

CAPITAL LONG-RANGE IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE
A Citizen Advisory Committee to the Mayor and City Council

Presents

THE CLIC REPORT

A Summary of Recommendations for the

CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS



FIVE YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM FOR YEARS 2008 – 2012

July 2007

CAPITAL LONG-RANGE IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE CLIC

July 18th, 2007

Mayor R.T. Rybak and City Council Members
3rd Floor – City Hall
Minneapolis, MN 55415

Dear Mayor Rybak and City Council Members:

The Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee (CLIC) respectfully submits our report and recommendations for your consideration in developing the City's Five Year Capital Improvements Program for 2008 – 2012. The committee received and reviewed proposals with City funding and grant sources valued at \$543 million and recommends \$495 million for the 2008 – 2012 timeframe. The key proposals/issues discussed and considered at length were:

- Public Safety Proposals:
 - MPD Forensic Laboratory MPD01
 - MPD Evidence Unit MPD02
 - MPD Strategic Information Center MPD05
 - MPD Safe Streets Technology MPD06
 - East Phillips Cultural & Community Center PRK17
- Bicycle Projects – concern about operating costs
- Major Downtown Street change projects PV049, 50 & 52
- Stormwater Flood Area projects SW018, 33 & 34
(new this year - leveraging watershed district funding)

In addition to discussing specific project proposals, the committee thoughtfully considered the Water fund's financial capacity to pay for the requested level of projects and reduced, delayed or postponed several projects due to already high Water rates.

We are pleased to have participated in the discussion and decision processes that will help define the 2008 – 2012 adopted Capital Improvements Program for the City of Minneapolis. CLIC looks forward to discussing our recommendations with you. Questions about this report can be addressed to me at (612)781-1502 or to the City's Director of Capital & Debt Management, Michael Abeln at (612)673-3496, who serves as the Executive Secretary of CLIC and as the Debt Guy for the City.

Sincerely,

Tony A. Hofstede
CLIC Chair

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX INDEX	1
INTRODUCTION TO THE CLIC PROCESS	2
GLOSSARY OF CAPITAL TERMS AND ACRONYMS	3
CLIC MEMBERSHIP	4
CLIC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE	5
CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS STAFF SUPPORT FOR THE CLIC PROCESS	5
2008 – 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC	6
(Note: All numbers in this report are expressed in thousands, except for the Pro Formas.)	
2008 – 2012 CAPITAL RESOURCE ASSUMPTIONS USED BY CLIC	12
2008 BOND REDEMPTION LEVY FOR CAPITAL PROGRAM	12
PROPERTY TAX SUPPORTED CAPITAL ALLOCATION	13
2008 – 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION	14
CLIC COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT RATINGS FOR 2008 - 2012	20
CLIC PROJECT RATINGS BY COMMISSION/BOARD/DEPARTMENT	
MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION	23
LIBRARY BOARD	23
PARK BOARD	23
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT	23
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS	26
2007 CLIC GENERAL COMMENTS	27
2007 HUMAN DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE COMMENTS	29
2007 TRANSPORTATION TASK FORCE COMMENTS	33

TABLE OF CONTENTS – continued

CLIC RECOMMENDED CAPITAL BUDGET BY COMMISSION/BOARD/DEPARTMENT

MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	39
LIBRARY BOARD FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	40
PARK BOARD FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	42
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	
• FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	44
• STREET PAVING	45
• SIDEWALK PROGRAM	50
• HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE	50
• BRIDGES	51
• TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING	53
• BIKE TRAILS	55
• GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING SUMMARY	56
• STORMWATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	57
• SANITARY SEWER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	60
• WATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	61
• PARKING FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	63
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY	64
CLIC RECOMMENDED UTILITY RATES	65
STORMWATER FUND CASH BASIS PRO FORMA	66
SANITARY SEWER FUND CASH BASIS PRO FORMA	67
WATER FUND CASH BASIS PRO FORMA	68
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM	69
CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY	72
CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL INVESTMENT ALLOCATION	73

APPENDIX INDEX

CLIC 2007 CAPITAL GUIDELINES	APPENDIX A
2007 CLIC SCHEDULE	APPENDIX B
JOINT PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES	APPENDIX C

Introduction to the CLIC Process

The Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee is a citizen advisory committee to the Mayor and City Council. The committee is authorized to have 33 appointed members, composed of two members per Council Ward and seven at-large members for the Mayor. The committee elects a Chair and Vice Chair of the whole group and also breaks into two programmatic task forces with approximately an equal number of members in each. Each task force elects a Chair and Vice Chair. Collectively, these six elected members form the Executive Committee and represent CLIC in meetings with the Mayor and City Council.

The two task forces are officially titled "Transportation and Property Services" and "Government Management, Health and Safety and Human Development". They are commonly referred to as the Transportation task force and the Human Development task force. The task forces receive and review all Capital Budget Requests (CBR's) for their program areas as submitted by the various City departments, independent boards and commissions. During several half day or full day meetings, employees who prepared the capital requests formally present their needs and answer any CLIC member follow up questions. Task force members then rate all proposals using a rating system with several specific criteria and create a numerical rating for each project. Highest rated priorities are then balanced against available resources by year to arrive at a cohesive five year capital improvements program recommendation to the Mayor and City Council.

For the five years covering 2008 - 2012, there were 116 CBR's reviewed and rated. The total requested capital budget for City funding and grant sources for the five years was \$543,077,000. This report provides ratings by project and summarizes the recommendations and comments made related to specific projects.

For more specifics on the CLIC process, please review the CLIC 2007 Capital Guidelines – Appendix A.

The CLIC committee appreciates the excellent efforts put forth by staff of the various City departments, independent boards and commissions in recommending capital investments in the City of Minneapolis.

Glossary of Capital Terms & Acronyms

CLIC - Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee

Main Body - refers to the whole group of CLIC committee members.

T - Transportation and Property Services task force, a sub-set of the main body. Reviews and rates capital projects for Public Works improvements including Paving, Bridges, Sidewalks, Traffic Control & Street Lighting, Bike Trails, Sewer, Water and Parking projects.

HD - Government Management, Health & Safety and Human Development task force, a sub-set of the main body. Reviews and rates capital projects for the City's public building infrastructure including the Municipal Building Commission, Library Board, Park Board, Public Works, Police and Fire Departments and also Public Art and Technology investments.

CBR - Capital Budget Request – official form prepared by city departments and independent boards and commissions to define their needs for capital funds.

Revenue Source Related Descriptions:

NDB - Net Debt Bonds - bonds issued to finance general City capital improvements not associated with enterprise activities. Debt service is paid for out of the annual Bond Redemption Tax Levy.

Park Levy – A portion of the Park Board's tax levy dedicated to Capital Improvements.

MSA - Municipal State Aid - refers to gas tax dollars distributed to local governments for use on State designated Municipal State Aid streets - major thoroughfares.

ASSM - Assessments - improvements paid for partially or wholly by property owners.

OTHER – refers to all other categories of resources used to support capital programs. These sources include NRP (Neighborhood Revitalization Program), Library referendum tax levy, grants from other governmental agencies or private foundations, transfers from City operating funds, land sale proceeds, etc. In addition to the other sources above, Public Works has several divisions that have a reimbursable project for tracking and billing overhead costs and for performing construction activities that are billed to the benefiting City departments, outside government agencies and private businesses.

NON APPROP - Non Appropriated – refers to cost participation from County, State or Federal dollars. For these projects, the City of Minneapolis is often not the lead agency and therefore the City only appropriates the portion required to pay the City's local share of cost.

Enterprise Bonds/Revenue - bonds related to the Stormwater, Sanitary Sewer, Water and Parking and enterprises. Debt Service is paid by user fees charged for these enterprise services. Enterprise revenues are planned for "pay as you go" cash sources.

CLIC Membership

February 1, 2007 through January 31, 2008

<u>Council Ward #</u>	<u>Council Member</u>	<u>2007 CLIC Members</u>	<u>Task Force Assignment</u>
1	Paul Ostrow	Michael Vennewitz	HD
1	Paul Ostrow	Ginger Derosier	T
2	Cam Gordon	Emily Serafy Cox	T
2	Cam Gordon	Ann Jaede	HD
3	Diane Hofstede	Greg Baumgartner	HD
3	Diane Hofstede	Tony Hofstede	T
4	Barbara Johnson	Jeffrey Strand	HD
4	Barbara Johnson	John Helgeland	T
5	Don Samuels	Jennifer Day Anderson	HD
5	Don Samuels	Michael Paul Weber	T
6	Robert Lilligren	Vacant	
6	Robert Lilligren	Mary Watson	T
7	Lisa Goodman	John Bernstein	T
7	Lisa Goodman	Bruce Shnider	HD
8	Elizabeth Glidden	Kristine Danzinger	T
8	Elizabeth Glidden	Paulette Wilson	HD
9	Gary Schiff	Kris Brogan	T
9	Gary Schiff	Brad Pass	HD
10	Ralph Remington	Skyler Weinand	T
10	Ralph Remington	Gail Manning	HD
11	Scott Benson	Mary Ubl	T
11	Scott Benson	Willie Bridges	HD
12	Sandy Colvin Roy	Ronald Leurquin	HD
12	Sandy Colvin Roy	John Barron	T
13	Betsy Hodges	Robert Gustafson	HD
13	Betsy Hodges	Rodger Ringham	T
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Vacant	
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	John Hunter	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Jason Stone	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Kim Troedsson	T
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Raymond Dehn	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Heather Fraser	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Charles Vanek	T

CLIC Executive Committee
February 1, 2007 through January 31, 2008

<u>Leadership Position</u>	<u>Member Name</u>	<u>Appointment of</u>
Main Body Chair	Anthony Hofstede	Diane Hofstede - Ward 3
Main Body Vice Chair	Jeffrey Strand	Barbara Johnson-Ward 4
Task Forces:		
T - Chair	Emily Serafy Cox	Cam Gordon-Ward 2
T - Vice Chair	John Barron	Sandy Colvin Roy-Ward 12
HD - Chair	Raymond Dehn	Mayor Rybak
HD - Vice Chair	Ann Jaede	Cam Gordon-Ward 2

City of Minneapolis Staff Support for the CLIC Process

<u>Name / Department</u>	<u>Responsibility</u>	<u>Phone Number</u>
Michael Abeln / Finance	Executive Secretary	612-673-3496
Karin Berkholtz/ CPED Planning	Planning Support	612-673-3240
Jeffrey Metzen / Finance	Task Force Support	612-673-2174

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION							
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	200	300	300	340	340	1,480
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
MBC04	Tower and Interior Court Elevators	0	95	100	100	330	625
MBC06	Clock Tower Upgrade	886	0	0	0	0	886
CTY01	Restoration of Historic Mayor's Reception Room	0	0	1,950	1,930	0	3,880
Total Municipal Building Commission		1,586	895	2,850	2,870	1,170	9,371
LIBRARY BOARD							
MPL06	Webber Park Community Library Capital Improvements	0	254	1,750	0	0	2,004
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	3,125	1,630	0	0	0	4,755
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	0	500
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	0	500	0	0	0	500
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	1,887	1,313	0	3,200
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	1,000	1,200	1,000	0	0	3,200
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	60	440	0	0	0	500
MPL18	Northeast Library Capital Improvements	2,512	463	0	0	0	2,975
Total Library Board (Community Libraries)		6,697	4,487	4,637	1,813	0	17,634
PARK BOARD							
PRK16	Parkway and Adjacent Parkland Lighting Replacement	480	0	0	0	0	480
PRK17	East Phillips Cultural and Community Center	7,185	0	0	0	0	7,185
PRKDT	Diseased Tree Removal	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
Total Park Board		8,165	500	500	500	500	10,165
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT							
FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS							
PSD01	Facilities - Repair and Improvements	900	1,000	600	1,600	1,200	5,300
PSD06	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	0	0	250	0	0	250
PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	127	127
PSD10	Minneapolis Development Review	500	0	0	0	0	500
PSD11	Energy Conservation and Emissions Reduction	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
Total Facility Improvements		1,900	1,500	1,350	2,100	1,827	8,677

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
STREET PAVING							
PV001	Parkway Paving	550	550	650	1,450	550	3,750
PV003	Street Renovation Program	2,154	2,025	2,745	4,700	6,255	17,879
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	2,000	975	1,600	1,525	1,600	7,700
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	970	0	0	0	0	970
PV006	Alley Renovation	265	265	265	265	265	1,325
PV007	University Research Park	1,607	4,042	0	7,200	9,020	21,869
PV008	I-35W & Lake St Interchange Reconstruction Phase 4	125	125	0	0	5	255
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	0	15	0	0	0	15
PV019	6th Ave N (5th St N to Dead End N of Wash Ave)	0	2,065	0	0	0	2,065
PV023	28th Ave S - Phase 1 (50th St E to 58th St E)	0	0	4,890	0	0	4,890
PV026	Cedar Lake Road (Penn Ave to Glenwood Ave)	0	0	2,450	0	0	2,450
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	0	0	1,265	0	0	1,265
PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to 28th St E)	0	0	0	8,500	8,515	17,015
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	2,250	2,650	4,900
PV041	2nd Ave N (3rd St N to Wash Ave N)	500	0	0	0	0	500
PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	1,805	0	0	0	0	1,805
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	0	0	0	0	5	5
PV045	13th St S Pedestrian Plaza (Nic Mall to Marq)	500	0	0	0	0	500
PV046	28th Ave S - Phase 2 (46th St E to 50th St E)	0	0	0	0	2,550	2,550
PV047	3rd Ave N (Washington Ave to 5th St N)	0	1,655	0	0	0	1,655
PV048	Dirt Alley Construction	0	0	0	300	300	600
PV049	1st Ave One-way to Two-way (1st to 9th St S)	0	700	900	0	0	1,600
PV050	Hennepin Ave One-way to Two-way-1st to 12th St S	0	600	400	0	0	1,000
PV051	9th St S Pedestrian Improvements	0	2,100	0	0	0	2,100
PV052	Marquette Ave Double Width Transit Lanes	0	0	0	5,050	5,050	10,100
PV00R	Reimbursable Paving Projects	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	17,500
Total Street Paving Projects		13,976	18,617	18,665	34,740	40,265	126,263
SIDEWALK PROGRAM							
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps	2,480	2,605	2,735	2,880	3,020	13,720
HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE							
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	1,000	1,000	750	0	0	2,750

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
BRIDGES							
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	250	300	200	200	200	1,150
BR105	Fremont Ave S Bridge	0	0	0	0	2,605	2,605
BR106	1st Ave S Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	0	0	0	0	1,805	1,805
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	0	5,305	2,095	0	0	7,400
BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	0	0	0	1,428	0	1,428
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	0	0	0	6,790	0	6,790
BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	3,405	3,405
BR114	Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program	0	0	0	1,295	1,530	2,825
BR121	Lowry Ave Bridge over the Mississippi River	0	0	0	5	0	5
BR122	46th St Pedestrian Bridge	0	0	0	0	5	5
BR123	28th Ave S Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	0	1,725	1,725
BR124	Nokomis Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	960	0	960
Total Bridge Projects		250	5,605	2,295	10,678	11,275	30,103
TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING							
TR003	LED Replacement Program	0	275	200	0	200	675
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	185	0	0	0	0	185
TR005	Controller Conversion	600	430	0	0	3,180	4,210
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	0	0	0	225	225	450
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	785	686	561	508	900	3,440
TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	210	159	0	150	350	869
TR010	Traffic Management Systems	2,755	3,457	3,375	525	525	10,637
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	100	0	0	0	110	210
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	2,940	1,380	0	1,979	700	6,999
TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	0	400	0	0	0	400
TR015	Safe Routes to School	150	50	50	50	50	350
TR017	Pedstrian Signals with Count-Down Timers	0	0	0	0	200	200
TR00R	Reimbursable Transportation Projects	600	600	600	600	600	3,000
Total Traffic Control & Street Lighting Projects		8,325	7,437	4,786	4,037	7,040	31,625
BIKE TRAILS							
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	0	0	1,915	0	0	1,915
BIK06	University of Minnesota Trail - Phase III	0	0	0	0	1,545	1,545
BIK08	Hiawatha Trail Connections	0	0	0	0	765	765
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	0	0	2,095	0	0	2,095
Total Bike Trail Projects		0	0	4,010	0	2,310	6,320

