

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-09**



PUBLISH DATE: February 1, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-09

**ALLEGATIONS**

	<b>Policy Implicated</b>	<b>MPD Policy Manual Range</b>	<b>OPCR Outcome</b>	<b>PCRP Finding</b>	<b>MPD Outcome</b>
<b>Allegation 1</b>	MPD P&P § 5-104.01 – Professional Policing	A-D	Dismissed – No Basis	N/A	N/A

**REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS**

**Race:** White

**Gender:** Male

**Police Precinct:** 3<sup>rd</sup>

**SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

Complaint alleges that a food delivery order was dropped off at their front door that had no identification on it. About 20 minutes later officers arrived and it is alleged that officers were rude and intimidating towards the complainant upon arriving to retrieve a DoorDash food order.

**SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION**

*1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION*

a) VisiNet report

- i) The “Problem” was listed as, “Theft.” The call log indicated that two officers arrived at the scene and made contact with the parties involved.

b) Police Report

- i) No PIMS report was generated for this incident. Notes in VisiNet described the call. No arrests or citations were made during the interaction with civilians.

c) Other

- i) N/A

---

2) *VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW*

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

- i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Each BWC activation averaged 10 minutes in length and both officers' BWCs recorded the incident in its entirety. During the BWC review, officers activated their cameras while in route to the incident location. The officer in the passenger seat of the squad recites the comments in VisiNet and begin looking for the DoorDash employee (herein the caller).

Officers make contact with a male near his car and points to the caller across the street. Officers contact the caller and the original DoorDash customer and mention that a neighbor took her order and won't open their door. The caller admitted that they dropped off the order at the wrong address. They came back to the address and the food was gone. The caller describes the steps they took to retrieve the food, but the neighbors won't open their door.

Officers tell the caller and the DoorDash customer to wait while officers approach the neighbor's house. Officers knock and shine their flashlights into the neighbor's windows. The neighbor's soon open the front door and hand the officers the unopened food. One of the officers tell the neighbors to stop taking things that don't belong to them and leave. As they are walking away, the officers turn around and recite their badge numbers and names to the neighbors.

Officers walk across the street and give the DoorDash customer their food order. Officers then update the caller, and everyone departs. Officers deactivate their BWCs as they are walking back to their squad.

b) Squad Video Review

- i) Squad video was not activated at the time of the incident.

3) *CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING*

- a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors dismissed the complaint for "no basis."

4) *ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION*

- a) N/A

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-09**



---

**CASE OUTCOME**

*5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW*

*a) The complaint was dismissed for “no basis” during intake.*

*6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL*

*a) The complaint was dismissed for “no basis” during intake.*

*7) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT*

*a) The complaint was dismissed for “no basis” during intake.*