

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-01**



PUBLISH DATE: January 6, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-02

**ALLEGATIONS**

	<b>Policy Implicated</b>	<b>MPD Policy Manual Range</b>	<b>OPCR Outcome</b>	<b>PCRP Finding</b>	<b>MPD Outcome</b>
<b>Allegation 1</b>	5-104.01 Professional Policing	A-B	Referred to Coaching	N/A	Coached

**REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS**

**Race:** Black

**Gender:** Female

**Police Precinct:** 1<sup>st</sup>

**SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

Complaint states they set a meeting with an officer to discuss criminal charges that were pending against the complainant’s adult child. The complaint states an officer stated they worked for the prosecuting attorney’s office and was trying to help. Due to a work conflict, the complainant was unable to make the meeting. When they called the officer, the officer was rude to them during a phone the call and threatened to come to the complainant’s house with a warrant and “kick the doors down.”

**SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION**

*1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION*

a) VisiNet report

i) This phone call was not generated as a call for service and therefore a VisiNet report does not exist.

b) Police Report

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-01**



---

i) A police report regarding this phone call was not generated.

*2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW*

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

i) BWC was not activated for the phone call.

b) Squad Video Review

i) Squad video was not active at the time of the incident.

*3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING*

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed the complaint should be referred to the precinct for coaching.

**CASE OUTCOME**

*4) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW*

a) The matter was referred to the precinct for coaching on June 24, 2021.

*5) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT*

a) Precinct supervisors returned coaching documents indicating the officer had been coached. The documents further state that precinct supervisors followed up with the complainant who shared “her contentment” that the officer had been coached.