

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-36



PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-36

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1	5-104.01 Professional Policing	A	Sent for Coaching	NA	Coaching Completed

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: N/A

Gender: N/A

Police Precinct: 2nd

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that the officer was unprofessional towards EMS. In responding to a person in crisis, the officer is alleged using a harsh tone and escalating the situation vs. de-escalating as EMS attempted to transport the person in crisis. Complaint came through via MPD email as reporting an immediate coaching on policy.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION

a) VisiNet report

i) The “Problem” is listed as “Emotionally Disturbed Person”. The call log indicated that an officer responded as the primary response officer. Six other officers responded to the scene to assist.

b) Police Report

i) No known police report can be found for this incident.

c) Other

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-36



- i) Initial complainant statements
- ii) MPD email communication requesting documentation of incident
- iii) Any other documents used to properly identify the officer

2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

- i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Video analysts reviewed the incident and noted that the officer asked about handcuffing the civilian as the officer reportedly stated the civilian was going to fight with EMS. After EMS declined for the civilian to be handcuffed, they confirmed they no longer needed the officer's presence. Officer was overheard telling another officer that the civilian was going to assault EMS.

b) Squad Video Review

- i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

- a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the complaint warranted coaching.

4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

N/A

CASE OUTCOME

5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

- a) Case was sent to MPD for Coaching

6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL

- a) N/A

7) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT

- a)** Coaching was completed for the alleged officer.

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-36

