

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-34



PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-34

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1 Officer 1	5-104.01 – Professional Policing	A-D	Dismissed	N/A	N/A
Allegation 2 Officer 2	5-104.01 – Professional Policing	A-D	Dismissed	N/A	N/A

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: White

Gender: Female

Police Precinct: 1st

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that officers misidentified an individual when attempting to make an arrest for a felony warrant.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION

a) VisiNet report

- i) The “Problem” is listed as “Attempt Pick-Up”. Report indicates that individuals are wanted for an arrest warrant and indicates officers proceed with caution.

b) Police Report

- i) Public Section of the report states officers arrived at a location for an attempt pick-up. Officers located an individual inside the residence and placed them under arrest for an active felony warrant. Individual was taken-in without incident.

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-34



- c) Other
 - i) Initial complainant statements listed in complaint
 - ii) Any other documents used to properly identify the officer

2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

- a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review
 - i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Video analysts reviewed footage of 3 officers. It was confirmed by staff that they called dispatch requesting warrants and removal of individuals from their facility. Officers called for back-up when learning that the situation could escalate due to the number of residents in that location. It is noted that staff members provided descriptive information of individuals fitting the warrant and provided the location and keys for officers to utilize. It is observed that officers locate a staff member in a bathroom and detain and arrest them without confirming the individual's identification. Upon driving the staff member to jail it is discovered that officers arrested the wrong person and take the staff member back to the facility. They confirm with staff and Channel 7 that the staff member does not have warrants and release him.
- b) Squad Video Review
 - i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

- a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the case should be dismissed.

4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

N/A

CASE OUTCOME

5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

- a) Case was dismissed as no basis.

6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL

N/A

7) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT

N/A