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
STORMWATER SEWER PROJECTS:							
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	220	220	220	220	220	1,100
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	250	250	250	250	250	1,250
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	1,375	875	875	875	0	4,000
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	2,950	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	14,950
SW018	Flood Area 29 & 30	0	0	0	0	9,375	9,375
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	390	0	0	0	0	390
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	0	0	0	0	1,035	1,035
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	0	2,566	2,659	0	0	5,225
SW034	Flood Area 21 – Bloomington Pond	0	4,840	0	0	0	4,840
SW00R	Reimbursable Sewer and Storm Drain Projects	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	265	265
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	125	0	0	0	0	125
PV003	Street Renovation Program	128	190	205	2,610	2,600	5,733
PV007	University Research Park	1,300	800	0	800	400	3,300
PV023	28th Ave S - Phase 1 (50th St E to 58th St E)	0	0	230	0	0	230
PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to 28th St E)	0	0	0	145	0	145
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	500	500
PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	455	0	0	0	0	455
PV046	28th Ave S - Phase 2 (46th St E to 50th St E)	0	0	0	0	100	100
Total Stormwater Sewer Fund Projects		11,193	16,741	11,439	11,900	21,745	73,018
SANITARY SEWER PROJECTS:							
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	100	100
SW036	Infiltration & Inflow Removal Program	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,000
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	3,726	0	0	0	0	3,726
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	125	0	0	0	0	125
Total Sanitary Sewer Fund Projects		9,351	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,600	31,451

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
WATER							
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	12,000	32,500	17,500	5,000	0	67,000
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	4,500	4,750	5,000	5,250	5,500	25,000
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	1,000	0	0	0	0	1,000
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	6,000	2,500	0	0	0	8,500
WTR16	St. Paul/Minneapolis Interconnection	0	0	0	2,000	7,000	9,000
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000
WTR18	Hennepin Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	1,395	1,395
WTR22	New Filter Presses	0	1,100	5,400	6,000	0	12,500
WTR0R	Reimbursable Watermain Projects	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000
BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	0	0	0	40	0	40
BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	270	270
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	250	0	0	0	0	250
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	325	325
Total Water Fund Projects		25,750	42,850	29,900	20,290	17,490	136,280
PARKING							
RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	8,500
RMP03	Bicycle Parking	35	40	40	40	40	195
Total Parking Fund Projects		1,735	1,740	1,740	1,740	1,740	8,695
Total Public Works Department Projects		75,960	103,595	83,170	93,865	112,312	468,902

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS							
ART01	Art in Public Places	278	317	333	347	366	1,641
BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	100	50	50	50	0	250
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	185	250	100	100	50	685
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	500	600	700	700	800	3,300
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	300	50	50	50	150	600
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	150	150	500	0	50	850
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	0	0	0	850	50	900
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	5,239	825	0	0	50	6,114
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	0	200	200	0	0	400
BIS12	Mobile Assessor	25	200	0	0	0	225
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	1,621	1,664	0	0	0	3,285
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	0	100	0	2,850	6,025	8,975
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	0	0	0	730	1,460	2,190
MPD04	MPD Mounted Patrol Horse Barn	945	0	0	0	0	945
MPD05	Strategic Information Center	0	2,200	0	0	0	2,200
MPD06	Safe Streets Technology	1,000	0	0	0	0	1,000
PSD 03	Facilities - Space Improvements	465	480	500	500	500	2,445
PSD04	Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	200	200	200	200	200	1,000
Total Miscellaneous Projects		11,008	7,286	2,633	6,377	9,701	37,005
TOTAL DEPARTMENT SUBMITTED CAPITAL BUDGET		103,416	116,763	93,790	105,425	123,683	543,077

Note: The totals above represent City funding and grant sources for those projects where the City is the lead agency. The funding detail pages that follow show additional leveraging with other units of government as Non Appropriated when the City is a contributing partner.

2008 - 2012 Capital Resource Assumptions Used by CLIC For Property Tax Supported Infrastructure Improvements

As approved by Ways & Means Committee for 2008 - 2012

Recommended Resources by Category	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Totals (000's)
Net Debt Bond (NDB) Authorizations	17,250	17,250	17,600	17,950	18,310	88,360
Park Board Capital Infrastructure Levy	1,290	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,290
	<u>18,540</u>	<u>18,750</u>	<u>19,100</u>	<u>19,450</u>	<u>19,810</u>	<u>95,650</u>
Prior Year Adjustments made by Mayor and Council*	-3,355	-1,400	-935	-585	0	-6,275
2008 - 2012 Resource Assumptions Used by CLIC	<u>15,185</u>	<u>17,350</u>	<u>18,165</u>	<u>18,865</u>	<u>19,810</u>	<u>89,375</u>

Notes:

* - Adjustments represent dollars advanced to or from projects in the Capital programs for prior years.

This resource summary represents the City's commitment for General Infrastructure assets. General Infrastructure includes public buildings, roads, bridges, bike trails, street lights, traffic signals, parks & libraries.

2008 Bond Redemption Levy for Capital Program

	Amount	Notes
	(000's)	
Tax Levy Certified for Bond Redemption in 2007	18,677	For supporting Capital Program only
Bond Redemption Levy Reduction for 2008	-322	Per Five-Year Financial Direction
Tax Levy Certified for Bond Redemption in 2008	<u>18,355</u>	For supporting New Capital Programs
Additional support - prior year debt commitments	1,626	General Fund Transfer to Bond Redemption
Total Capital Program Debt Support	<u>19,981</u>	

Property Tax Supported Capital Allocation - CLIC Recommended Summarized by Major Type of Infrastructure

Description of Category	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Totals
	Amounts in thousands					
Municipal Building Commission - City Hall	700	800	800	840	840	3,980
Percentage allocated to MBC	4.6%	4.6%	4.4%	4.5%	4.2%	4.5%
Library Board Capital Program	540	2,130	1,900	1,040	0	5,610
Percentage allocated to Library	3.6%	12.3%	10.5%	5.5%	0.0%	6.3%
Park Board Capital Program - including Park Levy*	1,609	1,641	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,750
Percentage allocated to Park Board	10.6%	9.5%	8.3%	8.0%	7.6%	8.7%
Public Works Department:						
Facility Improvements	1,300	1,400	1,350	1,700	1,700	7,450
Street Paving	3,704	2,850	4,960	7,630	9,180	28,324
Sidewalk Program	185	195	205	215	225	1,025
Heritage Park	750	750	0	0	0	1,500
Bridges	250	805	2,295	2,153	915	6,418
Traffic Control & Street Lighting	1,108	1,114	698	550	1,260	4,730
Bike Trails	0	0	1,940	0	1,035	2,975
Subtotal Public Works	7,297	7,114	11,448	12,248	14,315	52,422
Percentage allocated to Public Works	48.1%	41.0%	63.0%	64.9%	72.3%	58.7%
Miscellaneous Projects/Other City Departments	5,039	5,665	2,517	3,237	3,155	19,613
Percentage allocated to Other City Departments	33.2%	32.7%	13.9%	17.2%	15.9%	21.9%
Percentage allocated to City Departments	81.2%	73.7%	76.9%	82.1%	88.2%	80.6%
Grand Total - Property Tax Supported Capital	15,185	17,350	18,165	18,865	19,810	89,375
*Park Capital Levy of \$7,290 is included above as follows->	1,290	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,290

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION							
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	200	300	300	340	340	1,480
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
MBC04	Tower and Interior Court Elevators	0	0	0	0	0	0
MBC06	Clock Tower Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	0
CTY01	Restoration of Historic Mayor's Reception Room	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Municipal Building Commission		700	800	800	840	840	3,980
LIBRARY BOARD							
MPL06	Webber Park Community Library Capital Improvements	0	254	1,750	0	0	2,004
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	2,275	1,969	0	0	0	4,244
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	0	500
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	0	500	0	0	0	500
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	1,887	1,313	0	3,200
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	0	1,800	1,400	0	0	3,200
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	60	440	0	0	0	500
MPL18	Northeast Library Capital Improvements	2,595	0	0	0	0	2,595
MPL19	Cost of Issuance Contribution	80	0	0	0	0	80
Total Library Board (Community Libraries)		5,010	4,963	5,037	1,813	0	16,823
* - Includes \$11,213 of Library Referendum Levy and \$5,610 of City property tax funding.							
PARK BOARD							
PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation	0	0	400	410	440	1,250
PRK02	Site & Totlot Rehaulitation	0	0	1,100	900	470	2,470
PRK04	Athletic Field Renovation	0	0	0	0	150	150
PRK09	HVAC Improvements	0	0	0	190	190	380
PRK11	Roof Replacements	0	0	0	0	250	250
PRK16	Parkway and Adjacent Parkland Lighting Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRK17	East Phillips Cultural and Community Center	5,294	1,641	0	0	0	6,935
PRKDT	Diseased Tree Removal	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
Total Park Board		5,794	2,141	2,000	2,000	2,000	13,935
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT							
FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS							
PSD01	Facilities - Repair and Improvements	800	900	600	1,200	1,200	4,700
PSD06	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	0	0	250	0	0	250
PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSD10	Minneapolis Development Review	500	0	0	0	0	500
PSD11	Energy Conservation and Emissions Reduction	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
Total Facility Improvements		1,800	1,400	1,350	1,700	1,700	7,950

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
STREET PAVING							
PV001	Parkway Paving	550	550	650	1,450	550	3,750
PV003	Street Renovation Program	2,054	1,925	2,745	4,700	6,255	17,679
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	2,000	975	1,600	1,525	1,600	7,700
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV006	Alley Renovation	215	215	265	265	265	1,225
PV007	University Research Park	1,607	3,942	0	7,200	9,020	21,769
PV008	I-35W & Lake St Interchange Reconstruction Phase 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	0	15	0	0	0	15
PV019	6th Ave N (5th St N to Dead End N of Wash Ave)	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV023	28th Ave S - Phase 1 (50th St E to 58th St E)	0	0	4,890	0	0	4,890
PV026	Cedar Lake Road (Penn Ave to Glenwood Ave)	0	0	2,450	0	0	2,450
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	0	0	1,265	0	0	1,265
PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to 28th St E)	0	0	0	8,500	8,515	17,015
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	2,250	2,650	4,900
PV041	2nd Ave N (3rd St N to Wash Ave N)	500	0	0	0	0	500
PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	1,805	0	0	0	0	1,805
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	0	0	0	0	5	5
PV045	13th St S Pedestrian Plaza (Nic Mall to Marq)	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV046	28th Ave S - Phase 2 (46th St E to 50th St E)	0	0	0	0	2,550	2,550
PV047	3rd Ave N (Washington Ave to 5th St N)	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV048	Dirt Alley Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV049	1st Ave One-way to Two-way (1st to 9th St S)	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV050	Hennepin Ave One-way to Two-way-1st to 12th St S	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV051	9th St S Pedestrian Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV052	Marquette Ave Double Width Transit Lanes	0	0	0	5,050	5,050	10,100
PV00R	Reimbursable Paving Projects	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	17,500
Total Street Paving Projects		12,231	11,122	17,365	34,440	39,960	115,118
SIDEWALK PROGRAM							
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps	2,480	2,605	2,735	2,880	3,020	13,720
HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE							
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	750	750	0	0	0	1,500

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
BRIDGES							
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	250	300	200	200	200	1,150
BR105	Fremont Ave S Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR106	1st Ave S Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	0	0	0	0	1,805	1,805
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	0	5,305	2,095	0	0	7,400
BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	0	0	0	1,428	0	1,428
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	0	0	0	6,790	0	6,790
BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR114	Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program	0	0	0	995	1,010	2,005
BR121	Lowry Ave Bridge over the Mississippi River	0	0	0	5	0	5
BR122	46th St Pedestrian Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR123	28th Ave S Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	0	1,725	1,725
BR124	Nokomis Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Bridge Projects		250	5,605	2,295	9,418	4,740	22,308
TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING							
TR003	LED Replacement Program	0	275	200	0	200	675
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	0	0	0	0	0	0
TR005	Controller Conversion	600	430	0	0	3,180	4,210
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	0	0	0	225	225	450
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	785	586	561	508	900	3,340
TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	210	159	0	150	350	869
TR010	Traffic Management Systems	2,755	3,457	3,375	525	525	10,637
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	100	0	0	0	110	210
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	2,940	1,151	0	1,479	200	5,770
TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	0	400	0	0	0	400
TR015	Safe Routes to School	150	50	50	50	50	350
TR017	Pedstrian Signals with Count-Down Timers	0	0	0	0	0	0
TR00R	Reimbursable Transportation Projects	600	600	600	600	600	3,000
Total Traffic Control & Street Lighting Projects		8,140	7,108	4,786	3,537	6,340	29,911
BIKE TRAILS							
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	0	0	1,915	0	0	1,915
BIK06	University of Minnesota Trail - Phase III	0	0	0	0	1,545	1,545
BIK08	Hiawatha Trail Connections	0	0	0	0	765	765
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	0	0	2,095	0	0	2,095
Total Bike Trail Projects		0	0	4,010	0	2,310	6,320

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
STORMWATER SEWER PROJECTS:							
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	220	220	220	220	220	1,100
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	250	250	250	250	250	1,250
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	1,375	875	875	875	0	4,000
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	2,950	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	14,950
SW018	Flood Area 29 & 30	0	0	0	0	9,375	9,375
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	390	0	0	0	0	390
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	0	0	0	0	1,035	1,035
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	0	2,566	2,659	0	0	5,225
SW034	Flood Area 21 – Bloomington Pond	0	4,840	0	0	0	4,840
SW00R	Reimbursable Sewer and Storm Drain Projects	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	0	0
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	125	0	0	0	0	125
PV003	Street Renovation Program	128	190	205	2,610	2,600	5,733
PV007	University Research Park/SEMI	1,300	800	0	800	400	3,300
PV023	28th Ave S - Phase 1 (50th St E to 58th St E)	0	0	230	0	0	230
PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to 28th St E)	0	0	0	145	0	145
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	500	500
PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	455	0	0	0	0	455
PV046	28th Ave S - Phase 2 (46th St E to 50th St E)	0	0	0	0	100	100
Total Storm Sewer Fund Projects		11,193	16,741	11,439	11,900	21,480	72,753
SANITARY SEWER PROJECTS:							
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	100	100
SW036	Infiltration & Inflow Removal Program	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,000
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	0	3,726	0	0	0	3,726
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	125	0	0	0	0	125
Total Sanitary Sewer Fund Projects		5,625	9,226	5,500	5,500	5,600	31,451

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
WATER							
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	12,000	32,500	17,500	5,000	0	67,000
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	4,500	4,750	5,000	5,250	5,000	24,500
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	500	500	0	0	0	1,000
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	6,000	2,500	0	0	0	8,500
WTR16	St. Paul/Minneapolis Interconnection	0	0	0	0	500	500
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	0	0	0	0	500	500
WTR18	Hennepin Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0
WTR22	New Filter Presses	0	0	1,100	5,400	6,000	12,500
WTR0R	Reimbursable Watermain Projects	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000
BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	0	0	0	40	0	40
BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	0	0
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	250	0	0	0	0	250
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	325	325
Total Water Fund Projects		25,250	42,250	25,600	17,690	14,325	125,115
PARKING							
RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	8,500
RMP03	Bicycle Parking	35	40	40	40	40	195
Total Parking Fund Projects		1,735	1,740	1,740	1,740	1,740	8,695
Total Public Works Department Projects		69,454	98,547	76,820	88,805	101,215	434,841

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
2008 - 2012 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Project ID	Project Title	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	TOTAL
							(in thousands)
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS							
ART01	Art in Public Places	278	317	333	347	366	1,641
BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	100	50	50	50	0	250
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	100	50	100	100	50	400
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	500	500	700	700	800	3,200
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	0	0	0	0	0	0
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	150	150	500	0	50	850
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	0	0	0	800	0	800
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	5,239	700	0	0	50	5,989
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	200	200	0	0	0	400
BIS12	Mobile Assessor	25	0	0	0	0	25
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	1,621	1,664	0	0	0	3,285
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	0	100	0	1,340	939	2,379
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	0	0	0	200	400	600
MPD04	MPD Mounted Patrol Horse Barn	945	0	0	0	0	945
MPD05	Strategic Information Center	0	1,654	546	0	0	2,200
MPD06	Safe Streets Technology	1,000	0	0	0	0	1,000
PSD 03	Facilities - Space Improvements	265	280	288	500	500	1,833
PSD04	Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Miscellaneous Projects		10,423	5,665	2,517	4,037	3,155	25,797
TOTAL CLIC RECOMMENDED CAPITAL BUDGET		91,381	112,116	87,174	97,495	107,210	495,376

Note: The totals above represent City funding and grant sources for those projects where the City is the lead agency. The funding detail pages that follow show additional leveraging with other units of government as Non Appropriated when the City is a contributing partner.

