

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-03**



PUBLISH DATE: January 27, 2022

FROM: Office of Police Conduct Review

CASE SUMMARY NUMBER: OPCR-21-03

**ALLEGATIONS**

|                     | <b>Policy Implicated</b>                  | <b>MPD Policy Manual Range</b> | <b>OPCR Outcome</b> | <b>PCRP Finding</b> | <b>MPD Outcome</b>    |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Allegation 1</b> | 5-104.01 Professional Policing            | A                              | Dismissed           | N/A                 | Exceptionally Cleared |
| <b>Allegation 2</b> | 5-105 (B)(4) Professional Code of Conduct | D                              | Dismissed           | N/A                 | Exceptionally Cleared |
| <b>Allegation 3</b> | 5-301 Use of Force                        | A-D                            | Dismissed           | N/A                 | Exceptionally Cleared |

**REPORTED DEMOGRAPHICS**

**Race:** Black

**Gender:** Female

**Police Precinct:** 1<sup>st</sup>

**SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

It is alleged that an officer grabbed the complainant and dragged them down by the hood of their sweatshirt. It is also alleged that an officer pointed their Taser at the complainant and used racial slurs while speaking to the complainant.

**SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION**

*1) INTAKE INVESTIGATION*

a) VisiNet report

i) The “Problem” is listed as “Unwanted Person”. The VisiNet report states that the complainant left the incident location.

**OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW**

**CLOSED CASE SUMMARY**

**OPCR-21-03**



b) Police Report

i) A police report was not generated for this incident.

c) Other

i) There are no additional documents related to this incident.

2) *VIDEO ANALYST REVIEW*

a) Body Worn Camera (BWC) Review

i) BWC footage exists for this complaint. Video analysts reviewed the activation in its entirety. BWC footage revealed that the activation lasted roughly 8 minutes and was activated before the officer interacted with the complainant. Officer interacts with the complainant and tells them to leave. No corroborating evidence was found concerning the use of derogatory language or excessive force.

b) Squad Video Review

i) Squad video does not exist for this complaint.

3) *CASE REVIEW & JOINT SUPERVISOR ROUTING*

a) During case review, it was primarily assigned to an administrative investigation. Throughout the course of the investigation the subject officer separated from the City. As a result, OPCR no longer had jurisdiction to continue the investigation and the case was closed.

4) *ADMIN/PRELIM INVESTIGATION*

a) No further investigation occurred due to the officer separating from MPD.

**CASE OUTCOME**

5) *OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW*

a) Case was closed, cleared by exception.

6) *POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW PANEL*

a) This case was not reviewed by panel.

7) *MINNEAPOLIS POLICE DEPARTMENT*

a) Not applicable due to officer separation.