

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-17**



PUBLISH DATE: April 12, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-17

**ALLEGATIONS**

	<b>Policy Implicated</b>	<b>MPD Policy Manual Range</b>	<b>OPCR Outcome</b>	<b>PCRP Finding</b>	<b>MPD Outcome</b>
<b>Allegation 1</b>	5-104.01 Professional Policing	A-D	N/A	N/A	N/A

**REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS**

**Race:** "White"

**Gender:** Female

**Police Precinct:** 3<sup>rd</sup> PCT

**SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

The complaint alleges that the complainant drove onto 17<sup>th</sup> Ave from 28<sup>th</sup> Street and realized that it was closed except for residents. They pulled through 27<sup>th</sup> Street when an officer walked up to their window. Complainant stated that the officer "started yelling" at her and told her that she shouldn't be there. The complainant alleges that she informed the officer that they live in that area. The complainant stated the officer treated her like a "moron," and noticed that her neighbors attempted to go through the same intersection a few minutes later.

**SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION**

*1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION*

- a) VisiNet report(s) for the date provided in the complaint
- b) PIMS reports (s) for the date provided in the complaint
- c) Work Force Director (WFD) for officers working that day
- d) Squad(s) assigned that day and GPS location(s) for each individual squad.
- e) Body Worn Camera activation(s) for officers working that day.

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-17**



---

f) Police Report

i) The complaint originated after speaking with an officer on the road. The officer does not appear to be responding to a call for service.

g) Other

i) Initial complainant statements

*2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW*

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

i) BWC footage does not exist for this complaint.

b) Squad Video Review

i) Squad video does not exist for this complaint.

*3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING*

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed that the officer could not be identified through the course of the investigation.

**CASE OUTCOME**

*4) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW*

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed that the case would be closed- dismissed.