.org</u> , 3555 France Ave. N., Robbinsdale, MN 55422

End of PART 6

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 21
Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)

Note: Reporting for this section should include ONLY those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services ONLY).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals Who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) <u>low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS</u> who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.	134

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b, report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a above.

	Category	Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	Continuing to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	110
New	Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year	
2.	Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	1
3.	Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher)	1
4.	Transitional housing for homeless persons	4
5.	Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	6
6.	Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	
7.	Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	
8.	Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	3
9.	Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	
10.	Foster care home or foster care group home	
11.	Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	
12.	Rented room, apartment, or house	11
13.	House you own	
14.	Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	3
15.	Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	
16.	Other	1
17.	Don't Know or Refused	
18.	TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	134

Previous editions are obsolete

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c, indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b, Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c do not need to equal the total in Chart b, Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	1	2

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a, report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of each of the Charts b & c on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a, Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total Number
 Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a) 	134
Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	6
Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefited from the HOPWA housing subsidy	79
4. TOTAL number of ALL beneficiaries served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1, 2, & 3)	219

Page 23

b. Age and Gender In Chart b, indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a, Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a, Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E, equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

		÷	IOPWA Eligible	Individuals (Chart a, F	Row 1)	
		A	В.	с.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
1	Under 18					
2	18 to 30 years	5	4			8
3.	31 to 50 years	21	33			64
4.	51 years and Older	41	30			71
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	67	67			134
		A	II Other Benefic	iaries (Chart a, Rows 2	and 3)	
		A.	В.	С.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
6.	Under 18	26	28			54
7.	18 to 30 years	13	0			19
8.	31 to 50 years	8	1	j.		B
9.	51 years and Older	4	3			₫
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	47	38			85
			Total Benef	iciaries (Chart a, Row 4)	
11.	TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10)	114	105			219

Previous editions are obsolete

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c, indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4. Report the <u>race</u> of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the <u>ethnicity</u> of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the <u>race</u> of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the <u>ethnicity</u> of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

Category		HOPWA Eligi	ble Individuals	All Other Beneficiaries		
		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Rows 2 & 3]	(D) Ethnicity [Also identified a Hispanic or Latino]	
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	5		3	1	
2.	Asian	1		6		
3.	Black/African American	82	2	63	2	
4,	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	a	1	1	3	
5.	White	41	5	8	3	
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	3	1		6.) (197.) m	
7.	Asian & White					
8.	Black/African American & White			3		
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American			040		
10.	Other Multi-Racial	1		1		
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	134	9	85	8	

*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

Section 3. Households

Household Area Median Income

Report the income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il.html for information on area median income in your community.

	Percentage of Area Median Income	Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1,	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	133
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	1
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	134

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 25

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor should complete Part 6: Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a, Project Site Information, and 2b, Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor Agency Name (Required)

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year) Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year		velopment Expended operating this operating year year (if applicable)		Name of Facility: Marshall Flats			
	lew construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check only one box.]			
R	ehabilitation	\$ \$ \$ \$		O Permanent housing Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing			
	cquisition			 Supportive services only facility 			
	perating	s	s	-			
a	Purchase/lease of	of property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):			
b.	Rehabilitation/C	construction Dates:		Date started: Date Completed:			
c.	Operation dates:			Date residents began to occupy:			
d.	Date supportive	services began:		Date started: Not yet providing services			
e.	Number of units	in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units = Total Units =			
t.:	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		2	Yes IN0 If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year 203			
g	What is the addr	ress of the facility (if differ	ent from business address)?				
h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?			Yes, protect information; do not publish list No, can be made available to the public			

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 26

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a, please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy- Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor

Charts 3a, 3b, and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a and 3b, indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
 Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project	Sponsor/A	gency O	perating	the Facilit	y/Leased U	nits: Clare Housing

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor		Total Number of Onits in use during th					
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
ä.	Single room occupancy dwelling						
b,	Community residence						
C.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units				-		
d.	Other housing facility Specify:						

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor
a.	Leasing Costs		
b.	Operating Costs		
с.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) Specify: Supportive Services		
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)		
1.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a through d minus Row e)		

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 27

2017 HOME Match Report

HOME Match Report

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development OMB Approval No. 2506-0171 (exp. 12/31/2012)

							Match Contr	ibutions fo	or
Part Participant Ide	ntification						Federal Fis	cal Year ((УУУУ) 2017
1. Participant No. (assigned b			lon			3. Name of Contact (p	erson complet	ng this rep	ort)
MC-270201		f Minneapolis				Matt Bower			
							umber (include	area code) 73-2188	
350 South Fifth St., 3	01M City Hall	-					012-0	73-2188	
6. City Minneapolis		7.3	State MN	8. Zlp Code 55415					
Part II Fiscal Year Su	nmany		MUN	00410					
1. Excess match		deral fiscal year				\$ 36.	176,155.56		
2. Match contrib	outed during cu	rrent Federal fiscal y	ear (see Part III.9.)			•	132,985.08		
3. Total match a	available for cur	rrent Federal fiscal ye	ear (line 1 + line 2)					\$	36,309,140.62
4. Match liability	for current Fe	deral fiscal year						\$	305,832.76
5. Excess match	h carried over t	o next Federal fiscal	year (line 3 minus line	: 4)				\$	36,003,307.86
Part III Match Contribu	ition for the Fe	ederal Fiscal Year				7. Site Preparation,			
1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution	3. Cash (non-Federal sources)	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure	Construction Materials, Donated labor	8. Bo Financ		9. Total Match
2412-PPL Youthlink	(mm/dd/yyyy) 04/20/2017	23,544			109,441				132,985