CLIC Comprehensive Project Ratings for 2008 - 2012
Highest to Lowest Score - 116 Projects Rated

Project ID	Project Name	Score (Max 300)	Rank
	Top Third of Projects		
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	223.93	1
BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	217.90	2
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	212.52	3
PV007	University Research Park/SEMI	211.89	4
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	210.64	5
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	209.00	6
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	206.68	7
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	203.25	8
TR010	Traffic System Management	202.86	9
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	201.41	10
TR003	LED Replacement Program	201.21	11
SW036	I & I Removal Program	200.68	12
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	200.43	13
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	199.53	14
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	199.52	15
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	198.04	16
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	197.57	17
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	197.25	18
MPD06	Safe Streets Technology	196.80	19
WTR22	New Filter Presses	195.18	20
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks	194.89	21
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	192.71	22
TR005	Controller Conversion	192.52	23
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	192.07	24
RMP03	Bicycle Parking	190.76	25
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	189.97	26
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	189.77	27
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	188.17	28
ART01	Art in Public Places	187.79	29
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	187.77	30
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	187.62	31
PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to 28th St E)	187.18	32
PV041	2nd Ave N (3rd St N to Wash Ave N)	187.04	33
TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	186.55	34
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	185.67	35
TR015	Safe Routes To School	185.24	36
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	185.04	37
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	185.00	38
BR121	Lowry Ave Bridge over the Mississippi River	184.90	39

CLIC Comprehensive Project Ratings for 2008 - 2012
Highest to Lowest Score - 116 Projects Rated

Project ID	Project Name	Score	Rank
	Middle Third of Projects		
PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	184.39	40
PV003	Street Renovation Program	184.29	41
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	182.66	42
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	181.97	43
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	180.71	44
PV026	Cedar Lake Road (Penn Ave to Glenwood Ave)	180.54	45
BR114	Midtown Corridor Bridge Preservation Program	179.31	46
PV001	Parkway Paving	178.89	47
PV045	13th St S Pedestrian Plaza (Nic Mall to Marq)	178.71	48
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	178.64	49
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	177.25	50
BIK06	University of Minnesota Trail (Phase III)	176.14	51
MPL18	Northeast Library Capital Improvements	175.97	52
PV023	28th Ave S (Phase 1) (50th St E to 58th St E)	175.46	53
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	175.03	54
PRK17	East Phillips Cultural and Community Center	174.87	55
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	174.70	56
MPL06	Webber Park Community Library Capital Improvements	174.40	57
BR106	1st Ave S Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	174.07	58
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	173.36	59
BIK08	Hiawatha Trail Connections	173.03	60
PSD03	Facilities - Space Improvements	170.55	61
PV006	Alley Renovation	170.36	62
WTR16	Minneapolis/St. Paul Interconnection	170.11	63
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	169.72	64
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	168.93	65
BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	168.38	66
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	167.21	67
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	166.37	68
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	166.17	69
MPD04	MPD Mounted Patrol Horse Barn	165.31	70
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	165.10	71
PV046	28th Ave S (Phase 2) (46th St E to 50th St E)	163.86	72
RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	163.04	73
PSD01	Facilities Repair and Improvements	161.10	74
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	159.32	75
BR123	28th Ave S Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	157.45	76
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	156.86	77
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	156.27	78

CLIC Comprehensive Project Ratings for 2008 - 2012
Highest to Lowest Score - 116 Projects Rated

Project ID	Project Name	Score	Rank
	Bottom Third of Projects		
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	156.07	79
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	154.96	80
PRK16	Parkway and Adjacent Parkland Lighting Replacement	154.63	81
PSD11	Energy Conservation and Emissions Reduction	153.39	82
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	153.33	83
PV019	6th Ave N (5th St N to Dead End N of Wash Ave)	152.14	84
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	151.59	85
PSD06	Pioneers & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	151.48	86
MPD05	Strategic Information Center	151.45	87
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	151.37	88
PV048	Dirt Alley Construction	151.32	89
MBC04	Tower & Interior Court Elevators	149.13	90
BR105	Fremont Ave S Bridge	149.00	91
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	148.64	92
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	147.57	93
PV047	3rd Ave N (Washington Ave to 5th St N)	145.89	94
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	144.57	95
BIS12	Mobile Assessor	144.57	96
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	143.79	97
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	135.21	98
SW018	Flood Area 29 & 30	134.75	99
MBC06	Clock Tower Upgrade	133.10	100
SW034	Flood Area 21 - Bloomington Pond	128.11	101
PV051	9th St S Pedestrian Improvements	121.21	102
PV052	Marquette Ave Double Width Transit Lanes	121.07	103
PV050	Hennepin Ave One-way to Two-way-1st to 12th St S	120.64	104
PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	120.10	105
PV049	1st Ave One-way to Two-way (1st to 9th St S)	119.36	106
WTR18	Hennepin Maintenance Facility	112.71	107
BR124	Nokomis Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	111.52	108
PV008	I-35W & Lake St Interchange Reconstruct, Phase 4	109.36	109
BR112	Nicollet Ave Bridge	104.34	110
PSD04	Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	103.90	111
PSD10	Minneapolis Development Review	99.64	112
TR017	Pedestrian Signals with Count-down Timers	94.79	113
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	80.50	114
CTY01	Restoration of Historic Mayor's Reception Room	78.50	115
BR122	46th St Pedestrian Bridge	72.00	116

CLIC Project Ratings by Commission/Board/Department
Maximum Score of 300, Rank out of 116 Projects Rated

MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	189.77	27
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	187.77	30
MBC04	Tower & Interior Court Elevators	149.13	90
MBC06	Clock Tower Upgrade	133.10	100
CTY01	Restoration of Historic Mayor's Reception Room	78.50	115

LIBRARY BOARD

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
MPL06	Webber Park Community Library Capital Improvements	174.40	57
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	199.53	14
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	166.37	68
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	185.67	35
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	181.97	43
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	200.43	13
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	185.00	38
MPL18	Northeast Library Capital Improvements	175.97	52

PARK BOARD

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
PRK16	Parkway and Adjacent Parkland Lighting Replacement	154.63	81
PRK17	East Phillips Cultural and Community Center	174.87	55

FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
PSD01	Facilities Repair and Improvements	161.10	74
PSD06	Pioneers & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	151.48	86
PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	120.10	105
PSD10	Minneapolis Development Review	99.64	112
PSD11	Energy Conservation and Emissions Reduction	153.39	82

CLIC Project Ratings by Commission/Board/Department
Maximum Score of 300, Rank out of 116 Projects Rated

STREET PAVING

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
PV001	Parkway Paving	178.89	47
PV003	Street Renovation Program	184.29	41
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	197.25	18
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	80.50	114
PV006	Alley Renovation	170.36	62
PV007	University Research Park/SEMI	211.89	4
PV008	I-35W & Lake St Interchange Reconstruction Phase 4	109.36	109
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	203.25	8
PV019	6th Ave N (5th St N to Dead End N of Wash Ave)	152.14	84
PV023	28th Ave S (Phase 1) (50th St E to 58th St E)	175.46	53
PV026	Cedar Lake Road (Penn Ave to Glenwood Ave)	180.54	45
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	197.57	17
PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to 28th St E)	187.18	32
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	206.68	7
PV041	2nd Ave N (3rd St N to Wash Ave N)	187.04	33
PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	184.39	40
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	177.25	50
PV045	13th St S Pedestrian Plaza (Nic Mall to Marq)	178.71	48
PV046	28th Ave S (Phase 2) (46th St E to 50th St E)	163.86	72
PV047	3rd Ave N (Washington Ave to 5th St N)	145.89	94
PV048	Dirt Alley Construction	151.32	89
PV049	1st Ave One-way to Two-way (1st to 9th St S)	119.36	106
PV050	Hennepin Ave One-way to Two-way-1st to 12th St S	120.64	104
PV051	9th St S Pedestrian Improvements	121.21	102
PV052	Marquette Ave Double Width Transit Lanes	121.07	103

SIDEWALK PROGRAM

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks	194.89	21

HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	212.52	3

BRIDGES

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	201.41	10
BR105	Fremont Ave S Bridge	149.00	91
BR106	1st Ave S Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	174.07	58
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	223.93	1
BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	168.38	66
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	182.66	42
BR112	Nicollet Ave Bridge	104.34	110
BR114	Midtown Corridor Bridge Preservation Program	179.31	46
BR121	Lowry Ave Bridge over the Mississippi River	184.90	39
BR122	46th St Pedestrian Bridge	72.00	116
BR123	28th Ave S Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	157.45	76
BR124	Nokomis Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	111.52	108

CLIC Project Ratings by Commission/Board/Department
Maximum Score of 300, Rank out of 116 Projects Rated

TRAFIC CONTROL AND STREET LIGHTING

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
TR003	LED Replacement Program	201.21	11
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	151.59	85
TR005	Controller Conversion	192.52	23
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	168.93	65
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	189.97	26
TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	186.55	34
TR010	Traffic System Management	202.86	9
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	199.52	15
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	156.07	79
TR015	Safe Routes To School	185.24	36
TR017	Pedestrian Signals with Count-down Timers	94.79	113

BIKE TRAILS

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	187.62	31
BIK06	University of Minnesota Trail (Phase III)	176.14	51
BIK08	Hiawatha Trail Connections	173.03	60
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	175.03	54

STORMWATER SEWER PROJECTS

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	167.21	67
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	173.36	59
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	185.04	37
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	180.71	44
SW018	Flood Area 29 & 30	134.75	99
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	159.32	75
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	154.96	80
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	148.64	92
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	143.79	97
SW034	Flood Area 21 - Bloomington Pond	128.11	101

SANITARY SEWER PROJECTS

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	192.71	22
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	135.21	98
SW036	I & I Removal Program	200.68	12
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	147.57	93

CLIC Project Ratings by Commission/Board/Department
Maximum Score of 300, Rank out of 116 Projects Rated

WATER

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	209.00	6
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	198.04	16
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	178.64	49
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	210.64	5
WTR16	Minneapolis/St. Paul Interconnection	170.11	63
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	156.86	77
WTR18	Hennepin Maintenance Facility	112.71	107
WTR22	New Filter Presses	195.18	20

PARKING

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	163.04	73
RMP03	Bicycle Parking	190.76	25

MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
ART01	Art in Public Places	187.79	29
BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	217.90	2
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	166.17	69
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	151.37	88
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	156.27	78
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	174.70	56
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	153.33	83
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	144.57	95
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	165.10	71
BIS12	Mobile Assessor	144.57	96
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	188.17	28
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	192.07	24
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	169.72	64
MPD04	MPD Mounted Patrol Horse Barn	165.31	70
MPD05	Strategic Information Center	151.45	87
MPD06	Safe Streets Technology	196.80	19
PSD03	Facilities - Space Improvements	170.55	61
PSD04	Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	103.90	111

2007 CLIC General Comments

Funding Gaps

For the third year, CLIC has concluded that it requires more detailed information about what constitutes appropriate annual and five-year levels of capital funding. CLIC, sometimes unwittingly, perpetuates capital funding gaps by recommending funding for capital projects that is inadequate. While we understand that departments have been given instructions to stay within certain spending parameters, we remain concerned that inadequate funding will only exacerbate longstanding gaps in the city's capital renovation program and we oppose shifting the burden of deferred capital expenditures onto the next generation. We all know there are real consequences for inadequately funding capital replacement. The 2003 CLIC Report commented that it "awaits an updated report on the Public Works' infrastructure gap...the task force wants current information as it considers projects which do not further exacerbate longstanding gaps in the city's capital renovation program." Four years later, still no satisfactory update has been forthcoming.

More important is that CLIC membership be presented with all of the facts regarding appropriate funding during presentations. Too often, CLIC members have to use intuition and assertiveness to get presenters to admit that their funding requests fall short (often times far short) of what is "needed". If there is a funding level that is deemed essential by a department, then CLIC simply requests that that be clearly and forthrightly communicated during the presentation.

Additionally, perhaps the capital budget requests can indicate those items within a project which are "essential", and those items which would be "nice to have".

Park Board Projects

CLIC is appreciative of the Park Board's presentation of only 2 projects to the committee; however it is important that we see these projects in the context of the Park Board's overall vision for the future. We do understand you're focusing on these projects at this time, yet CLIC is concerned with the lack of a 5 year capital plan that includes past, current and future projects provided to the committee by the Park Board. Without the context in which these projects are proposed it makes for a difficult task. In the future please provide CLIC with the structure and context of the Park Board's capital proposal with a breakdown of how funds will be spent.

2007 CLIC General Comments

Library Projects

CLIC feels a certain ambivalence with regard to the question of funding library improvements as we believe that there are certain guarantees that the city should secure from Hennepin County prior to transferring the library system to the county. The Library Board, the Mayor, the City Council have approved the transfer of the Minneapolis Library System to Hennepin County. Hennepin County in turn has agreed at the time of this writing to accept the agreement rendered by all of the above to assume responsibility of the Minneapolis Library infrastructure. The city's capital responsibility in the next five year budget cycle is to rehab the Roosevelt, Southeast and Weber Park Libraries and complete the renovation of Northeast, Nokomis, Weber Park and Washburn.

Libraries are the linchpins to a strong community. Each part of the city needs a viable place where all community residents can go to seek information. Libraries are equalizers for citizens; as such, libraries must be easily accessible for everyone.

CLIC's concern is that if this proposal goes forward there is no written agreement or written understanding that all the Minneapolis Public Libraries will remain open, and if these libraries do remain open that the hours and service to the citizens of Minneapolis mirror the present Hennepin County Libraries. In view of the fact that the City of Minneapolis is giving Hennepin County approximately 450 million dollars of assets, CLIC would strongly suggest a long term written agreement be initiated and implemented to secure this core and fundamental service for the citizens of Minneapolis, long term.

We have the sense that our support of these proposals is essentially a dowry to facilitate the marriage of City Libraries and Hennepin County Libraries (and we certainly perceive a threat that without the funding, the marriage may not take place). This would be a calamity for the people of Minneapolis, given the current capital and operating situation which the libraries face.

2007 Human Development Task Force Comments

BIS11 – Citywide Electronic Citations

CLIC recommends that the city determine if it makes sense to use a GIS-based platform for these devices, such that the city can visually track where the preponderance of citations are issued and whether these areas share other city services, such as police calls or inspections violations. In addition, when the city wide electronic citation system is implemented, a \$100,000 decrease in operating expenses will result. Thus, the cost/benefit analysis of funding \$200,000 in 2008 and 2009 would have a major impact in the cities operating budget. CLIC asked the department the consequences and the effect of doing this project now. In a written response, Karl Kaiser stated that it would be prudent to delay this project until the requested year of 2009 rather than delay high priority enterprise projects. However, CLIC does not wish to delay any enterprise projects but to suggest the immediate bonding of the electronic citation project and thus realize an immediate impact on yearly operating savings.

