

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-08



PUBLISH DATE: February 8, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-08

ALLEGATIONS

	Policy Implicated	MPD Policy Manual Range	OPCR Outcome	PCRP Finding	MPD Outcome
Allegation 1	MPD P&P § 4-223 (IV)(A)(6)(a)(vi) Body Worn Camera-Activation	A-D	Referred to Coaching	Merit	Not Applicable

REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS

Race: Latino

Gender: N/A

Police Precinct: 4th

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

The complainant contends that MPD officers provided inaccurate information regarding a civil dispute between neighbors.

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION

a) VisiNet report

- i) The “Problem” is listed as “Assault Report Only.” This complaint was filed at the precinct desk when the complainant came to follow-up on a previous incident.

b) Police Report

- i) Public Section of the report states that a victim asked that their neighbor be identified in an assault report.

OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

CLOSED CASE SUMMARY

OPCR-21-08



2) VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

i) At intake it was believed that BWC did not exist for this investigative contact.

b) Squad Video Review

i) Squad video does not exist because the interaction occurred at the precinct desk.

3) CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING

a) After reviewing the relevant and available evidence collected during intake, the Joint Supervisors agreed this complaint should be referred to coaching for failing to activate their BWC while interacting with the complainant at the precinct.

b) The complaint was forwarded to the 4th Precinct for coaching.

4) ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION

a) An administrative investigation was not conducted for this complaint.

CASE OUTCOME

5) OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

a) The complaint was forwarded to 4th Precinct for coaching.

6) POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL

a) The complaint was not reviewed by panel.

7) MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT

a) Supervisors in the 4th Precinct were able to locate BWC for the incident. According to the audit log of the officer's BWC, the video was mis-labeled at upload. The incident was correctly labeled by 4th Precinct supervisors and coaching documents were returned to OPCR indicating the officer would not be coached regarding BWC use.