ESG CAPER 2017



HUD ESG CAPER 2017 - By Project Type

Grant: E8G: Minneapolis - MN - Report Type CAPER

And the state of the local	The Patrick of Same blands and Patrick (TEA) and data same?	
Organization Nano	The Solvation Army blothern Division (TSA) (no data entry)	
Organization ID	C60	
Project Nama	T3A HLC-E3 HCC-HuD ESG Mpls EmHsg for Nor 1939	
PightUD		
HMIS Project Type		
Method of Tracking ES	D .	
is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residential project	?	
Mentity the Project 10% of the Housing Projects this Project is Afflicated with		
CSV Exception?	No	
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yhea	
Smail unique 10 record link	Xal-yhmi351-	
Project name (user-specifies)	Hadoor Light Center Emergency Mons Housing	
Project type (com-specified)	Emergency Sheller	
Organization Name	The Selvation Army Northern Division (15A) (no data entry)	
Organization 10	100	
Project blame	TSA HLC ES HCC HUD ESG Mole Em Hkg for Women	
Project ID	1940	
HMIB Project Type	1	
Mathod of Tradking ES	0	
s the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) afficied with a recidential project	2	
dentify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Alfikated with		
CSV Ecceptor/V	ko	
Uploaded via error led hyperlink?	Y05	
Small unique IC record link	4K1v0~6EA	
Project name (User specifies)	Harbor Light Center Emergency Womens Housing	
Project type (User-specified)	Enargency Sheller	
Organization Name	The Salvation Army Northern Division (T3A) (no dolp ontry)	
Organization ID	5991	
Project Name	TSA HUGESHCCHUD ESG-NobeBale Bay	
Project ID	1913	
IMIS Project Type	1	
Veloci of Tracking CS	5	
is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) altituded with a residential project	7	
klondly the Project IO's of the Housing Projects this Project is Allificial with		
CSV Exception?	hu	
Upbaded via emailed hyperink?	Yes	
Email unique ID record Infr	MISP24W10	
Project memor (user-specified)	Parton Liphi Center Salatery	
Project type (user-operated)	Emergency Starter	
Organization Name	The Selvel on Army Northern Division (1954) (no data tarias)	
Organization ID.	665	
Project Name	T3A HLC ES HCCHUD ESG-Male-Saty #	
Project ID	1942	
HMIS Project Type		
Method of Tracking ES	3	
is the Services Only (HVIS Project Type 5) affiliated with a resident al project		
inersity the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliated with		
carring the respect to a time reasoning responsions responses were also been as	No	
Cover Exceptionality Uptimeted was summitted involution?	Yes -	
Opaneteri ve arrando rysendov Pros Lanique ID respondante	(a Bestived)	

Project names (usan-spacificat)	Harson Light Center Set/a
Project type (user-spectiled)	Emergency Shelter
Organization Name	Lize Salvation Army Northern Division (USA) (no onle entry)
G yaribason ID	565
- Project Name	zz T84 HLC SS HCC-HUD ESG McIs Salks Winter Overflow-down 11/1/12
Fridaud #1	લો છ
HMIS Frajeci Type	1
Method of Tracking ES	5
is the Services Only (HHIS Project Type 1) officied with a residential project?	6
Mentify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project IS APFlated with	
CSV Graeton?	No
Uploaded via emailed fwperink?	Yes
Email un'ave KR record link	ubables. Pyl
Project name (user-specified)	Harbor Light Cattler Sally's Winter Ovenlaw
Project type (use repectified)	Emorgancy Shoker
Organization Name	Simpson Housing Services (FOC (no data entry)
Organization (D	173
Project Name	Stepson Single-RR1-H00-FU0-ESG-Singlu Audi RRH ESG
Pojeci ID	1747
HNIS Project Type	13
neta regeo (900 Mehas of Traségo ES	0
in the Services Coly (1141S Project Type 3) affiliated with presidential project?	
Mentry for Project IDa of the Honary Projects for Project & Affinia Sci with	
CSV Exception ¹	λu
Uckadod via smalled hyperlink?	Yhre
Email unique ID record into	bound clear 1
Project narrie (use: specified)	Simpson Housing
Hone: har ha (usa: specifica) Project type (usa: specifica)	PH - Ropid Re-Housing
Organizel no Neme	The Solution Army Northern Division (TSA) (no data errors)
Constitution 10	500
Project Martie	22-TSA HLC-ES HCC HUD ESG M: Is FCC Winty Shellowdoed S?//17
Project ID	2921
HMIS Project Type	1
Melliod of Tracking ES	3
is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 0) alfillated with a residential project?	
Identify See Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Athlaton with	
CSV Exception?	No.
Uploaded via englise: "sperints?	Yos
Email unig a lO record int	T2NZc1UgM
Project name (user - specified)	Harbor Light Center FOS Winter Shoher
Project Non To (door of prior boo) Project Non (door of prior boo)	Emergency Shelter
Project (glor (data appendix) Organization Name	Archeterage of St. Paul & Minutepolis (CCSPM) HOOROO (10 Fata 6177)
Crightization Name	1074
Project Neme	nosya novesni točka seHC koja Stetav (171 bela)
Majaci Marina Majaci ID	2817
	1
HNIS Project Type Method of Tradonp ES	3
onerna or inactory do is the Services Only (15015 Firmland Type 5) affiliated with a residential project?	
dentity the Project ICEs of the Licensery Projects the Project is Africated with 2017 Description	Nu
CBV Exception?	Yaa
Upleaded via emailed Ayperlink?	
Email unique ID record link	hi v Sv18Kov Histor Swand Simbe
Project name (user-specified)	
Project (ype (user-spucificu))	Emergency Stretter St. Stephente Burner Services-HCC (en data autor)
Organization Name	
Organization ID	420 St. Starbart ELLEDH LICE-HELESCARK S
Rojeti Name Projeti ID	SI, Supher's FITREIT FCC-100-ESC-MIN,S 8448
NMIS Project Type	19