MBC01 - Life Safety Improvements

MBC02 - Mechanical Systems Upgrade

These two projects are part of an ongoing coordinated project to bring city hall into the 21st century. While this project is almost invisible to most people on a day to day basis, it is a very necessary part of the building on a day to day basis and in emergencies. The city will be reducing its risks by continuing this project and it will be seen in lower insurance costs for this building. Continuing this project sets a good example to private entities that the city is expecting and sometimes forcing them to make the very same changes. While this building is quite old and out of date in many ways, this project will help allow this building to serve the city well into the future.

MPD01 – MPD Forensic Laboratory

MPD02 – MPD Evidence Unit

These projects are considered to be important and are highly regarded by CLIC. While funding level recommendations are reduced in the final CLIC Report, this does not reflect the committee's view of these crucial projects and we encourage the MPD to continue the development of partnerships that will allow these projects to be completed in an appropriate manner. Moreover, we believe that these projects will benefit from further study and look forward to following these projects as they move forward.

MPD04 - Mounted Patrol Horse Barn

CLIC is excited to see a project move forward that will not only reduce annual operating cost from the current facility by \$25,000, but will also fund the project through Charitable Donations. We believe that reductions of existing operational cost are critical for the city to make funds available for other projects in critical need. If there is any concern of the project it would be its location at the Fridley Water Campus. We believe that the MPD should continue to work with the other possible partners, Minneapolis Park Police and the University of Minnesota Police, and explore other locations in close proximity to the city core that would allow for less need of vehicular transport of the mounted patrols.

2007 Human Development Task Force Comments

MPD06 - Safe Streets Technology

CLIC supports the efforts of the Minneapolis Police Department to work in partnership with the neighborhoods and business community to utilize enhanced information technology to achieve future crime reduction. In the future, CLIC is hopeful that MPD will contribute department operating funds as have some other city departments that have "squirreled away dollars" for plowing into capital improvements to lessen the impact of these technology improvements on the City's property taxpayers.

MPL14 – Roosevelt Community Library

The Roosevelt Library is too small at its current site and has no possibility of additions. It is our understanding that this building is not on the list of designated historic buildings. The Roosevelt School is located directly across the street and the Committee recommends shared use of that facility if possible.

MPL15 – Southeast Community Library

Southeast library is a gathering place for the many diverse people who live on the east side of Minneapolis. The building now housing the library has been described as a total misfit. It is. However, southeast Minneapolis needs library services. Hennepin County commissioners have stated a commitment to have libraries open in all parts of Minneapolis. CLIC supports that commitment and strongly urges the city to provide the necessary capital funding for library services in southeast Minneapolis.

MPL18 – Northeast Library Capital Improvements

CLIC commends the Minneapolis Public Library (MPL) for managing financial resources effectively by reassigning bond funds authorized for the Roosevelt Library (currently closed and scheduled for new bond authorization for 2010) to the NE Library. CLIC also commends MPL for bringing the NE Library to the top funding priority for this CLIC funding cycle. The NE Library is one of the most highly used branches, and it is heavily used by Edison High School students. The NE Library is a core component of the fabric of NE Minneapolis, whose tradition has been, and continues to be, that of a community that welcomes immigrants. Libraries are particularly important to immigrants' assimilation into the culture of our city. In addition, revitalization and enhancement of the NE Library is seen as a key catalyst for the renovation of the Central Avenue commercial corridor. The improvements to the NE Library are greatly needed and long awaited.

PSD04 - Facilities Physical Security Management

The Committee encourages the use of proper design strategies and understanding of criminal behavior (crime prevention through environmental design of CPTED) in addition to security cameras, locks, fences, etc.

2007 Human Development Task Force Comments

PSD06 – Pioneers and Soldiers Cemetery

This cemetery is the oldest in Minneapolis and the city has a responsibility to restore and maintain it. In both 2005 and 2006 CLIC identified this project in its comments, stating the importance of preserving this National Historic Landmark. One of the contributing factors for both the national and local designation is the wrought iron fence. CLIC suggests Public Works follows the recommendations in the Pioneers Survey and Preservation Plan in renovating and stabilizing the wrought iron fence. Any changes to the fence will need to be approved by the Minneapolis Heritage Preservation Commission (HPC) and the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). CLIC recommends a close alliance with the HPC and SHPO in order to achieve the most historically accurate, fiscally responsible and longest lasting renovation possible, particularly since the date at which the City will turn it over is so close.

It is also recommended that other sources of funding be sought to assist in the renovation and maintenance of this project including possible Federal Historic Preservation Funds, State Historic Preservation Funds, the Lake Street Council, private fund raising efforts, etc.

PRK17 - East Phillips Cultural and Community Center

In the face of past CLIC criticism concerning nonspecific requests for Capital dollars for past PRK proposals, PRK17 is a breath of fresh air. This proposal meets CLIC requirements for specificity, need and especially, community support.

CLIC believes the time is right for the funding of this project. CLIC is aware that East Phillips has long been underserved with no park building or programming. It has a large number of children living in poverty and has a significant crime problem, which can be ameliorated by programs for kids. Given the demographics of need in the Phillips Community, the long standing absence of community space, along with the community's hard won State support of \$3.5 million and NRP funding from two neighborhood organizations, the appropriateness of funding this important project is apparent.

While the MPRB has committed to fund operating costs, CLIC has concerns that this may result in no-net-gain in park programming for the city, requiring programs to be eliminated elsewhere to allow programming in East Phillips. However, CLIC realizes that the collaborating neighborhoods' metro-wide research has demonstrated that a quality facility has the potential of raising significant operating funds from rent/lease arrangements as is the case with the Sheila and Paul Wellstone Center in St. Paul and the Plymouth Creek Center, two self-sustaining community centers. In each case, track records of self-sustaining centers demonstrate that a greater initial financial input has yielded far greater return, offsetting the initial greater investment for years to come. CLIC also realizes that such funding possibilities will be diminished or lost without a quality building.

Concerns about the expense of the project, include that for the sustainable infrastructure required by the State: CLIC realizes that, due to State requirements associated with their 3.5 million dollar commitment, this facility must be constructed to LEED “Silver” building standards. Sustainability is not only a requirement; it makes long-term financial sense and has the potential to be the model of sustainability for all future Park buildings and Minneapolis as a whole.

In conclusion, CLIC is aware of this community’s unmet needs, and we feel this is an admirable project which should be funded.

SW011 - Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation

Funding from the other jurisdictions, such as the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District, to fund valuable storm water projects proposed in the Five-year Capital Improvement Program encourages CLIC. However, despite the new funding sources, CLIC is concerned about Storm Water Utility’s credits program that is costing the city approximately \$500,000 per year. CLIC urges the Finance Department, Mayor and City Council to continue to monitor the storm water credits to ensure that it does not become too generous, to the detriment of meeting the needs of the citywide program as a whole.

2007 Transportation Task Force Comments

ART01 - Art in Public Places

CLIC strongly supports the annual contribution of public art to 2% of net debt bonding for each of the next 5 years. CLIC also supports the designation that the awarded capital arts project shortlist include local, “Minneapolis”, artists!

Minneapolis Bicycle Projects - BIK04, BIK06, BIK08, BIK13

CLIC is committed to maintaining the city’s leadership in miles of dedicated bike trails and street lanes as well as the city’s dedication to make bikes a viable mode of transportation both to/through downtown and throughout the city.

CLIC notes how well leveraged bicycle capital projects are, but also notes an increase of almost \$70,000 in operating costs. CLIC has repeatedly requested that the BAC along with Public Works develop a plan to fund the operating budget of this system and that it be presented to the Mayor and City Council. Unless sources to fund operating costs can be identified in the future, CLIC will find it hard to rate these projects highly.

CLIC has noted the following observations with respect to bikes:

- Additional bike trails and lanes are needed throughout North and Northeast Minneapolis
- A decrease in both capital and operating funding from both property taxes and external resources
- A lack of future operating funding sources (both dedicated and non-dedicated)

As noted in last year’s report, CLIC recommends that on-street bike lanes be included in paving projects, especially where they overlap with the bikeway plan.

BIK08 – Hiawatha Trail Connections

As we move forward with the designs of this project, CLIC would like to recommend that there be cutouts at the crossing of 11th St that line up with the bike path itself. Currently, in order to exit the bike path onto 11th St a bicycle either has to ride on the sidewalk for 15 feet or ride on the train tracks.

CDA01 - Heritage Park Redevelopment Project

CLIC very much appreciates that there is a separated bicycle lane on almost the entirety of Van White Blvd. This type of bicycle lane is the safest and most user friendly. As our city is trying to increase its mode share of bicycles we should look at doing that sort of separation wherever feasible and appropriate.

BR122 – 46th St Pedestrian Bridge

PV005 – Snelling Ave Extension

CLIC has several concerns about these projects. Especially considering the \$3 million price tag for the Pedestrian Bridge, we have concerns about the volume of use it will receive.

2007 Transportation Task Force Comments

CLIC would like to request that a study be done of other pedestrian bridges in Minneapolis. While CLIC fully understands the safety concerns at 46th St. intersection, we are concerned that pedestrians will continue to use the crosswalk even after completion of the bridge.

The BR122 project idea was developed in response to community concerns for pedestrians and bicyclists crossing Hiawatha from the LRT Station on the west to housing and retail developments on the east. While this is an important consideration, CLIC recommends refining the traffic lighting schedule to allow more time for pedestrian crossing. There are buses that go from the station across Hiawatha that should be used by pedestrians and possibly to direct bicyclists to use the overpass to the south or the River Lake Greenway to the north.

CLIC recognizes the BR122 request is a placeholder for future funding but suggests that this project and PV005 be considered one project. The two projects seem to be targeting different components of Transit Oriented Development (TOD) in this area. The combined project costs and land acquisition exceeds \$10 million and leaves other transportation issues unresolved. CLIC recommends exploring a broader solution by integrating the goals of these projects with other traffic, pedestrian and economic development needs, including a potential grade separation crossing, for the 46th Street at Hiawatha Avenue intersection, which would encompass the LRT and railroad crossings.

PV005 received similar comments from CLIC in 2005 and 2006. The total project costs do not include the cost of land acquisition and business relocation. An estimate was presented to CLIC of \$5-6 million for the land and business costs; however, there was no presentation from CPED that identified a funding source or sources to achieve this acquisition. CLIC remains concerned about total project costs especially since funding for the road project is to begin in 2008.

PV007 – University Research Park

This project exemplifies all the best that Minneapolis has to offer within its capital improvement plan: living wage jobs for tomorrow, major tax base enhancement, significant land reclamation and cleanup, long-needed attention to the natural environment, and ongoing cooperation with the nearby residential and university communities. Included are significant and appropriate considerations of roadway connections, storm water control, and a sports stadium for the University of Minnesota. If CLIC were restricted to approve only a handful of projects, this one would easily make the cut. “Way-to-go Minneapolis!”

PV008 – I-35W & Lake St Interchange Reconstruct, Phase 4

CLIC would like to see the I-35/Lake St project separated out from the 38th Street projects as it appears that they are separate projects. Alternatively, CLIC would like Public Works to explain the justification to consider these two projects together.

2007 Transportation Task Force Comments

PV019 – 6th Avenue N (5th St N to Dead End N of Wash Ave)

Reiterating CLIC's concern for historical preservation, we realize that this is one of the last remaining sections of intact cobblestone street in the City of Minneapolis. As such, we should be very aware of what we do with the cobblestones that we will be removing from this street. CLIC would like to encourage the project planners to use the cobblestones as much as possible when constructing the new infrastructure. Examples of this could include cobblestone crosswalks, street/sidewalk decoration, maintaining the parking lane as cobblestone, etc.

CLIC also requests that issues regarding loading and unloading trucks be addressed with this project. This truck traffic creates obstacles to making this a neighborhood street.

PV035 – TH121/Lyndale Ave S

Given that the economic benefits of this project serve as an important justification for doing it, CLIC believes that it is important for presenters to provide estimates of the increased tax base as well as any change in operating costs.

PV049 – 1st Avenue North One-way to Two-way

PV050 – Hennepin Avenue One-way to Two-way

PV052 – Marquette Ave Double Width Transit Lanes

CLIC would like to see tangible evidence that all of the ramifications related to the conversion of these streets have been fully studied before the project is funded or undertaken. These ramifications include: congestion caused by left turns (Hennepin Ave. and 1st Ave.), congestion caused by the elimination of a parking/drop-off lane (Marquette Ave.), the additional hazards caused by bicycle lanes in the middle of two-way streets, and hazards which result from creating lanes with undersized widths in order to squeeze more lanes of traffic into the existing roadways.

CLIC is not in favor of the current placement of the bicycle path for PV050 or PV052. A bicycle lane in the very middle of a large street such as Hennepin Ave does not look at all inviting or safe to bicyclists. As we attempt to increase our ride share we need to make structures that serve that end. We request that the planners of these projects investigate alternative solutions for these multi-modal streets.

Since a major impetus for this project is to make access to downtown businesses more user friendly, if these changes create more congestion as described above, then the proposed changes could make access to these businesses even more difficult than it is perceived to be under the current system. This risk underscores the importance of fully understanding all of the implications of the proposed changes before money is either allocated or spent. Nothing would be worse than spending large sums of money converting downtown streets with results that are no better than the current system, or, even worse, are inferior to the current system.

2007 Transportation Task Force Comments

Finally, given the magnitude of these proposed changes CLIC would like to see the possibility of total elimination of buses along Nicollet Mall reexamined. The redesign of so many major downtown arteries resulting in only a partial elimination of buses from Nicollet Mall is unfortunate. It seems that the elimination of buses on Nicollet Mall could solve many of the other difficulties associated with these projects. Nicollet Mall would then become bicycle friendly eliminating the need for bicycle lanes in the middle of two-way traffic on at least some of the surrounding streets. This would also leave more room for the remaining lanes of traffic.

TR004 – Computerized Traffic Control Communication

TR010 - Traffic System Management

The City of Minneapolis is making a huge commitment to WiFi, but these projects do not integrate with the WiFi infrastructure. It seems as though this could be a great application of that technology.

TR006 – Priority Vehicle Control System

Priority Vehicle Systems should explore the utilization of more recent technologies, such as GPS for targeted vehicle control to create a “path” for Emergency. For this technology to be successful, other technologies such as cameras to “recognize” congestion or pavement monitors to gauge traffic volumes could be integrated to provide the best possible response route.

TR013 – Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements

The presentation CLIC received for this project was focused upon preventing drivers from the ability to willfully disregard safety at railroad crossings by, for example, driving around lower railroad crossing arm. CLIC believes that funds would be better directed at upgrading crossings where no gates exist (e.g. Hennepin Avenue at Industrial Boulevard). CLIC would also like more information regarding any other projects that are dependent on quiet zone efforts, such as the HUD and CPED projects mentioned in the CBR, as well as discussion on how these efforts impact city economic development in general. Additionally, while the report mentions that some of the maintenance costs will be covered by the railroads, no mention is made of the railroads’ share of the project.

TR015 - Safe Routes to School

CLIC recommends that the City work closely with the Minneapolis Public School District to assure that the school buildings the district is closing will be reconsidered in the Safe Routes to Schools program.

TR017 - Pedestrian Signals with Count-down Timers

CLIC recommends that the City research the use of count down timers with audio signals for citizens with vision impairment and where such signals may be appropriate.

2007 Transportation Task Force Comments

Water General Comment

CLIC recommends that present and future water proposals have a rate pro forma component tied to each proposal in order to clearly delineate the impact of the project on the current rates. This information will allow CLIC to establish a cost benefit analysis and payback examination to assist in prioritizing the impact on Minneapolis and the appropriate suburban rate-payers. In addition, the rate proposal pro forma needs to be determined by the Minneapolis Water Department. In the past the Finance Department has assumed the responsibility; clearly the Water Department is responsible and accountable for the rate charged.

