

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-40



PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-40

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1	5-104.01 Professional Policing	A-D	Dismissed	N/A	N/A

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: Black

Gender: Female

Police Precinct: 5th

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that the officer refused to write a police report for insurance purposes and failed to investigate if the other driver was under the influence. Complaint also alleges that the officer was rude to them.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION

a) VisiNet report

i) The “Problem” is listed as “Property Damage Accident.” It is indicated there is a 3-vehicle accident. Initial problem was listed as “Possible Personal Injury Accident” but was changed upon officers arriving. No injuries were reported.

b) Police Report

i) No Police report found for incident.

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-40



- c) Other
 - i) Initial complainant statements
 - ii) Photos
 - iii) Any other documents used to properly identify the officer

2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

- a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review
 - i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Video analysts reviewed and reported the accident appeared minor. It was noted upon officers arriving that parties involved in the accident were already exchanging information. It was noted that one civilian was alleging the driver of the other vehicle was drunk. The officer is heard stating they did not believe this to be true. The civilian indicates if the other driver was black, they would have been investigated for a DWI. An officer is seen attempting to defuse the situation by asking everyone but the drivers to walk away. It is noted that the officer spends a considerable amount of time with both drivers. The driver in question is also heard stating they would be willing to do a breath test if needed.
- b) Squad Video Review
 - i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

- a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the complaint warranted dismissal.

4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

- a) N/A

CASE OUTCOME

5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

- a) The case was dismissed- no basis.

6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL

N/A

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-40



7) *MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT*

N/A