is the Sorvices Citly (FNIS Project Type 5) all lated with a weak	antia crujaci)
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projectis Inte Project is AM	lianed with
CBV Escaption?	No
Upicated was enabled hypothek?	No.
Email unique ID record nk	aPrFI6K35F
Project name (user-specifies)	St. Sheptens
Hojechype (user-specificid)	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
Organization Mane	St. Stephenis Human Services I CC (no data entry)
Organization ID	420
Project Nerro	B. Stephene-SU-HOX-HUD-ESG-MPLB
Project ID	3444
HMIS Project Type	
Metrid of trading ES	
is the Services Grip (HNIS Project Type 8) at field ϕ (1.2, reside	ondal project?
dentity the Project (C/a of the Housing Projects this Project is Aff	lianed with
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via presided hyperink?	The
Email onique ID nacord Ink	RVCYsaR0eD
Ројес: патна (кан-орак)(неб)	St. Stephens Street Cutward
Project hype (upper-specified)	Street Outroach
Organization Name	Additional of St. Paul 5 Microspells (CCSPMI-HCCRCC (no risks arisy)
Organization (D	10.74
Project Mainte	COSTING Map H-1041-HOC-HUD-E80-Mata-Stagles
Project ID	3166
HMIS Freques Type	3
Method of Locking L3	
is the Shrvees Diriy (FMIS Project Type S) affiliated with a rusid:	mia project?
Iden ify the Project IO's of the Housing Projects Inte Project is Alf	i and with
03V Exception7	No
Upleaded via created hyperfick?	"ba
Email unique ID record I nk	(By) Zow Clow
Protect nemts (user-opsicifics)	Housing Firel
Project type (user-specified)	2H - Rayal Re-Housing
216a: Report Validations Tablo	
Telel Number of Persons Served	1414
Number of Acults (Age 18 or Over)	7595
Number of Children (Under Age 14)	5
Number of Persons with Unknown Age	σ
Aumber of Leavers	(695
Number of Acuit Leavers	6746
Number of Adu() and Feed of Household Lassacs	6737

691

5973 517

2011

NIT

7036

11

2

Number of Stayon Number of Acua Stayers

Number of Veterans

Number of Cheoricarly Horesicss Persons

Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children

Number of Child and Unisness-Age Heads of Househald

Heads of Households and Articl Stayman The Project 255 Days or More 38

Nomber of Youth Under Age 25

Number of Actual Hearts of Linux-hold

Q56a: Data Quality: Pressnally Mentitying Information (Pil)

Data Element	Client Doesn't KnowiRelased	Information Missing	Data Issues	Wief Ector Rate
Name	0	э	11	£ C0.0
Social Security Number	191	161	35	0.05 %
Date of Birth	\$	76	11	0.01 %
Rece	113	155	\$	0.04 %
Ohnicity	26	167	0	0.63 %
Gencier	Þ	40	•	0.01 %
Overall Score				0.05%

Q06b: Rota Quality: Universal Cate Flements

	Error Court	% of En a Rato
Voloran Status	101	2.51 B
Project Start Date	0	Ø.01 🗞
Rebaienship to Head of Househead	324	4.34 %
Elien: Location	380	5 37 %
Disabiling Condition	505	7.04%

G05c: Date Quality: Income and Housing Bate Quality

	Error Count	Sect Error Rate
Destination	1658	24.37 %
income and Sources at Start	1209	1/ 3/ %
Infome and Sources of Annual Assessment	27	84. 85 %
income and Sources at Exit	1114	18 44 3

Q96d: Data Quality: Chronic Homelesanese

	Count of Total Records	Missing Time In Institution	Nissing Time In Housing	Approximato Date Started DKRimissing	Number of Times DKPRMissing	Number of Months DK/Romissing	% of Sadorda Unable to Galculato
C3, SH, Street Outreach	72:0	٩	89 8	1713	415	162	0.26
тн	d.	0	C C	0	2	D	<u>e</u>
PH (AID	26	a	c	1	đ	Ð	0.01
Total	73896	u	c	0	٥	Ģ	0.25

Q36e: Data Quality: TimeFiness

	Number of Project Britry Records	Number of Project Exit Records
0 days	0214	6582
1-3 Days	223	24
4-5 Days	12	1.0
7-10 Deys	32	25
11 · Days	30	158

Q36" Data Quality, Incotive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Sheter

	4 of Records	f of Inactive Records	% of Inactive Records
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Oulveach of ES - $\mathrm{NEW}($	30	26	100.05 %
Bos Night (All Clients in EE - NBN)	12	2044	11,700.01 %

Q37a: Number of Persons Served

Total Without Children With Children and Aculta With Ordy Children Unknown Household Type

Adubs	7345	7311	34	э	C
Children	32	a	40	14	a
Ollen, Coesn'i Know' Client, Refused	a	ø	0	9	а
Data Not Cohecied	44	0	<u>.</u> e	0	44
Talel	7474	7.544	102	14	47

Q082: Households Served

Tetal Wilhout Children WPh Children and Achille With Only Children Unknown Household Type

Total Hauseholds 7073 7037 26 3 8

Q08b: Point-In-Time Count of Households on the Last Weddeaday

Total Without Children With Children and Acults With Only Children Unknown Household Type

January	738	720	15	n	D
Asril	702	885	17	9	P
Alty	714	705	15	1	D
October	705	690	14	1	D

Q19a: Kumber of Persons Contacted

	All Persons Contacted	First contact - HOT staying on the Bireats, E8. or SH	First contact - WAS staying on Structs, CS, or SH	First contact - Worker unable to externine
Once	2	o	1	6
2-5 Times	9	e c	0	6
6.9 Times	2	6	0	Þ
10+ Times	5	G	0	. 6
Fotal Persons Contacted	2	G	ä	D

G69b: Number of Persons Engaged

	All Persons Controled	First contact – NOT staying on the Striets, 58 or 54	First confuct - WA8 staying on Streets, ES, or 8H	Minit contact – Worker unable 16 desembre
Once	0	u.	5	9
2-5 Contacts	0	п	0	0
8-9 Ourfacts	0	0	0	0
10+ Contracts	û	٥	9	0
Total Persons Engagos	0	0	0	8
Hete of Srigegoriem	0.00	9.87	0.00	11.92