In general, all projects that impact utility rates should be determined by their respective department.

Water usage has been going down the last several years in Minneapolis. If this trend continues, water rates will have to dramatically increase to cover the cost of the proposed projects for the next 5 year capital budget, which total over \$126 million. CLIC recommends that the Water Department either scale back the proposed capital budget or seek additional revenue sources from other municipalities or some combination of these two alternatives to maintain the current pro forma rate structure.

WTR09 - Ultrafiltration Program

CLIC places great value in Minneapolis' superior water quality. In light of a decrease in usage and demand and a subsequent decrease in revenue, CLIC thinks that capital budget requests should correspondingly decline over time. This project, in the middle of its funding cycle, will need to either get pared down in order to cut costs or seek other sources of funding in order to boost revenues. Ideas to boost revenue include re-opening existing contracts, searching for customers in other cities or searching for additional non fee-based sources of funding. An idea to cut costs would be to pare down the Fridley facility capacity to 40 million gallons, with the possibility of ramping up its capacity in the future.

If membranes require replacement every 6-7 years, plan to bond at the appropriate time rather than trying to create reserves annually. Also, if higher rates reduce water usage, membranes should require less frequent replacement, which would presumably make up for lost revenue.

WTR12 - Water Distribution Improvements

Top priority should be given to cleaning and repairing the ENTIRE water distribution system faster and this process should be systematic rather than driven by complaints. At the current rate of repair, it will take 80 years to clean the entire distribution system. It seems negligent to run such clean and expensive water through a dirty distribution system.

2007 Transportation Task Force Comments

WTR16 - Minneapolis/St. Paul Interconnection

CLIC recommends that Minneapolis initiate a concerted effort to reach a funding agreement with the St. Paul Regional Water Services, State of Minnesota, and Homeland Security to conclude a joint powers agreement for the interconnection of the municipal water utilities. Both cities need additional storage capacity for finished water in case of an emergency condition and for large scale schedule repairs, improvement and maintenance. Strong leadership is now needed to formalize and implement the Interconnection as the most cost effective means to achieve reserve water capacity for each city, and it's subscribing suburban partners.

**MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	200	0	0	0	200	200
2009			300	0	0	0	300	300
2010			300	0	0	0	300	300
2011			340	0	0	0	340	340
2012			340	0	0	0	340	340
Total			1,480	0	0	0	1,480	1,480
2008	MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	500	0	0	0	500	500
2009			500	0	0	0	500	500
2010			500	0	0	0	500	500
2011			500	0	0	0	500	500
2012			500	0	0	0	500	500
Total			2,500	0	0	0	2,500	2,500
2008	MBC04	Tower & Interior Court Elevators	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	MBC06	Clock Tower Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	CTY01	Restoration of Historic Mayor's Reception Room	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	700	0	0	0	700	700
2009	800	0	0	0	800	800
2010	800	0	0	0	800	800
2011	840	0	0	0	840	840
2012	840	0	0	0	840	840
Total Municipal Bldg Commission	3,980	0	0	0	3,980	3,980

**LIBRARY BOARD FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	MPL06	Webber Park Community	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	254	254	0
2010		Webber Park also has 92 of	500	0	0	1,250	1,750	0
2011		prior authorization available	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012		Other = Referendum funding	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			500	0	0	1,504	2,004	0
2008	MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital	540	0	0	1,735	2,275	0
2009		Improvements	650	0	0	1,319	1,969	0
2010		Nokomis will also have an	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		additional 850 in NDB from a	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012		2007 transfer from Southeast	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			1,190	0	0	3,054	4,244	0
2008	MPL11	Walker Community Library	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			500	0	0	0	500	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			500	0	0	0	500	0
2008	MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Improvements	440	0	0	60	500	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			440	0	0	60	500	0
2008	MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Prior bonds issued have been	0	0	0	1,887	1,887	0
2011		moved to Northeast Library.	540	0	0	773	1,313	0
2012		Amounts represent new budget	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			540	0	0	2,660	3,200	0
2008	MPL15	Southeast Community Library	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Capital Improvements	600	0	0	1,200	1,800	0
2010		Prior bonds issued have been	1,400	0	0	0	1,400	0
2011		moved to Northeast Library.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012		Amounts represent new budget	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			2,000	0	0	1,200	3,200	0
2008	MPL16	Washburn Community Library	0	0	0	60	60	0
2009		Capital Improvements	440	0	0	0	440	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			440	0	0	60	500	0
2008	MPL18	Northeast Library Capital	0	0	0	2,595	2,595	0
2009		Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Northeast also has transfers of	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		735 from Roosevelt/Southeast	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012		& 350 in prior authorizations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	2,595	2,595	0

**LIBRARY BOARD FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	MPL19	Cost of Issuance Contribution	0	0	0	80	80	0
2009		This cost reimburses the City	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		for costs associated with issuing	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		referendum based bond series.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	80	80	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	540	0	0	4,470	5,010	0
2009	2,130	0	0	2,833	4,963	0
2010	1,900	0	0	3,137	5,037	0
2011	1,040	0	0	773	1,813	0
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Library Board	5,610	0	0	11,213	16,823	0

CLIC Recommendation reflects corrections that were required to the submitted requests.

The actual budgets for the remaining libraries with new or re-allocated prior year funding follows:

Community Library Project	New NDB	Existing* NDB	New Ref Bonds	Existing** Ref Bonds	Total Project Budgets
Webber Park	500		1,504	92	2,096
Nokomis	1,190	850	3,054	0	5,094
Walker	500		0	0	500
Hosmer	440		60	0	500
Roosevelt	540		2,660	0	3,200
Southeast	2,000		1,200	0	3,200
Washburn	440		60	0	500
Northeast		50	2,595	1,035	3,680
Cost of Issuance Contribution			80	0	80
Totals	5,610	900	11,213	1,127	18,850

* - Includes 850 of net debt bonds authorized but not yet issued. With the new authorizations above, the City has 6,460 of net debt bonds yet to be issued for Community Library Improvements.

** - Includes 392 of referendum bonds authorized but not yet issued. With the new authorizations above, the City has a total of 11,605 of referendum bonds yet to be issued for Community Library Improvements.

The amounts recommended above and the table summarizing the total budget to be made available to each of the remaining Community Library projects is in compliance with the agreed upon Library Unification Agreement.

**PARK BOARD FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB & Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Park Board requests include Net Debt Bonds & Park Capital Levy.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			400	0	0	0	400	0
2011			410	0	0	0	410	0
2012			440	0	0	0	440	0
Total			1,250	0	0	0	1,250	0
2008	PRK02	Site & Totlot Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			1,100	0	0	0	1,100	0
2011			900	0	0	0	900	0
2012			470	0	0	0	470	0
Total			2,470	0	0	0	2,470	0
2008	PRK04	Athletic Field Renovation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			150	0	0	0	150	0
Total			150	0	0	0	150	0
2008	PRK09	HVAC Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			190	0	0	0	190	0
2012			190	0	0	0	190	0
Total			380	0	0	0	380	0
2008	PRK11	Roof Replacements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			250	0	0	0	250	0
Total			250	0	0	0	250	0
2008	PRK16	Parkway and Adjacent Parkland Lighting Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	PRK17	East Phillips Cultural and Community Center Other = State of MN 3,500, E Phillips Imp Coalition 180 & Midtown Phillips NA, Inc 5	1,609	0	0	3,685	5,294	0
2009			1,641	0	0	0	1,641	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			3,250	0	0	3,685	6,935	0

**PARK BOARD FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB & Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PRKDT	Diseased Tree Removal	0	0	500	0	500	0
2009			0	0	500	0	500	0
2010			0	0	500	0	500	0
2011			0	0	500	0	500	0
2012			0	0	500	0	500	0
Total			0	0	2,500	0	2,500	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB & Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	1,609	0	500	3,685	5,794	0
2009	1,641	0	500	0	2,141	0
2010	1,500	0	500	0	2,000	0
2011	1,500	0	500	0	2,000	0
2012	1,500	0	500	0	2,000	0
Total Park Board	7,750	0	2,500	3,685	13,935	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
 (GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PSD01	Facilities - Repair and Improvements	800	0	0	0	800	0
2009			900	0	0	0	900	0
2010			600	0	0	0	600	0
2011			1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
2012			1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
Total					4,700	0	0	0
2008	PSD06	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			250	0	0	0	250	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					250	0	0	0
2008	PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	0
2008	PSD10	Minneapolis Development Review Other = Dept Operating	0	0	0	500	500	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	500
2008	PSD11	Energy Conservation and Emissions Reduction	500	0	0	0	500	0
2009			500	0	0	0	500	0
2010			500	0	0	0	500	0
2011			500	0	0	0	500	0
2012			500	0	0	0	500	0
Total					2,500	0	0	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	1,300	0	0	500	1,800	0
2009	1,400	0	0	0	1,400	0
2010	1,350	0	0	0	1,350	0
2011	1,700	0	0	0	1,700	0
2012	1,700	0	0	0	1,700	0
Total Facility Improvements	7,450	0	0	500	7,950	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

STREET PAVING
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP	
2008	PV001	Parkway Paving	500	0	50	0	550	0	
2009			500	0	50	0	550	0	
2010			500	0	150	0	650	0	
2011			1,300	0	150	0	1,450	0	
2012			500	0	50	0	550	0	
Total			3,300	0	450	0	3,750	0	
2008	PV003	Street Renovation Program	1,624	0	430	0	2,054	0	
2009			1,385	0	540	0	1,925	0	
2010			See Stormwater Fund for Sewer related work.	2,165	0	580	0	2,745	0
2011			3,625	0	1,075	0	4,700	0	
2012			4,760	0	1,495	0	6,255	0	
Total			13,559	0	4,120	0	17,679	0	
2008	PV004	CSAH Paving Program (County State Aid Highway)	600	600	800	0	2,000	0	
2009			400	0	575	0	975	0	
2010			750	0	550	300	1,600	0	
2011			850	0	675	0	1,525	0	
2012			850	0	750	0	1,600	0	
Total			3,450	600	3,350	300	7,700	0	
2008	PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0	
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0	
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0	
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total			0	0	0	0	0		
2008	PV006	Alley Renovation	150	0	65	0	215	0	
2009			150	0	65	0	215	0	
2010			200	0	65	0	265	0	
2011			200	0	65	0	265	0	
2012			200	0	65	0	265	0	
Total			900	0	325	0	1,225	0	
2008	PV007	University Research Park	350	700	500	57	1,607	3,413	
2009			Other = CPED contributions	400	2,325	330	887	3,942	3,398
2010			Non-Approp = State of MN	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			See Stormwater Fund for Sewer related work.	400	835	835	5,130	7,200	0
2012			850	1,835	1,335	5,000	9,020	21,000	
Total			2,000	5,695	3,000	11,074	21,769	27,811	

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM (GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

STREET PAVING CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PV008	I-35W & Lake St Interchange Reconstruction Phase 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

* -This funding is for Minneapolis to participate with Hennepin County and MnDOT to move forward on Lake Street between Blaisdell Avenue and 5th Avenue South. The results will be a completed roadway design and streetscape plan set for Lake Street, a completed roadway plan set for Nicollet Avenue between 31st Street and 28th Street and 38th Street between Nicollet Avenue and Clinton Avenue, a completed bridge plan set the 40th Street Pedestrian Bridge, concept (30%) bridge design for the 38th Street and Lake Street Bridges. Also included is the Visual Quality Manual. The Visual Quality Manual will give guidance to the freeway corridor area while enhancing the diverse environments including a transportation corridor (transit/pedestrian/bicyclist) adjacent to a historic community. It is anticipated the process will occur over three years and include Project Advisory Committee (PAC) and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings as well as neighborhood and community meetings.

2008	PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			15	0	0	0	15	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			15	0	0	0	15	0
2008	PV019	6th Ave N (5th St N to Dead End N of Wash Ave)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	PV023	28th Ave S - Phase 1 (50th St E to 58th St E)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			795	3,635	460	0	4,890	0
2011			See Stormwater Fund for Sewer related work.	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			795	3,635	460	0	4,890	0
2008	PV026	Cedar Lake Road (Penn Ave to Glenwood Ave)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			400	1,820	230	0	2,450	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			400	1,820	230	0	2,450	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

STREET PAVING
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment Other = 100 Hennepin Cty & 85 NRP	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			150	660	270	185	1,265	2,645
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			150	660	270	185	1,265	2,645
2008	PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to E 28th St E) See Stormwater Fund for Sewer related work.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			1,055	3,040	4,405	0	8,500	0
2012			1,060	3,045	4,410	0	8,515	0
Total			2,115	6,085	8,815	0	17,015	0
2008	PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S See Stormwater Fund for Sewer related work.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			200	1,650	400	0	2,250	0
2012			600	1,650	400	0	2,650	0
Total			800	3,300	800	0	4,900	0
2008	PV041	2nd Ave N (3rd St N to Wash Ave N)	30	385	85	0	500	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			30	385	85	0	500	0
2008	PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S) See Stormwater Fund for Storm Sewer related work	450	1,250	105	0	1,805	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			450	1,250	105	0	1,805	0
2008	PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			5	0	0	0	5	0
Total			5	0	0	0	5	0
2008	PV045	13th St S Pedestrian Plaza (Nic Mall to Marq) Non Approp = applying for Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

STREET PAVING
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PV046	28th Ave S - Phase 2 (46th St E to 50th St E) See Stormwater Fund for Sewer related work.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			355	1,950	245	0	2,550	0
Total					355	1,950	245	0
2008	PV047	3rd Ave N (Washington Ave to 5th St N)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	0
2008	PV048	Dirt Alley Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	0
2008	PV049	1st Ave N One-way to Two-Way (1st to 9th St S)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	0
2008	PV050	Hennepin Ave One-way to Two-way-1st to 12th St S	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	0
2008	PV051	9th St S Pedestrian Improvements Non Approp = applying for Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					0	0	0	0
2008	PV052	Marquette Ave Double Width Transit Lanes Non Approp = applying for Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	2,950	2,100	0	5,050	1,750
2012			0	2,950	2,100	0	5,050	1,750
Total					0	5,900	4,200	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
 (GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

STREET PAVING
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PV00R	Reimbursable Paving Projects	0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2009			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2010			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2011			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2012			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
Total			0	0	0	17,500	17,500	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	3,704	2,935	2,035	3,557	12,231	3,413
2009	2,850	2,325	1,560	4,387	11,122	3,398
2010	4,960	6,115	2,305	3,985	17,365	2,645
2011	7,630	8,475	9,705	8,630	34,440	1,750
2012	9,180	11,430	10,850	8,500	39,960	22,750
Total Street Paving	28,324	31,280	26,455	29,059	115,118	33,956

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

SIDEWALK PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks	185	0	2,295	0	2,480	0
2009			195	0	2,410	0	2,605	0
2010			205	0	2,530	0	2,735	0
2011			215	0	2,665	0	2,880	0
2012			225	0	2,795	0	3,020	0
Total			1,025	0	12,695	0	13,720	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	185	0	2,295	0	2,480	0
2009	195	0	2,410	0	2,605	0
2010	205	0	2,530	0	2,735	0
2011	215	0	2,665	0	2,880	0
2012	225	0	2,795	0	3,020	0
Total Sidewalk Program	1,025	0	12,695	0	13,720	0

HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project See also Water & Stormwater sections for contributions to this project for 2008.	750	0	0	0	750	0
2009			750	0	0	0	750	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			1,500	0	0	0	1,500	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	750	0	0	0	750	0
2009	750	0	0	0	750	0
2010	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Heritage Park Redevelopment	1,500	0	0	0	1,500	0

Note: Additional funding sources for this project will be appropriated as agreements are finalized.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

