

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-31



PUBLISH DATE: July 15, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-31

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1	5-104.01 Professional Policing	A-D	Referred for Coaching	N/A	Coaching Completed
Allegation 2	3-101 Personal Appearance Policy	A-D	Referred for Coaching	N/A	Coaching Completed

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: None Entered

Gender: None Entered

Police Precinct: 2nd

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Complaint alleges that Officers were aggressive and rude toward complainant when responding to a call. It is also alleged that officers used force to enter the complainant’s apartment. This includes using of flashlight to knock on the door along with shinning it into complainant’s face.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION

a) VisiNet report

i) The “Problem” is listed as “Check the Welfare.” The alleged officer and two other officers respond to the call. Report indicates complainant has called multiple times in the day and has been making suicidal-like comments. Report indicates interaction

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-31



between complainant and officers lasts less than 2 minutes with complainant refusing assistance from officers after confirming they were not suicidal.

b) Police Report

i) No known police report for this incident.

c) Other

i) Initial complainant statements listed in the complaint.

2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Video analyst reviewed footage and did not observe officers shining the flashlight into complainant's face or using the flashlight as a form of force to open the door. It is noted that officers spoke with complainant softly and calmly. At the end of the interaction the alleged officer is observed interjecting into the conversation in a manner that escalates the situation. They ask several questions in a short manner and ends interaction proactively. The alleged officer is also observed wearing a thin blue line gaiter.

b) Squad Video Review

i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed that the alleged officer should be referred to coaching.

4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

a) The case was referred to coaching.

CASE OUTCOME

5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

a) The case was referred to coaching.

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-31



6) *POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL*

N/A

7) *MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT*

- a) The officer was coached by their Sergeant for both allegations.