Q102: Gencier of Adults

	Total	Without Children	With Chil	dran and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Main	5854	6856	8		u
Feinald	1994	1879	25		c
Trans Male (FTM or Fernala is Nale)	24	24	¢		n -
mans Factures (MTF or Male & Ferrale)	к	в	c		G
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. viol exclusively main prioritals)	Ŧ	т	C		G
Charr: Doesn't Know/Chant Refused	a	0	0		c
Deta Not Collected	54	10	a		c
Si basal	7345	7311	34		C
210b: Cender of Children					
	Tatzl	With Children and	Adulta V	White Only Children	Unknown Houtobold Typ
Note	3P	32	1		0
Farrate	43	36	1		0
Trans Mais (FTM or Feature is Mais)	Q	0	1)	0
Trans Female (MTF n: Male Ix Female)	G	0	1)	0
Gender Non-Conterming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0		1	0
Greet Droshit Know Scient Renned	0	0		,	ù
	120				0
Dala Not Collector	٥.	0			

©10a: Gender of Persons Missing Age Intrametion

			Tota	Without Cl	hildren Wi	ih CNkran a	nd Adulla	with Cally Children	Linknown Househa	old Type
Mak			4	0	0			٥	4	
turale				0	5			н	6	
trans Male (FTM of Temate to Male)				0	0			a	u	
Trans Ferrale (MTF or Male to Ferrals			2	0	0			c	6	
Gender Von Conforming (J.c. noi avail	· · · · ·	male or lamate			D			c	c	
Chen, Deasn't Know/Client Refund				11	D			U	c	
Data Not Collected			10	0	0			0	38	
S. biotal			47	0	0			a	47	
G10d: Gender by Age Ranges										
a for an or by Age anges			Total	Under Age	Age 18.	Age 26	Age 12	and Clant Does	in t Known Claimt	Data Nel Collocte
				18	24	61	Ové.	Refused		
Wale			5437	39	328	4718	347	1		3
Ferrale			1962	43	167	1509	136	,		3
Trans Formale (MTF or Male to Female	6)		24	e.	4	20	0	¢		Û
Trans Mole (FTN or Female to Male)			6	đ	U	н	0	0		0
Gender Von-Certierning (de. not Cet) female)	usl-oly i	ma'e or	<u>8</u> 2	à	8	ė	a	0		u
Clivin: Decan't Know Clicint Refused			9	0	0.0	<u>a</u>	0	3		U
Data Not Collected			45	a	G .	a	2	5		38
S.Bobl			7474	02	521	6257	46X	3		44
Q11: Age										
	Total	Without C	hi dren	With Children	n and Adulla	WHE Doly	Children	Uninewn Household	Тург	
Under 5	58	5		26				0		
8-12	59	5		28		4		0		
15-17	16	5						5		
10 - 24	501	495		3		0		0		
26 34	1631	1568		13				3		
36 - 44	1832	1819		19		•		0		
45 - 54	1975			5		0		5		
55 - 81	1142	1145		0		0		0		
62+	497	4.17		u		5		0		
Chant Deson't Know Chant Refused	3	0		U		0		3		
Darta Not Collected	44	0		0		0		44		
Total	7474			102		14		47		
Q12ac Race										
		Total With	out Child	wa Wilh Ch	dicremand A	dulla Valla	Oily Child	ren Unknows Hous	ehold "ype	
w-ke		2778 2278	8	ь		2	3	3		
Black of Akidan American		3957 351	0	/0		7		Q.		
Azian		102 102		D		0		0		
American Indian of Alaska Native		490 440		y		3		D		
Native Hawatan or Other Pacific Idan	e an	৬৭ ৬৭		0		5		0		
Muliple Races		\$46 827		15		2		0		
Cileri Deen'i Krow/Cilori Refused		121 120		0		D		1		
Data No: Collected		155 114		0		Ð		43		
Tulal		7474 721	C.	102		14		47		
Q12h: Elhnicily										
	Total	Without G	Children	With Childre	c and Adults	With Only	Children	Unknown Household	Гура	
Non-Hispan telvon-Letho	e905	6732		80		15				
								a		
	281	368		12		S1				
Hispanic Colino	381 26	368 18		12		a		u d		

Q13a1: Physical and Mentel Health Conditions at Start

	Total Person	 Without Children 	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	i Urknown Household Typ
Nertal Fealth Problem	2513	2502	25	c	1
Alcohol Abuse	26:	201	0	c	0
Drug Abuse	160	160	4	à	۵
Holh Alectic and Orug Aluse	222	222	•		2
Chronic Health Condition	\$72	457	,	99	9
HWAD8	71	71	4	0	5
Developmental Dispbility	248	240	ā	0	0
Physical Deads by	1471	1461	10	û	0
G18b1: Physical and Mental He	alih Canalijan	s at Exit			
	Total Person	s Without Children	With Children and Adulta	With Only Childre	u Unknown Household Typ
Nursal Health Froblem	2361	2348	11	0	a.,
Afcelted Alcuse	258	250	a	0	0
Orag Ancea	156	158	a	u	0
Bolin Alcohol and Drug Alware	2241	220	a	a -	0
Chronis Health Condition	304	005	1	0	0
HIV:ND8	57	67	a	0	0
Developmental Disability	232	231	1	0	n
Physical Death By	1258	1349	4	0	0
Officent: Physical and Mental He	alth Condition	s for Stayers			
	Total Person	s Without Children	With Children and Adults	Whith Only Children	uluknown Househeld Typ
Mental Hughin Frubian	219	204	14	Ð	a
Alsolad Abuse	15	19	0	D	0
Drug Abues	10	τù	0	e	a
Finih Alashol and Drug Assee	12	17	U .	2	0
Chronic Health Cord Roa	-34	H4	4	0	a
HMMIDS	3	3	0	5	a
Developmental Disability	20	13	1	ь	d
Physical Disability	141	135	6	b	ù
Q14a: Domestic Violence Histo					
	Talal	Without Children	With Children and Adulta	With Only Children	Unknown Houashold Type
Yes	· 69/2	'ave	15	0	1
No	4824	4201	19		3
Cile v Doesn't Know-Client Reft	1965 37	37	d.	0	0
Data No. Collected	600	697	a	2	4
Тозні	7363	7311	34	3	a
Q14h: Persona Fleeing Domes	tic Violence				
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Hottasho'd Type
Yes	473	468	\$	0	9
No	1190	:179	10	0	•
	used (4	.4	a	0	0
Client Coosn't Know Client Roft	1903 14				
Client Coesn't Know Client Rott Date Not Collected	216	216	e	u .	e