BRIDGES

CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	250	0	0	0	250	0
2009			300	0	0	0	300	0
2010			200	0	0	0	200	0
2011			200	0	0	0	200	0
2012			200	0	0	0	200	0
Total			1,150	0	0	0	1,150	0
2008	BR105	Fremont Ave S Bridge Other = Federal Govt 1,488 & State of MN 372	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	BR106	1st Ave S Bridge over the Midtown Greenway Other = Federal Govt 1,440 & State of MN 360	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			5	0	0	1,800	1,805	0
Total			5	0	0	1,800	1,805	0
2008	BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation Other = Federal Govt 2,550 & State of MN 850	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			505	1,400	0	3,400	5,305	0
2010			2,095	0	0	0	2,095	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			2,600	1,400	0	3,400	7,400	0
2008	BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF Non-Approp = Federal Govt 9,582 & State of MN 2,438 Other = BNSF Railroad See also Water section	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			88	0	340	1,000	1,428	12,020
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			88	0	340	1,000	1,428	12,020
2008	BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation Other = Federal Govt 4,104 & State of MN 1,026	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			1,660	0	0	5,130	6,790	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			1,660	0	0	5,130	6,790	0
2008	BR112	Niccollet Ave Reopening See Stormwater & Water sections also.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	BR114	Midtown Corridor Bridge Preservation Program Rehab Program Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			200	0	0	795	995	0
2012			200	0	0	810	1,010	0
Total			400	0	0	1,605	2,005	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
 (GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

BRIDGES
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	BR121	Lowry Ave Bridge over the Mississippi River	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			5	0	0	0	5	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			5	0	0	0	5	0
2008	BR122	46th St Pedestrian Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	BR123	28th Ave S Bridge over Minnehaha Creek Other = Federal Govt 972 & State of MN 243	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			510	0	0	1,215	1,725	0
Total			510	0	0	1,215	1,725	0
2008	BR124	Nokomis Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	250	0	0	0	250	0
2009	805	1,400	0	3,400	5,605	0
2010	2,295	0	0	0	2,295	0
2011	2,153	0	340	6,925	9,418	12,020
2012	915	0	0	3,825	4,740	0
Total Bridges	6,418	1,400	340	14,150	22,308	12,020

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	TR003	Energy Efficient LED Replacement Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			275	0	0	0	275	0
2010			200	0	0	0	200	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			200	0	0	0	200	0
Total			675	0	0	0	675	0
2008	TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication Other = Hennepin County	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	TR005	Controller Conversion Other = Hennepin County 200 in 2008 and 300 in 2012 and Fed Govt 2,500 in 2012	200	200	0	200	600	0
2009			290	140	0	0	430	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			200	180	0	2,800	3,180	0
Total			690	520	0	3,000	4,210	0
2008	TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			25	200	0	0	225	0
2012			25	200	0	0	225	0
Total			50	400	0	0	450	0
2008	TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements Other = Fed Govt & Henn Cty For 2008 = 66 Henn Cty & 171 Fed Govt	418	130	0	237	785	0
2009			200	61	0	325	586	0
2010			300	50	0	211	561	0
2011			300	74	0	134	508	0
2012			300	170	0	430	900	0
Total			1,518	485	0	1,337	3,340	0
2008	TR015	Safe Routes to School Other = Fed Govt	50	0	0	100	150	0
2009			50	0	0	0	50	0
2010			50	0	0	0	50	0
2011			50	0	0	0	50	0
2012			50	0	0	0	50	0
Total			250	0	0	100	350	0
2008	TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	210	0	0	0	210	0
2009			159	0	0	0	159	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			150	0	0	0	150	0
2012			350	0	0	0	350	0
Total			869	0	0	0	869	0
2008	TR010	Traffic Management Systems Other = 1,625 Fed Gov't & 475 U of M for 2008 Fed Govt & Henn Cty in out yrs	130	525	0	2,100	2,755	0
2009			140	400	0	2,917	3,457	0
2010			148	440	0	2,787	3,375	0
2011			25	50	0	450	525	0
2012			25	50	0	450	525	0
Total			468	1,465	0	8,704	10,637	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	TR011	City Street Light Renovation	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			110	0	0	0	110	0
Total			210	0	0	0	210	0
2008	TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	0	1,936	0	1,004	2,940	0
2009		Other = Henn Cty in 2008	0	0	0	1,151	1,151	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		State of MN & Henn Cty in	0	200	0	1,279	1,479	0
2012		out years.	0	200	0	0	200	0
Total			0	2,336	0	3,434	5,770	0
2008	TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Other = Hennepin County	0	0	100	300	400	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	100	300	400	0
2008	TR017	Pedestrian Signals With	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Count-Down Timers	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	TR00R	Reimbursable Transportation	0	0	0	600	600	0
2009		Projects	0	0	0	600	600	0
2010			0	0	0	600	600	0
2011			0	0	0	600	600	0
2012			0	0	0	600	600	0
Total			0	0	0	3,000	3,000	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	1,108	2,791	0	4,241	8,140	0
2009	1,114	601	100	5,293	7,108	0
2010	698	490	0	3,598	4,786	0
2011	550	524	0	2,463	3,537	0
2012	1,260	800	0	4,280	6,340	0
Total Traffic Control & Street Lighting	4,730	5,206	100	19,875	29,911	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
 (GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

BIKE TRAILS
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			915	0	0	1,000	1,915	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			915	0	0	1,000	1,915	0
2008	BIK06	University of Minnesota Trail - Phase III	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Other = Federal Govt 555,	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		State of MN 100 & University	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012		of Minnesota 100	790	0	0	755	1,545	0
Total			790	0	0	755	1,545	0
2008	BIK08	Hiawatha Trail Connections	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			245	0	0	520	765	0
Total			245	0	0	520	765	0
2008	BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Other = Federal Govt	1,025	0	0	1,070	2,095	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			1,025	0	0	1,070	2,095	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	1,940	0	0	2,070	4,010	0
2011	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012	1,035	0	0	1,275	2,310	0
Total Bike Trails	2,975	0	0	3,345	6,320	0

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING SUMMARY
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	7,297	5,726	4,330	8,298	25,651	3,413
2009	7,114	4,326	4,070	13,080	28,590	3,398
2010	11,448	6,605	4,835	9,653	32,541	2,645
2011	12,248	8,999	12,710	18,018	51,975	13,770
2012	14,315	12,230	13,645	17,880	58,070	22,750
Total PW General Infrastructure	52,422	37,886	39,590	66,929	196,827	45,976

**STORMWATER FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	0	220	0	220	0
2009			0	220	0	220	0
2010			0	220	0	220	0
2011			0	220	0	220	0
2012			0	220	0	220	0
Total			0	1,100	0	1,100	0
2008	SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	0	250	0	250	0
2009			0	250	0	250	0
2010			0	250	0	250	0
2011			0	250	0	250	0
2012			0	250	0	250	0
Total			0	1,250	0	1,250	0
2008	SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	1,375	0	0	1,375	0
2009			875	0	0	875	0
2010			875	0	0	875	0
2011			875	0	0	875	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			4,000	0	0	4,000	0
2007	SW011	Storm Drains & Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	2,650	300	0	2,950	0
2008			2,500	500	0	3,000	0
2009			2,500	500	0	3,000	0
2010			2,500	500	0	3,000	0
2011			2,500	500	0	3,000	0
Total			12,650	2,300	0	14,950	0
2007	SW018	Flood Area 29 & 30 Other = Minnehaha Creek Watershed District	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			1,419	0	7,956	9,375	0
Total			1,419	0	7,956	9,375	0
2008	SW030	Alternative Storm Water Management Strategies	0	1,000	0	1,000	0
2009			0	1,000	0	1,000	0
2010			0	1,000	0	1,000	0
2011			0	1,000	0	1,000	0
2012			0	1,000	0	1,000	0
Total			0	5,000	0	5,000	0
2008	SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	390	0	0	390	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			390	0	0	390	0
2008	SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			1,035	0	0	1,035	0
Total			1,035	0	0	1,035	0

**STORMWATER FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	SW033	Flood Area 22- Sibley Field	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Other = Minnehaha Creek	500	0	2,066	2,566	0
2010		Watershed District & USEPA	508	0	2,151	2,659	0
2011		USEPA = \$1,193 in 2009	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			1,008	0	4,217	5,225	0
2008	SW034	Flood Area 21 - Bloomington	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Pond	968	0	3,872	4,840	0
2010		Other = Minnehaha	0	0	0	0	0
2011		Creek Watershed District	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			968	0	3,872	4,840	0
2008	SW00R	Reimbursable Sewer and	0	0	3,000	3,000	0
2009		Storm Drain Projects	0	0	3,000	3,000	0
2010			0	0	3,000	3,000	0
2011			0	0	3,000	3,000	0
2012			0	0	3,000	3,000	0
Total			0	0	15,000	15,000	0
2008	BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0
2008	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment	0	125	0	125	0
2009		Project - new infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0
2010		contribution	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	125	0	125	0
2008	PV003	Street Renovation Program	128	0	0	128	0
2009			0	190	0	190	0
2010			0	205	0	205	0
2011			0	2,610	0	2,610	0
2012			0	2,600	0	2,600	0
Total			128	5,605	0	5,733	0
2008	PV007	University Research Park	0	300	1,000	1,300	0
2009		Other = Middle Mississippi	0	800	0	800	0
2010		Watershed Mgmt Organization	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	800	0	800	0
2012			0	400	0	400	0
Total			0	2,300	1,000	3,300	0
2008	PV023	28th Ave S - Phase 1	0	0	0	0	0
2009		(50th St E to 58th St E)	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	230	0	230	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	230	0	230	0

**STORMWATER FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	PV029	Chicago Ave S (8th St S to E 28th St E)	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	145	0	145	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	145	0	145	0
2008	PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	500	0	500	0
Total			0	500	0	500	0
2008	PV043	54th St W (Upton Ave S to Penn Ave S)	0	455	0	455	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	455	0	455	0
2008	PV046	28th Ave S - Phase 2 (46th St E to 50th St E)	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	100	0	100	0
Total			0	100	0	100	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	OTHER	TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	4,543	2,650	4,000	11,193	0
2009	4,843	2,960	8,938	16,741	0
2010	3,883	2,405	5,151	11,439	0
2011	3,375	5,525	3,000	11,900	0
2012	4,954	5,570	10,956	21,480	0
Total Stormwater Sewer Fund	21,598	19,110	32,045	72,753	0

**SANITARY SEWER FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	SW001	Sanitary Tunnel and Sewer Rehabilitation Program	500	0	0	500	0
2009			500	0	0	500	0
2010			500	0	0	500	0
2011			500	0	0	500	0
2012			500	0	0	500	0
Total			2,500	0	0	2,500	0
2008	SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	100	0	100	0
Total			0	100	0	100	0
2008	SW036	Infiltration & Inflow Removal Program (See SW037)	5,000	0	0	5,000	0
2009			5,000	0	0	5,000	0
2010			5,000	0	0	5,000	0
2011			5,000	0	0	5,000	0
2012			5,000	0	0	5,000	0
Total			25,000	0	0	25,000	0
2008	SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation Note: Approximately \$2,000 of this project is associated with making progress toward Infiltration & Inflow problems.	0	0	0	0	0
2009			3,726	0	0	3,726	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			3,726	0	0	3,726	0
2008	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project - new infrastructure contribution	0	125	0	125	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	125	0	125	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	OTHER	TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	5,500	125	0	5,625	0
2009	9,226	0	0	9,226	0
2010	5,500	0	0	5,500	0
2011	5,500	0	0	5,500	0
2012	5,500	100	0	5,600	0
Total Sanitary Sewer Fund	31,226	225	0	31,451	0

**WATER FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	WATER BONDS	WATER REVENUE	OTHER	TOTAL
2008	WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	12,000	0	0	12,000
2009			32,500	0	0	32,500
2010			17,500	0	0	17,500
2011			5,000	0	0	5,000
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			67,000	0	0	67,000
2008	WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	0	4,500	0	4,500
2009			0	4,750	0	4,750
2010			0	5,000	0	5,000
2011			0	5,250	0	5,250
2012			0	5,000	0	5,000
Total			0	24,500	0	24,500
2008	WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	0	500	0	500
2009			0	500	0	500
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			0	1,000	0	1,000
2008	WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	6,000	0	0	6,000
2009			2,500	0	0	2,500
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			8,500	0	0	8,500
2008	WTR16	Minneapolis/St. Paul Inter-connection Note: Supporting contributions from St. Paul or other sources are not yet determined.	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			500	0	0	500
Total			500	0	0	500
2008	WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	500	0	500
Total			0	500	0	500
2008	WTR18	Hennepin Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0
2008	WTR22	New Filter Presses	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			1,100	0	0	1,100
2011			5,400	0	0	5,400
2012			6,000	0	0	6,000
Total			12,500	0	0	12,500

**WATER FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	WATER BONDS	WATER REVENUE	OTHER	TOTAL
2008	WTR0R	Reimbursable Water Projects	0	0	2,000	2,000
2009			0	0	2,000	2,000
2010			0	0	2,000	2,000
2011			0	0	2,000	2,000
2012			0	0	2,000	2,000
Total			0	0	10,000	10,000
2008	BR110	St. Anthony Bridge over BNSF	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	40	0	40
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			0	40	0	40
2008	BR112	Nicollet Ave Reopening	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0
2008	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment	0	250	0	250
2009		Project - new infrastructure	0	0	0	0
2010		contribution	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0
Total			0	250	0	250
2008	PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
2012			0	325	0	325
Total			0	325	0	325

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	WATER BONDS	WATER REVENUE	OTHER	TOTAL
2008	18,000	5,250	2,000	25,250
2009	35,000	5,250	2,000	42,250
2010	18,600	5,000	2,000	25,600
2011	10,400	5,290	2,000	17,690
2012	6,500	5,825	2,000	14,325
Total Water Fund	88,500	26,615	10,000	125,115

**PARKING FUND FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLLE	PARKING BONDS	PARKING REVENUE	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	1,700	0	0	1,700	0
2009			1,700	0	0	1,700	0
2010			1,700	0	0	1,700	0
2011			1,700	0	0	1,700	0
2012			1,700	0	0	1,700	0
Total			8,500	0	0	8,500	0
2008	RMP03	Bicycle Parking	0	35	0	35	0
2009			0	40	0	40	0
2010			0	40	0	40	0
2011			0	40	0	40	0
2012			0	40	0	40	0
Total			0	195	0	195	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	PARKING BONDS	PARKING REVENUE	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	1,700	35	0	1,735	0
2009	1,700	40	0	1,740	0
2010	1,700	40	0	1,740	0
2011	1,700	40	0	1,740	0
2012	1,700	40	0	1,740	0
Total Parking Fund	8,500	195	0	8,695	0

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR				NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008				7,297	5,726	4,330	8,298	25,651	3,413
2009				7,114	4,326	4,070	13,080	28,590	3,398
2010				11,448	6,605	4,835	9,653	32,541	2,645
2011				12,248	8,999	12,710	18,018	51,975	13,770
2012				14,315	12,230	13,645	17,880	58,070	22,750
Total Public Works General Infrastructure Improvements				52,422	37,886	39,590	66,929	196,827	45,976

ENTERPRISE FUND CAPITAL* FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	29,743	8,060				6,000	43,803	0
2009	50,769	8,250				10,938	69,957	0
2010	29,683	7,445				7,151	44,279	0
2011	20,975	10,855				5,000	36,830	0
2012	18,654	11,535				12,956	43,145	0
Total Public Works Enterprise Fund Capital	149,824	46,145	0	0	0	42,045	238,014	0

* - Enterprise funds include Stormwater & Sanitary Sewers, Water, Parking & Solid Waste.