Offic Living Situation

	Total	Without Children	Wate Children and Adults	With Only Children	Upanovan Household Type
Homeless Situations	0	0	э	0	0
Emergency sheller, inducting hole! or motel paid for with emergency sheller youther	2425	2391	34	e	0
Transisional housing for homeless periods (including homeless you'ld)	25	24	٥	c .	D
Place not meant for habitation	1526	1565	0	G	1
Safo Haven	62	52	0	0	0
Interim Horning	16	15	0	a.	Ú.
Butteral	41.07	4372	34	G	1
Institutional Softings	υ	0	0	G	D
Psychiatrio hospital or other psychiatric techny.	N?	80	5	0	0
Substance abuse treat next facility or delow conter-	157	197	a	٥.	0
Heapital or other residential non-gaychich or medical facility	182	132	0	۰	0
Leit, prisen er jevante detent de facility	139	139	Q	0	p A
Boster care home or forder rare group home	4	4	0	0	10) 10)
Long torm case facility or numbing home	12	13	2	C .	D
Residential project or halfway house with the home loss attends	21	21	0	C.	0
Subtratel	633	636	0	e	0
Other Eccations	0	Ø	ə.	•	D
Permanent housing (unter then RAH) for farmonly horrarious porsons	18	12	0	0	0
Owned by effert, no ongoing housing subardy	16	15	0	0	D
Owned by clean, with origing housing subsidy	1	1	0	¢	D
Ronial by client, to orgaing housing subsidy	\$2.5	\$20	0	a	P
Renici by client with WISH subsidy	12	12	0	a	n
Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy		11	6	a	D
Stented by client, with officer issueing publishing (including RRH)	62	02	0	u	D
Stotel or motel path for without emergency shelfor voucher	203	212	0	a	0
Staying or integin a identity coort, apartment or house.	633	832	0	n	1
Slaying or Ming in a family member k round spankness or Kolas	687	686	0	r .	Ú.
Gione Docen'i KnowClient Refused	25	25	0	c	D
Data Nol Collected	ыь	428	0	2	Б
Subtotal	2613	2209	0	3	7
Tela	7.156	(211	34	3	ti.

Q207: Type of Kon-Cash Benefit Sources

	Benelit at Start	Constit of Labort Annual Assessment for Stayers	Bernill at Fail for Leavers
Supplemental Namilanel Assistance Program	2562	9	2364
WC	Z2.	a	24
TANE Child Gare Services	10	a .	10
TANE Transportation Services	Ð	0	4
Other TANF-Francisk Services	a	a	\$
Other Source	49	a	

Q21: Health Insurance

G21: Health Insurance			
	At Biert	At Annual Assassment for Slayars	At Exit for Leavers
MediaaM	817	4	m
Medicara	570	a	516
Zinte Chijoren's Health Insurance Progiant	11	Ø	16
We Medical Services	152	a	165
Employer Provided Health Inswence	92	a	57
Health insurance Through COBRA	*	a	5
Private Pay Health Insurance	52	0	40
Siste Restricting under for Adults	3367	a	3094
Indian Health Services Program	22	0	22
Citror	49	6	58
No Hegkh Insurance	2095	2	1908
Crishi Dousift, Know/Client Soluted	159	d	140
Data Mrt Dedacted	620	20	537
Number of Stayers Not Pet Required to Have an Amiwal Association:	a	399	0
1 Source of Health Insurance	427 1	a	3909
More draw 1 Source of Fibrakh Incurative	425	6	388

Q22a2: Langth of Partic pation - ESG Projecta

TalaJ	Legislaria	Etaywa
361-1	3650	04
872	82*	45
652	515	37
GE 9	4.27	163
669	615	36
257	226	29
324	247	87
257	120	187
47	35	12
a	а	1
a	a	0
٥	c	0
a	C	9
đ	e	0
7474	6395	889
	361-1 972 652 669 559 257 334 257 47 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	361-1 36300 872 872 652 515 669 427 569 615 257 226 324 247 257 126 47 35 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Q22c: RRH Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-In Date

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adulta	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	18	13	9	G	0
al un 14 days	7	7	5	G	0
15 to 21 days	5	5	0	c	0
22 In 30 days	12	7	5	C	0
34 to 30 days	21	11	10	c	a
01 to 100 days	51		43	C.	ù
161 to 305 days	14	7	12	c	4
306 to 750 days (1-2 Yes)	U	0	Û	¢	a
Seturity Collected	43	11	32 *	c	a
Total	190	04	102	C	n

022d: Length of Participation by Household Type

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Hausehold Type
7 days or less	3814	3859	•	13	42
à lo 14 days	372	508	2	0	2
15 lo 21 slava	552	55.	e	4	1
22 to 30 days	564	585	0	1	3
31 to 60 days	268	A196	з	a	Þ
61 to 80 days	207	251	¢	đ	ę.
91 to 150 days	384	205	29	a	0
161 to 385 days	257	229	26	a	4
30% in 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	47	13	34	e .	0
731 to 1.090 days (2-3 Yrs)	4	4	a	0	c
1.090 (b 1 465 days (8-4 Y/s)		¢	0	a	P.
3,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 % s)	D	0	¢	ø	0
More than 1,825 days (4.5 Yrs)	5	0	o	a.	0
Data Not Collected	D	0	0	a	4
Total	7474	750	. 105	14	47

Q232: Edil Destination - Nore Than 50 Days

	Total	Without Childron	With Children and Adults	With Only Chikren	Linkoawo Rouséhold Type	, tau
Permanent Justimitions	ú	0	a	2	e .	
Nexed from one HOPWA funded projectile 1/OPWA PH	0	û.	4	2	4	
Dynes by steril, no organize housing subskly	n	0	a	2	0	
Darrent by dient, with orgoing homing subsety	0	0	c	5	0	
Regial by client, no ongoing housing autously	27	12	15	2	4	
Rental or stant, with WARL housing subsidy	a	0	-	2	0	
Fountal by cliant, with GPD TIP it ousing substity	. a	U .	4	2	2	
Rental by client, with other ungoing incusing subsidy	3		2	0	5	
Permanen; traveing (other then RFH) for formarily homoloss parsons		28	- 2	0	0	
Staying or Mog with tamily, permanent assure	7	40	2	9	0	
Sizying on Ming with Mends, permanant weture	0	0	P.3	0	0	
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	D	5	0	
Situral	36	15	23	0	b.	
Temporary Desfinations	•	a	p	0	0	
Emergency sheller, inclusing i used or motor cald for with omorporacy shelter voucher	5	5	D.	0	0	
Noved Yactions (10-2WA) funded project to HKIPWA TH	0	a	P	9	0	
Transitional itousing for homeinen persons (including isomeines youth)	0	σ	D	2	0	
Studing or bying with family, tomograpy lengthe (e.g. noom, epartment or masse)		1	3	5	0	
Etaying or itsing with Manda, temperary tenuro (e.g. room, apartment or house)	2		8	D	р	
Place not meet for textellation (e.g., a volicile, an open doned huiting invariant/actively statemberged or anywhere OMS(de)	0	a -	D	o	Ú	
Side Heren	0	Q.	D	e.	р	
Hight or motel paul for without emergency she for voucher	•	a	° D	0	b .	
8/horal	16	7	11	0	0	83
Institutional Ballangs	0	a	D	9	0	
Fester care home or group fester care home	0	a	a	D	0	
Psychia-ic hospital or other psychiatric for thy	0	0	D	D	0	
Substance durse treatment facility or dotes center	1	1	0	D	0	
Heapital or other residential non-psychiasis merikal (ap)Dy	0	0	0	0	0	
valt, prison, or pavanda detension facility	v	6	D	D	Û	
Long-semicare tablity in running name	9	0	0	a	u	
Buntani	1	1	0	0	đ	
Other Dostinations	10	0	0	0	a	
Residential project or heltway house with no from states criteria	n	•	0	0	0	
Deceased	0	0	ù,	0	a	
Otter	э	0	а	u	a	
Cient Down't Mrow Clerk Rolused	0	o	a	u.	a	
(Intel Not Collected (no exit interview ac #pkdcd)	1	1	a	a	a	
Subres	A	1	э	a	a	
Total	en	24	37	¢	. ч	
Total perpaps withing to past the locusing Statistic fors	35	15	23	٥	a	
Total parsons whose deal rations excluded them have the debuildin	U	U	c	¢.	5	
Partentage	62.30 %	82.52%	62.10 %	<u>0</u>	643	

Q2Sc: Exh Destination - All paraons

	Tetal	Without GNIdren	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Moved from one HO/WA funded paged to HOFWA PH	0	¢	0	0	n
Owned by client no ongoing notating substity	0	0	0	0	U
Owned by of end with orgoing housing subsidy	0	4	D.	0	0
Renal by client, no angoing hotsing stats dy	12	12	n	0	a
Reutal by dient, with WASH flowsing autoicy	0	c	0	0	a
Rontal by client, with GPC TIP housing a tosky	0	C .	0	u.	a
Rental by dient, with other organizations no subskilly	17	17	0	0	n
Ps. Hunani indusing tother than RRIQ for formerly flomeless persons	7	2	0	0	0
Staying or I-ing with family, permanent length	2	2	D.	0	0
Straying or living with its rols, permenant analysis	0	0		0	a
Rentel by clant, with Mith or equivalent subs dy	2	2	0	U.	â
Sebirle	100	100	0	0	a
Emergency sheller, including bolar or molel paid for with emergency sheller equation	z	2	0	0	e
Mered Formone HOPWA landed project to HOPWA TH	•	0	0	0	ą
Transitional housing for her alcost periods (industing from 1965 y 0070)	7	7		Q	a
Strying or living with tamity temperary tenure (e.g. worth auchtom of house)	1	1	0	н	9
Sinying or living win Mende, temporary terms (e.g. room, spectrum tor house)	0	0	0	Û.	o
Figure not means for hot-fitsion (e.g., a vertice, an strend stred building, used set values γ station should be denote a spectrum station (0	٠	0	,n	۵.
Safe Haven	1	1	0	0	9
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shefter vnumber	0	C	0	0	u
Subletal	r	11	0	0	9
Easter care homo or group faster care home	0	¢	0	0	a
Poyntmetric treappeal or solver poyntmetric facility	•	a	D.	0	a
Substance above to elevent feasibly or debot caritie	1	1	P	0	a
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical faulty	1	1	b	u	a
(a), prison, or (respile defaults) letility	0	•	0	0	ú
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	٠	0	u	u
Subletal	2	2	0	.0	e
Residential project or helfway house with no homeless other's	1	1	0	o	G
Decement	2	I.	0	0	٥.
Une	1005	593	0	2	0
Cleri Doen1 KrowCleri Malaad	16601	18-12	v	6	3
Date. Ker Collected (no earl anarysey corr plated)	2940	264-12	a	G	27
Setteral	2640	1691	а.	13	45
Total	0761	6702	a	13	45
Tatal persons whith a positive housing destinet on-	103	103	0	u	٥
Total passes winter dealy alone cooluded from from the celesteden	4	4	a	c	0

Q24: Homelessness Prevention Fouring Assessment at $E\!\times\!t$

Q24: Houselessness Prevention Reu	sing Asa	exemunit at Exit						
				Tobel	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Universiti Hauseho Typa
Able to maintain the housing they had	at project	i stan-Wilhoul a subs	179	ė.	ø	D	0	0
Asia to maintain the housing they hold start	l at projek	i start-With Pie subsk	ly they had at project	0	0	0	D	u
Able to maintain the horizing If wy had arrive project start	l at projec	n start-With an en-goli	ny subsidy acquired	0	0	0	D	0
Able to maintein the housing they had from a subady	l at projec	i start-Only wiPi lina i	sionussistenee etner	٥	0	0	Þ	u
Moved to new bearing unit-49 three-s	oi y sub	sidy		0	0	0	D	D
Moved to new housing unk-Willaul a	и стерсії	yb enhue ge		6	0	D	D	0
Moved in with family friends on a term	terary be	ets		0	9.8	b	D	0
Moved in with family/friends on a per-	narien; ba	18.2		0	0	D	0	0
Mo-ed to a transitional or turipolicity?	iousing fa	olity or program		Q	0	0	D	0
Client became home lass - maying to	e sheikr	or other place unfit for	humon habitation	0	0	0	D	û
Clieni wani in jawerisen				٥	0	0	D	0
Cileri dicc				4	Q.	0	D	a
Client doesn't know/Client relused				0	U.	0	0	0
Data not collected (no exit interview o	om:kotor"	1		۰	0	Þ	0	Ø
Intal				0	Ø	6	D	o
225a: Number of Veterans								
	To!al	Without Children	With Children and Ad	tultas V	nknown Housel	hold Type		
Cinonically Homoless Veteran	174	173	d	D				
Nor-Christikally Homeless Veteran	\$43	343	G	ņ				
Not a Vereran	6644	6610	34	•				
C wit Down't KrowC ant Reliesed	12	19	C	C				
Data Not Collected	174	171	e	0				
Total	7245	7111	34	6				
0266: Number of Shreeleally Homel	ass Pers	ons by Household						
	Total	Without Children	Whe Children and Ad	lutts V	áih Cniy Chlidri	in Unkrown Household	і Тура	
Coronically Hornelian	2494	2406	7	<u>_</u>		.0		
Not Chronically Homeless	3418	391a	95	5		3		
Client Doesn't Know/Client Selurad	34	38	¢	0		0		
Data Not Collesion	1351	14588	a	1	c	15		
Total	7474	7311	1452	1	4	47		

1

CR-35 Fair Housing 2017 Actions

Since the issuance of the 2015 Regional Analysis of Impediments, the City had been subject to a fair housing compliant brought by community interests that allege in summary that the City has adopted, maintained, and enforced policies and practices in its housing programs, land use and financing that have had the effect of limiting development of affordable housing in high-opportunity, majority-white communities and locating affordable housing units in low-opportunity, high-poverty communities with effect of furthering racial and ethnic segregation in Minneapolis. Since receipt of the complaint, the City has engaged the plaintiffs and HUD in settlement discussions which led to the City entering into a Voluntary Compliance Agreement with HUD and the plaintiffs. The Voluntary Compliance Agreement called for the City to work with the plaintiffs, other metropolitan HUD entitlement grant cities and counties, and a range of community stakeholders to provide for a revision to the 2015 Regional Analysis of Impediments. This work is to be performed under a HUD-directed community advisory process. The revised Analysis of Impediments (called the Addendum to the 2015 Analysis of Impediments) was completed in May 2017 and approved by HUD in June 2017. Completion of the revised Analysis satisfies the terms of the Voluntary Compliance Agreement and HUD has closed the complaint against the City as a voluntary and full settlement of the plaintiff's claims and allegations.

The full addendum and its attachments are located at the following website

https://www.ramseycounty.us/FHIC. In the Addendum a series of recommended actions are prescribed to be undertaken by the City of Minneapolis as a HUD entitlement jurisdiction, other metropolitan area HUD entitlement jurisdictions, and other housing partners such as the State of Minnesota, Metropolitan Council, public housing authorities and other public and private organizations. The following recommendations as identified by their number in the Addendum have been acted upon by the City of Minneapolis over the past program year.

	Recommendation	Action
1A	Develop a communication strategy to inform rental property managers and housing choice voucher holders of recently passed source of income protection ordinance	City and public housing authority staff partnering on informing of roles and responsibilities in implementation of source of income protection ordinance; Year (2017) end report requested of public housing authority on best practices implementation; Funding authorized for a landlord incentive fund
18	Collect and present local data to elected officials illustrating the need for source of income protection.	Source of income protection ordinance passed by City Council
2A	Research state law regarding just cause eviction ordinances. As possible, work toward and advocate state, regional, and/or local adoption of just cause eviction ordinances	City's Innovation Team researching evictions, advance notice policies and expanded use of Tenant Remedies Action and presented finding to City Council; City has adopted a legislative policy position to support funding and policy to reduce evictions filed due to non-payment of rent; 2018 Budget proposal for funding tenant information and referral hotline and legal services to enforce tenant rights; Funded Housing Justice Center's report on displacement prevention

		tools and strategies
2B	Monitor state legislation regarding right of first refusal statutes and develop program to implement locally as appropriate. Consider implementation for manufactured/mobile home communities and multi-unit rental properties	Advance Notice Ordinance has been introduced for legislation
2D	Promote policies that provide for rehabilitation and preservation of existing affordable housing in areas where displacement is known to be occurring	Changes made to City's Affordable Housing Trust Fund to allow for NOAH preservation efforts on a demand basis; 2018 budget included funding for acquisition and funding for properties in need of immediate repairs; Funded Housing Justice Center's report on displacement prevention; Provided funding to HOMELine for tenant information and referral hotline and legal services
3C	Increase FHIC and public sector participation in the Homeownership Alliance.	Participant in Homeownership Alliance; expanded support for community land trusts and long term affordability models; Homeownership Opportunity Minneapolis providing grants to support homeownership and financial literacy capacity building by community based organizations
3D	Work with public and non-profit agencies to expand information and services related to fair lending and foreclosure prevention, such as: Affirmative marketing for quality lending products including financial options for Muslim homebuyers and identifying subprime mortgage	Support for LISC-led initiative to create information materials on Sharia compliant loan products; \$100,000 in foreclosure prevention counseling; Support

	products, increased fair lending enforcement, and increased foreclosure prevention and defense advocacy services that are accessible to non-English speaking residents, including oral presentation of information	for Homeownership Center work in fair housing, consumer protection and scam avoidance, identification and reporting of predatory loan lending
4A	Develop an overarching strategy to increase funding for affordable housing that includes: identifying more resources for affordable housing both regional and local; raise community awareness about housing and affordability and its relation to education, jobs and other infrastructure	Supporting member of the Homes for All Coalition; \$12 million of local funds allocated for affordable housing in 2018 budget; Extending period of affordability from 15 to 20-30 years
4B	Use locally controlled resources to preserve naturally occurring affordable housing.	Budgeted \$3 million for NOAH Preservation Fund to leverage and support the NOAH Impact Fund of Greater Minnesota Housing Fund
5E	Monitor continued research into gentrification and loss of affordable housing to identify areas where it might be occurring	CURA and Federal Reserve research on gentrification
5F	Review where investments with Low Income Housing Tax Credits are occurring in the region. Keep HousingLink LIHTC database current and study trends over time in the development of tax credit properties	Support for Housing Justice Center developed database on current location of LIHTC units
6D	Consider development incentives such as density bonuses and expedited permitting processes or fee waivers for voluntary inclusion of affordable housing units or mandatory set-asides	Analyzed of existing density bonus and recommended reconfiguration of it to be more incentive
6H	Consider adoption of inclusionary zoning ordinance requiring set-asides of affordable housing units or payments-in-lieu into a designated affordable housing fund for developments requiring city funding, site location assistance, or planning approvals	Inclusionary zoning ordinance introduced in February 2018
7A	Explore options for amplifying community voices in planning	A suite of engagement methods

	decisions. Plan to include non-English speakers, and those of oral traditions	developed and activated for the Minneapolis 2040 Comprehensive planning process
8A	Consolidated Plans should contain place-based strategies, focusing available funding on improving the human capital and physical resources in specific, defined high-poverty areas	Work on the Minneapolis Promise Zone revitalization strategy; employment and training investment focus on three identified geographies; Great Streets programming in commercial districts requiring intervention; Near North Strategic Framework establishes policies for infrastructure improvement and connectivity tied to community and economic development goals
108	Ensure local code enforcement departments are trained to minimize substandard housing conditions without creating vulnerability to tenants. Ensure staff are trained to maintain communication with property owners	2018 budget increased for inspectors intended to work with tenants on habitability and safety concerns
10C	Monitor and provide financial support for existing community-based organizations in offering fair housing education in culturally appropriate means to non-English communities	Annual funding for the Housing Discrimination Law Project, 87 cases were closed with 33 having favorable outcomes; Provided funding support for the "Racism, Rent and Real Estate: Fair Housing Reframed" community conversations of the Minnesota Housing Partnership
10E	Review affirmative marketing plans and enact as appropriate policies or provisions that ensure non-discrimination in the lease of publicly-subsidized rental housing	City revised affirmative marketing requirements and monitoring now requiring that housing providers on all city-

assisted projects submit and keep current an Affirmative Fair
Housing Marketing Plan and Annual Survey and Certification

PR-26 CDBG Financial Summary Report

and the second s	Office of Community Flaming and Development	DATE:	08-29-18
of the last	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.	TIME	10:48
	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE:	
	PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report		
	Program Year 2017		
Sold Street	MUNIEAPOLIS , MI		
	Contractor and a Contractor		
URT I: SUMMARY OF COBG RESOU			
UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END C			15,744,631.04
ENTITLEMENT GRAVIT	TREASED PROVIDENT FERR		10,243,144.00
SURPLUS URIAN RENEWAL			0.00
SECTION 308 GUARANTEED LOWN FU	NOS		0.00
CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME			2,657,105.05
A CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGR	AN TRAVENE (MOR RE THERE)		1,001,001,001
FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-D			0.00
a PUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL OF			0.00
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL M			0.00
TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUPL LINES 01-07			28.644.880.09
INT II: SUMMARY OF CODE EXPEN	The second s		20,077,000.07
and see a subscription of the set and set	ON LOB REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		9,578,869.18
	KOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT		0.00
AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOWINOD BEN			9,578,869,18
DESBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/			2,478,462.50
DISBURSED IN 1015 FOR SECTION 10			2,478,482.30
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EX			0.00
TOTAL EXPENDETURES (SUM, LINES 1			12,057,331.68
UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LI)			16,587,548.41
AT TILLOWHOD BENEFIT THIS R			10,387,348.41
EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING			9.00
EXPENDED FOR LOW/HOD HUUTI-UN			2,919,475.61
DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOWINGD A			4,726,087.62
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LC			0.00
TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINE			
PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 2)			7,645,563.23
W/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEA			70.6276
PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CE			PY: 2017 PY: 2018 PY: 2019
	NECT TO LOW MOD INNEFET CALCULATION		9,578,869.18
CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFT			7,645,563,23
PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PER			75-525
ART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP			7908276
DISBURSED IN 1015 FOR PUBLIC SER			1,418,398.59
PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT			200.345.49
PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT			203.703.14
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS			200,205
TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + 1			1,415,040.94
ENTITLEMENT GRAVIT	THE SO - THE SA 4 THE 201		10.243.144.00
PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME			
	BUCK TO BE CAR		2,054,078.90 Program
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SL	ADECT TO PS CAP		income
			211.013.26 edjustry
			CAPER
TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUN, LD			12,500,236.16
PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS			33.33%
RT V: PLANNING AND ADMINIST			
DUSBURSED IN JDIS FOR PLANNING/			2,478,462.50
PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT	5 M L 7 L 2 T 9 T 7 2 L 3 T 7 T 1 2 T 1 7 T 1 7 T		8,375.00
PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT			513,534,88
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL P			0.00
TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + 1	UNE 38 - LINE 39 + LINE 40)		1,973,302.62
ENTITLEMENT GRANT			10,243,144.00
CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME			2,657,105.05
ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SU			0.00
TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LIP			12,900,249.05
PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA	ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)		15.30%