CONSOLIDATED PUBLIC WORKS FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	29,743	8,060	7,297	5,726	4,330	14,298	69,454	3,413
2009	50,769	8,250	7,114	4,326	4,070	24,018	98,547	3,398
2010	29,683	7,445	11,448	6,605	4,835	16,804	76,820	2,645
2011	20,975	10,855	12,248	8,999	12,710	23,018	88,805	13,770
2012	18,654	11,535	14,315	12,230	13,645	30,836	101,215	22,750
Total Public Works Department Projects	149,824	46,145	52,422	37,886	39,590	108,974	434,841	45,976

Funding Breakdown by Major Revenue Sources 34.45% 10.61% 12.06% 8.71% 9.10% 25.06% 100.00%
(City Funding & Grant Sources where the City is the lead agency)

CLIC Recommended Utility Rates Supporting the 2008 - 2012 CLIC Capital Recommendation

Stormwater Rates

Prior Year Approved Rates

Effective Date	Increase	Total Rate*	% Change
01/01/06		9.17	
01/01/07	0.600	9.77	6.5%
01/01/08	0.490	10.26	5.0%
01/01/09	0.210	10.47	2.0%
01/01/10	0.210	10.68	2.0%
01/01/11	0.210	10.89	2.0%

2008 CLIC Pro Forma Rates

Effective Date	Increase	Total Rate*	% Change
01/01/07		9.77	
01/01/08	0.490	10.26	5.0%
01/01/09	0.210	10.47	2.0%
01/01/10	0.210	10.68	2.0%
01/01/11	0.210	10.89	2.0%
01/01/12	0.000	10.89	0.0%

* - Expressed in \$/Equivalent Stormwater Unit (ESU) where 1 ESU = 1,530 square feet of impervious (hard surface) area.

Sanitary Sewer Rates

Prior Year Approved Rates

Effective Date	Increase	Total Rate**	% Change
01/01/06		2.10	
01/01/07	0.200	2.30	9.5%
01/01/08	0.150	2.45	6.5%
01/01/09	0.120	2.57	4.9%
01/01/10	0.120	2.69	4.7%
01/01/11	0.120	2.81	4.5%

2008 CLIC Pro Forma Rates

Effective Date	Increase	Total Rate**	% Change
01/01/07		2.30	
01/01/08	0.150	2.45	6.5%
01/01/09	0.120	2.57	4.9%
01/01/10	0.120	2.69	4.7%
01/01/11	0.120	2.81	4.5%
01/01/12	0.100	2.91	3.6%

Water Rates

Prior Year Approved Rates

Effective Date	Increase	Total Rate**	% Change
01/01/06		2.62	
01/01/07	0.050	2.67	1.9%
01/01/08	0.080	2.75	3.0%
01/01/09	0.050	2.80	1.8%
01/01/10	0.100	2.90	3.6%
01/01/11	0.050	2.95	1.7%

2008 CLIC Pro Forma Rates

Effective Date	Increase	Total Rate**	% Change
01/01/07		2.67	
01/01/08	0.080	2.75	3.0%
01/01/09	0.050	2.80	1.8%
01/01/10	0.100	2.90	3.6%
01/01/11	0.050	2.95	1.7%
01/01/12	0.080	3.03	2.7%

CLIC recommends keeping water rates the same as last year's approved budget with an inflationary increase in 2012.

** - Sanitary Sewer and Water Rates are expressed in \$/100 Cubic Feet

For more details - see the cash basis pro formas for these funds

Stormwater Fund Cash Basis Pro Forma - CLIC Recommended

	Actual 2005	Actual 2006	Budget 2007	CSL 2008	Plan 2009	Plan 2010	Plan 2011	Plan 2012
Rate Increase on a cost per billing unit basis*			6.50%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%
Operating Revenues								
Utility Charges	26,896,492	27,869,050	29,441,279	31,946,515	32,585,445	33,237,154	33,901,897	33,901,897
State/Cty/Other Maintenance Agreement	913,691	877,460	959,606	1,562,122	1,562,122	1,562,122	1,562,122	1,562,122
Design & Misc. Revenue	1,168,949	1,256,005	1,084,368	988,368	988,368	988,368	988,368	-
Total Operating Revenues	28,979,132	30,002,515	31,485,253	34,497,005	35,135,935	35,787,644	36,452,387	35,464,019
Operating Expenses:								
Sewer Design	2,424,051	2,634,627	3,007,328	3,189,464	3,285,148	3,383,702	3,485,213	3,589,770
Sewer Maintenance	2,205,230	2,100,924	3,204,576	3,034,047	3,125,068	3,218,820	3,315,385	3,414,847
Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	2,525,980	1,408,569	1,842,749	1,868,591	1,924,649	1,982,388	2,041,860	2,103,116
General Fund Overhead	849,912	1,098,204	1,136,641	1,138,600	1,172,758	1,207,941	1,244,179	1,281,504
Combined Sewer Overflow	1,210,158	1,206,966	1,172,591	1,304,759	1,343,902	1,384,219	1,425,745	1,468,518
Street Cleaning	6,354,645	7,211,034	6,677,011	7,538,266	7,764,414	7,997,346	8,237,267	8,484,385
Government Service Fee	1,364,519	1,973,571	2,033,926	2,168,728	2,233,790	2,300,804	2,369,828	2,440,922
Total Operating Expenses	16,934,495	17,633,895	19,074,822	20,242,455	20,849,729	21,475,221	22,119,477	22,783,061
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses)								
Capital Related								
Grant Proceeds				1,000,000	5,938,000	2,151,000	-	7,956,000
Bond Proceeds	1,257,619	902,191	6,798,000	4,543,000	4,843,000	3,883,000	3,375,000	4,954,000
Reimbursed Capital Revenue	2,199,805	431,184	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
Total Capital Related Revenues	3,457,424	1,333,375	9,798,000	8,543,000	13,781,000	9,034,000	6,375,000	15,910,000
Non-Capital Related								
Special Assessment	260,548	327,453	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000
Transfers to Other Funds/MERF Debt Svc.	(261,845)	(147,696)	(171,000)	(264,000)	(292,000)	(325,000)	(358,000)	(395,500)
Total Non-Capital Related Revenues/Expenses	(1,297)	179,757	(56,000)	(149,000)	(177,000)	(210,000)	(243,000)	(280,500)
Net Income	15,500,764	13,881,753	22,152,431	22,648,550	27,890,207	23,136,424	20,464,910	28,310,457
SEWER CAPITAL PROGRAM								
Capital Expenditures- Grant Funded				(1,000,000)	(5,938,000)	(2,151,000)	-	(7,956,000)
Capital Expenditures-Bonds Funded.	(1,257,619)	(902,191)	(6,798,000)	(4,543,000)	(4,843,000)	(3,883,000)	(3,375,000)	(4,954,000)
Pay As You Go Capital-Reimbursed	(2,199,805)	(431,184)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)
Capital Expenditures-Sewer Revenue	(9,502,853)	(7,857,757)	(620,000)	(2,650,000)	(2,960,000)	(2,405,000)	(5,525,000)	(5,570,000)
Total Capital Expenditures	(12,960,277)	(9,191,132)	(10,418,000)	(11,193,000)	(16,741,000)	(11,439,000)	(11,900,000)	(21,480,000)
SEWER - DEBT SERVICE PLANS								
Debt Service Payments - Currently Structured	(12,681,144)	(10,851,047)	(10,467,554)	(10,736,153)	(8,171,237)	(5,807,702)	(5,239,432)	(3,225,959)
Debt Service-Future Bond Funded Capital	-	-	-	(783,891)	(1,411,082)	(1,913,948)	(2,351,026)	(2,992,592)
Total Debt Service	(12,681,144)	(10,851,047)	(10,467,554)	(11,520,044)	(9,582,319)	(7,721,650)	(7,590,458)	(6,218,551)
Net Income (loss) after Debt & Capital Expenditures	(10,140,657)	(6,160,426)	1,266,877	(64,494)	1,566,888	3,975,774	974,452	611,907
Cash								
Beginning Balance	4,088,356	3,517,018	1,236,497	2,503,374	2,438,880	4,005,768	7,981,542	8,955,994
Pro forma cash to actual adjustment	9,569,319	3,879,906						
Net Increase/(Decrease)	(10,140,657)	(6,160,426)	1,266,877	(64,494)	1,566,888	3,975,774	974,452	611,907
Ending Balance	3,517,018	1,236,497	2,503,374	2,438,880	4,005,768	7,981,542	8,955,994	9,567,900
Three Month Operating Cash Reserve Requirement:	4,233,624	4,408,474	4,768,706	5,060,614	5,212,432	5,368,805	5,529,869	5,695,765

Sanitary Sewer Fund Cash Basis Pro Forma - CLIC Recommended

	Actual 2005	Actual 2006	Budget 2007	CSL 2008	Plan 2009	Plan 2010	Plan 2011	Plan 2012
Rate Increase on a cost per billing unit basis*			9.50%	6.50%	4.90%	4.70%	4.50%	3.60%
Operating Revenues								
Utility Charges	34,032,149	35,212,349	35,924,571	40,326,212	42,302,196	44,290,400	46,283,467	47,949,672
Sewer Availability Charges	2,685,275	3,856,433	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842
Design & Misc. Revenue	200,689	272,874	605,841	1,108,841	1,108,841	1,108,841	1,108,841	1,108,841
Total Operating Revenues	36,918,113	39,341,656	38,915,254	43,819,895	45,795,879	47,784,083	49,777,151	51,443,355
Operating Expenditures:								
Sewer Design	248,215	91,742	397,297	311,731	321,083	330,715	340,637	350,856
Sewer Maintenance	5,140,759	5,470,737	6,057,982	6,638,911	6,838,078	7,043,221	7,254,517	7,472,153
Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	26,515,815	29,711,929	28,031,310	30,180,285	31,085,694	32,018,264	32,978,812	33,968,177
General Fund Overhead	2,597,730	2,225,179	2,181,959	2,185,719	2,251,291	2,318,829	2,388,394	2,460,046
Government Service Fee	1,672,133	990,193	998,609	1,066,125	1,098,109	1,131,052	1,164,984	1,199,933
Total Operating Expenses	36,174,652	38,489,780	37,667,157	40,382,771	41,594,254	42,842,082	44,127,344	45,451,165
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses)								
Capital Related								
Bond Proceeds		553,000	6,250,000	5,500,000	9,226,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000
Total Capital Related Revenues	-	553,000	6,250,000	5,500,000	9,226,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000
Non-Capital Related								
Transfers to Other Funds/MERF Debt Svc.	(203,236)		(171,000)	(264,000)	(292,000)	(325,000)	(358,000)	(358,000)
Total Non-Capital Related Revenues/Expenses	(203,236)	-	(171,000)	(264,000)	(292,000)	(325,000)	(358,000)	(358,000)
Net Income (loss)	540,226	1,404,876	7,327,097	8,673,124	13,135,625	10,117,001	10,791,806	11,134,191
SEWER CAPITAL PROGRAM								
Capital Expenditures-Sewer Revenue	(191,466)	(228,201)	-	(125,000)	-	-	-	(100,000)
Capital Expenditures-Bonds Funded.		-	(6,250,000)	(5,500,000)	(9,226,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)
Total Capital Expenditures	(191,466)	(228,201)	(6,250,000)	(5,625,000)	(9,226,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,600,000)
SEWER - DEBT SERVICE PLANS								
Debt Service-Currently Structured Debt			(919,650)	(1,446,800)	(1,387,800)	(1,331,350)	(1,264,200)	(1,352,000)
Debt Service-Future Bond Funded Capital		-	-	(712,275)	(1,907,084)	(2,619,360)	(3,331,635)	(4,043,910)
Total Debt Service		-	(919,650)	(2,159,075)	(3,294,884)	(3,950,710)	(4,595,835)	(5,395,910)
Net Income (loss) after Debt & Capital Expenditures	348,760	1,176,675	157,447	889,049	614,741	666,291	695,972	138,281
Cash								
Beginning Balance	5,832,116	6,180,876	7,597,310	7,754,758	8,643,806	9,258,547	9,924,839	10,620,810
Pro forma cash to actual adjustment		239,759						
Net Increase/(Decrease)	348,760	1,176,675	157,447	889,049	614,741	666,291	695,972	138,281
Ending Balance	6,180,876	7,597,310	7,754,758	8,643,806	9,258,547	9,924,839	10,620,810	10,759,091
Three Month Operating Cash Reserve Requirement:	9,043,663	9,622,445	9,416,789	10,095,693	10,398,564	10,710,520	11,031,836	11,362,791

Water Fund Cash Basis Pro Forma - CLIC Recommended

	Actual 2006	Budget 2007	CSL Budget 2008	Plan 2009	Plan 2010	Plan 2011	Plan 2012
Rate Increase on a cost per billing unit basis*		1.90%	3.00%	1.80%	3.60%	1.70%	2.70%
Operating Revenues:							
Utility Charges Mpls	50,137,822	51,488,260	52,572,538	53,528,402	55,440,131	56,395,995	57,918,687
Utility Charges Suburb	10,214,113	9,701,340	9,756,549	9,932,167	10,289,725	10,891,805	11,185,883
All other operating revenue	4,769,961	3,781,944	4,495,942	4,563,381	4,631,832	4,701,309	4,771,829
Adjusted for revised revenue estimate							
Total Operating Revenues	65,121,896	64,971,543	66,825,029	68,023,950	70,361,688	71,989,109	73,876,399
Operating Expenses:							
			3% Infl ---->				
Security Personnel	0	650,000	0	0	0	0	0
Water Design	675,654	1,178,044	1,612,150	1,660,515	1,710,330	1,761,640	1,814,489
Contribution To Other Funds	985,909	1,689,511	1,767,384	1,820,406	1,875,018	1,931,268	1,989,206
General Fund Overhead	2,702,368	4,618,482	4,580,618	4,718,037	4,859,578	5,005,365	5,155,526
Government Service Fee	2,072,122	2,178,337	2,333,092	2,403,085	2,475,177	2,549,433	2,625,916
Administration & Permits	1,152,765	1,055,175	1,239,441	1,276,624	1,314,923	1,354,371	1,395,002
Treatment - Operations	15,249,606	13,008,090	13,905,066	14,322,218	14,751,885	15,194,441	15,650,274
Treatment - Maintenance	7,252,085	7,032,824	7,213,522	7,429,928	7,652,825	7,882,410	8,118,883
Distribution	8,184,004	7,906,604	7,959,232	8,198,009	8,443,949	8,697,268	8,958,186
Major Repairs & Replacement	7,656,719	3,989,668	3,878,144	3,994,488	4,114,323	4,237,753	4,364,885
Reserve for Ultrafiltration Filter Maintenance		-	-	-	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,250,000
Total Operating Expenses	45,931,232	43,306,735	44,488,649	45,823,308	48,198,008	49,613,948	51,322,366
Operating Margin	19,190,664	21,664,808	22,336,380	22,200,642	22,163,680	22,375,161	22,554,033
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses)							
Capital Related							
Bond Proceeds	8,099,381	11,000,000	18,000,000	35,000,000	18,600,000	10,400,000	6,500,000
Reimbursed Capital Revenue	1,232,270	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
Grant Proceeds	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Capital Related Revenue (Expenses)	9,331,651	13,000,000	20,000,000	37,000,000	20,600,000	12,400,000	8,500,000
Non-Capital Related							
Transfers from Other Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers to Other Funds/MERF Debt Service - upr	(305,709)	(664,000)	(967,306)	(1,070,946)	(1,191,859)	(1,312,773)	(1,450,959)
Total Non-Capital Related Revenue (Expenses)	(305,709)	(664,000)	(967,306)	(1,070,946)	(1,191,859)	(1,312,773)	(1,450,959)
Total Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)	22,294,291	12,336,000	19,032,694	35,929,054	19,408,141	11,087,227	7,049,041
Net Income	28,216,606	34,000,808	41,369,074	58,129,696	41,571,821	33,462,388	29,603,074
WATER - CAPITAL PROGRAM							
Pay As You Go Capital - Reimbursed/Assessed Exp	(1,000,818)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)
Capital Expenditures - Bonds	(8,310,811)	(11,000,000)	(18,000,000)	(35,000,000)	(18,600,000)	(10,400,000)	(6,500,000)
Capital Expenditures - Water Revenue	(5,176,058)	(4,750,000)	(5,250,000)	(5,250,000)	(5,000,000)	(5,290,000)	(5,825,000)
Total Capital (Expenditures)	(14,487,687)	(17,750,000)	(25,250,000)	(42,250,000)	(25,600,000)	(17,690,000)	(14,325,000)
WATER - DEBT SERVICE PLANS							
Debt Service Payments - Currently Structured Debt	(13,030,451)	(12,919,826)	(11,431,002)	(9,205,482)	(7,812,504)	(7,815,512)	(7,979,205)
Debt Service-Future Bond Funded Capital Program	0	0	(1,529,996)	(3,893,048)	(5,133,582)	(6,146,644)	(6,988,424)
Total Debt Service	(13,030,451)	(12,919,826)	(12,960,998)	(13,098,530)	(12,946,086)	(13,962,156)	(14,967,629)
Net Income (loss) after Debt & Capital Expenditure	698,468	3,330,982	3,158,076	2,781,166	3,025,735	1,810,231	310,445
Cash with 2008 CLIC Recommended Capital Program							
Beginning Balance	1,992,032	2,541,860	5,872,843	9,030,919	11,812,085	14,837,820	16,648,051
Reconciling Adjustment - Net Income/Timing	549,828	3,330,982	3,158,076	2,781,166	3,025,735	1,810,231	310,445
Ending Balance	2,541,860	5,872,843	9,030,919	11,812,085	14,837,820	16,648,051	16,958,496
Three Month Operating Cash Reserve Requirement:	11,482,808	10,826,684	11,122,162	11,455,827	12,049,502	12,403,487	12,830,592

**MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	ART01	Art in Public Places	278	0	0	0	278	0
2009			317	0	0	0	317	0
2010			333	0	0	0	333	0
2011			347	0	0	0	347	0
2012			366	0	0	0	366	0
Total			1,641	0	0	0	1,641	0
2008	BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009			50	0	0	0	50	0
2010			50	0	0	0	50	0
2011			50	0	0	0	50	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			250	0	0	0	250	0
2008	BIS03	Enterprise Document Management Other = Dept Operating funds	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009			50	0	0	0	50	0
2010			100	0	0	0	100	0
2011			100	0	0	0	100	0
2012			50	0	0	0	50	0
Total			400	0	0	0	400	0
2008	BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	500	0	0	0	500	0
2009			500	0	0	0	500	0
2010			700	0	0	0	700	0
2011			700	0	0	0	700	0
2012			800	0	0	0	800	0
Total			3,200	0	0	0	3,200	0
2008	BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	150	0	0	0	150	0
2009			150	0	0	0	150	0
2010			500	0	0	0	500	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			50	0	0	0	50	0
Total			850	0	0	0	850	0
2008	BIS07	HRIS Upgrade Other = Dept Operating funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	800	800	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	800	800	0

**MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	BIS10	Finance System	825	0	0	4,414	5,239	0
2009		Consolidation/Upgrade	700	0	0	0	700	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		Other = Dept Operating funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			50	0	0	0	50	0
Total			1,575	0	0	4,414	5,989	0
2008	BIS11	Citywide Electronic	200	0	0	0	200	0
2009		Citations System	200	0	0	0	200	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			400	0	0	0	400	0
2008	BIS12	Mobile Assessor	0	0	0	25	25	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Other = Dept Operating funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	25	25	0
2008	FIR01	City/County EOC/Training	1,621	0	0		1,621	1,600
2009		Facility	1,664	0	0	0	1,664	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011		Non-Appr = Hennepin County	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			3,285	0	0	0	3,285	1,600
2008	MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			100	0	0	0	100	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			1,340	0	0	0	1,340	0
2012			939	0	0	0	939	0
Total			2,379	0	0	0	2,379	0
2008	MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			200	0	0	0	200	0
2012			400	0	0	0	400	0
Total			600	0	0	0	600	0
2008	MPD04	Mounted Patrol Horse Barn	0	0	0	945	945	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Other = Charitable Donation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	945	945	0
2008	MPD05	Strategic Information Center	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			1,654	0	0	0	1,654	0
2010			546	0	0	0	546	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			2,200	0	0	0	2,200	0

**MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	MPD06	Safe Streets Technology	1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
2008	PSD03	Facilities-Space Improvements	265	0	0	0	265	0
2009			280	0	0	0	280	0
2010			288	0	0	0	288	0
2011			500	0	0	0	500	0
2012			500	0	0	0	500	0
Total			1,833	0	0	0	1,833	0
2008	PSD04	Facilities - Security Management	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
2012			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	5,039	0	0	5,384	10,423	1,600
2009	5,665	0	0	0	5,665	0
2010	2,517	0	0	0	2,517	0
2011	3,237	0	0	800	4,037	0
2012	3,155	0	0	0	3,155	0
Total Miscellaneous Projects	19,613	0	0	6,184	25,797	1,600

CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR		NDB & Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008		15,185	5,726	4,830	21,837	47,578	5,713
2009		17,350	4,326	4,570	15,913	42,159	4,198
2010		18,165	6,605	5,335	12,790	42,895	3,445
2011		18,865	8,999	13,210	19,591	60,665	14,610
2012		19,810	12,230	14,145	17,880	64,065	23,590
Total General Infrastructure Improvements		89,375	37,886	42,090	88,011	257,362	51,556

ENTERPRISE FUND CAPITAL* FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	29,743	8,060				6,000	43,803	0
2009	50,769	8,250				10,938	69,957	0
2010	29,683	7,445				7,151	44,279	0
2011	20,975	10,855				5,000	36,830	0
2012	18,654	11,535				12,956	43,145	0
Total Enterprise Fund Capital	149,824	46,145	0	0	0	42,045	238,014	0

* - Enterprise funds include Stormwater & Sanitary Sewers, Water, Parking & Solid Waste.

CONSOLIDATED CITY-WIDE CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB & Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	OTHER	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2008	29,743	8,060	15,185	5,726	4,830	27,837	91,381	5,713
2009	50,769	8,250	17,350	4,326	4,570	26,851	112,116	4,198
2010	29,683	7,445	18,165	6,605	5,335	19,941	87,174	3,445
2011	20,975	10,855	18,865	8,999	13,210	24,591	97,495	14,610
2012	18,654	11,535	19,810	12,230	14,145	30,836	107,210	23,590
Total City-Wide Capital - All Sources	149,824	46,145	89,375	37,886	42,090	130,056	495,376	51,556

Funding Breakdown by Major Revenue Sources: 30.24% 9.32% 18.04% 7.65% 8.50% 26.25% 100.00%
(City Funding & Grant Sources where the City is the lead agency)

**CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL INVESTMENT ALLOCATION
CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET**

COMMISSION/BOARD/DEPARTMENT	2008-2012 TOTAL*	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	(in thousands)	
MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION	3,980	0.8%
LIBRARY BOARD	16,823	3.4%
PARK BOARD	13,935	2.8%
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT		
- FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	7,950	1.6%
- STREET PAVING	115,118	23.2%
- SIDEWALK PROGRAM	13,720	2.8%
- HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE	1,500	0.3%
- BRIDGES	22,308	4.5%
- TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING	29,911	6.0%
- BIKE TRAILS	6,320	1.3%
- STORMWATER SEWERS	72,753	14.7%
- SANITARY SEWERS	31,451	6.3%
- WATER	125,115	25.3%
- PARKING	8,695	1.8%
- SOLID WASTE	0	0.0%
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT TOTAL	434,841	87.8%
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS	25,797	5.2%
TOTAL CLIC RECOMMENDED CAPITAL PROGRAM	495,376	100.0%

* - Represents the total Five-Year CLIC Recommended Budget from City funding sources for projects where the City is the lead agency.

APPENDIX A

2007 CLIC Capital Guidelines

CITY GOALS

PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

CLIC RATING FORM

CITY GOALS

The Adopted City of Minneapolis Goals and Strategic Directions and the policies of the City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan will be used by the Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee (CLIC) in the evaluation of capital requests and in developing recommendations for the City's 2008-2012 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). *The city vision for the year 2020, the five-year goals and the strategic directions were developed and approved by the Minneapolis City Council in June 2006 and are listed below.*

Minneapolis 2020 – a clear vision for the future

Minneapolis is a vibrant and welcoming city that encourages learning and innovation and embraces diversity. A mixture of accessible housing, jobs and educational opportunities creates a livable city and stimulates growth. Neighborhoods give the comfort and safety of home while offering the connectedness of community. Thriving commercial areas are linked by state-of-the-art transit and generous green spaces. Renowned cultural and recreational activities entertain and inspire. Minneapolis is a valued state resource and a city people enjoy visiting and calling home. The City's future is shaped through thoughtful and responsible leadership in partnership with residents and coordinated with a regional vision.

A safe place to call home

HOUSING, HEALTH AND SAFETY

In five years all Minneapolis residents will have a better quality of life and access to housing and services; residents will live in a healthy environment and benefit from healthy lifestyles; the city's infrastructure will be well-maintained and people will feel safe in the City.

Strategic directions:

- A. Guns, Gangs, Graffiti Gone
- B. Crime Reduction: Community Policing, Accountability & Partnership
- C. Lifecycle Housing Throughout the City
- D. "Get Fit" and make healthy choices
- E. Youth: Valued, Challenged & Engaged

One Minneapolis

EQUAL ACCESS, EQUAL OPPORTUNITY, EQUAL INPUT

In five years the gap will be closing for access to housing, health care, education and employment; diversity will be welcome, respected and valued; the city's middle class will be thriving; there will be living-wage jobs or entrepreneurial opportunities for everyone; all residents will have confidence in public safety services; and residents will have access to fair, open and transparent decision-making.

Strategic directions:

- A. Close Race & Class Gaps: Housing, Educational Attainment, Health
- B. Middle Class: Keep It, Grow It
- C. Equitable City Services & Geographically Placed Amenities
- D. Eliminate homelessness
- E. De-concentrate Poverty

Lifelong learning second to none

SCHOOLS, LIBRARIES AND INNOVATION

In five years Minneapolis will provide a superior education for all students; literacy rates will be increasing; everyone entering adulthood will have the knowledge and skills to earn a living wage; educational resources will be a top priority; the city will fully realize the benefits of having renowned educational and research institutions such as the U of M; the wisdom of the senior population will be harnessed; and Minneapolis will be known as a center of ideas.

Strategic directions:

- A. All Kids Ready-to-Read by Kindergarten
- B. Economic Engine: Generating Ideas, Inventions & Innovations
- C. 21st Century Skills for All 21 Year-Olds
- D. Embrace the U's Outreach & Land-Grant Expertise
- E. Education: Stronger Partnerships Toward Better Results
- F. Tap the Contribution Potential and Wisdom of Retirees & Seniors

Connected communities

GREAT SPACES & PLACES, THRIVING NEIGHBORHOODS

In five years, Minneapolis will be a connected collection of sustainable urban villages where residents will live within walking distance of what they need or of public transit; there will be a connected network of transportation options; streets will be destinations; a mix of unique small businesses will be thriving; and Minneapolis' neighborhoods will have unique identities and character.

Strategic directions:

- A. Integrated, Multimodal Transportation Choices Border-to-Border
- B. Walkable, Bikable, Swimmable!
- C. Customer-Focused, Outcome-Based, Performance-Driven Development
- D. Northstar Completed; Central Corridor Underway; SW Corridor Fully-Designed
- E. Streets & Avenues: Reopen Nicollet at Lake; Revitalize Broadway & Lowry; Realize Washington Boulevard

Enriched environment

GREENSPACE, ARTS, SUSTAINABILITY

In five years there will be plentiful green spaces, public gathering areas, celebrated historic architectural features and urban forests in Minneapolis; lakes, rivers and the soil and air will be clean; the city's parks and the Mississippi riverfront will be valued and utilized; opportunities to experience diverse cultures and the arts will abound; and usage of renewable energy will be increasing.

Strategic directions:

- A. Energy Into Renewable & Alternative Energy
- B. Replant, Restore, Revere Our Urban Forest
- C. Arts – Large & Small – Abound and Surround
- D. Upper Mississippi Planned and Proceeding
- E. Fully Implement the City's Cultural & Sustainable Work Plans

A premier destination

VISITORS, INVESTMENT AND VITALITY

In five years Minneapolis will be the economic leader in the region with vast potential for growth and development; investors will see Minneapolis as a sure thing; a distinctive mix of amenities, entertainment and culture will be available downtown and in Minneapolis neighborhoods; people who visit the city will want to come back; the city will be an attractive landing spot for people in all life stages and will be well-positioned for the creative class; and the country will see Minneapolis as a national treasure.

Strategic directions:

- A. Retain & Grow Businesses in Life Sciences & the Creative Economy
- B. Reposition City in Minds of Region, State, Nation & World
- C. Cleaner, Greener, Safer Downtown
- D. Jobs: Be A Talent Mecca
- E. Leverage Our Entertainment Edge ... Heck, Be Edgy!

Hyperlink to Goals: <http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/council/goals/>

City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan

The City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan provides guidance to elected officials, city staff, businesses, neighborhoods and other constituents. This document outlines the details of the City's vision, by focusing on the physical, social and economic attributes of the city and is used by elected officials to ensure that decisions contribute to and not detract from achievement of the City's vision. The plan can be found on the City's web site at the following address:

<http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/citywork/planning/planpubs/mplsplan/index.html>

PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following evaluation system *adopted by the City Council and Mayor* will be used by CLIC as the basis for evaluating all requests for capital improvements. This system shall be uniformly applied in evaluating and rating all capital improvement requests submitted for each year of the five-year plan.

The Evaluation System has three sections as follows:

	Point Allocation
I. PROJECT PRIORITY	100
II. CONTRIBUTION TO CITY GOALS OPERATING COST CONSIDERATIONS	70 -25 to +25
III. QUALITATIVE CRITERIA	105
Total Possible Points	300

I. PROJECT PRIORITY

Project Priority provides preferential evaluation based on the following attributes:

1. Capital projects defined in terms of **Level of Need** - 0 to 60 points.
2. Capital projects **In Adopted Five Year Plan** - 0 to 30 points.
3. Coordinated planning and prioritized funding for an **Integrated Project** – 10 points.

Level of Need Definitions - The level of need is the primary criteria defining a capital request's priority. Requests are determined to be *critical, significant, important or desirable* for delivering municipal services.

Critical - Describes a capital proposal as indispensable and demanding attention due to an immediate need or **public endangerment** if not corrected. Few projects can qualify for this high of a classification. Failure to fund a critical project generally would result in suspension of a municipal service to minimize risk to the public.

Point Range 51 - 60

Significant - Describes a capital proposal deemed to have a high priority in addressing a need or service as previously indicated by policymakers and/or submitting agency priority rankings. This designation may also pertain to a proposal that is an integral and/or inseparable part of achieving completeness of a larger improvement or series of improvements.

Point Range 41 - 50

Important - Describes a capital proposal addressing a pressing need that can be evaluated as a standalone project. Proposals may be considered “important” if they are required to maintain an expected standard of service, achieve equity in service delivery or increase efficiency in providing public services. Failure to fund an “important” proposal would mean some level of service is still possible.

Point Range 21 - 40

Desirable - Describes a capital proposal that would provide increased public benefits, enhancement of municipal services or other upgrading of public infrastructure. Failure to fund a “desirable” project would not immediately impair current municipal services.

Point Range 0 - 20

In Adopted Five-Year Plan

Is the project currently funded in the adopted 2008-2011 Capital Improvement Program?

Point Allocation -

- Identified for funding as a 2008 project 30
- Identified for funding as a 2009-2011 project 20
- New proposal for 2012 funding..... 10
- New proposal for 2008-2011, not in the current Five-Year Plan... 0

Integrated Project - 10 points

The intent of this category is to encourage joint project planning and funding efforts with other City Departments, Independent Boards, Commissions or Governmental Units.

Awarded to capital requests meeting **both** of the following criteria:

- Integral part of a multi-faceted or multi-jurisdictional project or an inseparable part of a larger improvement or series of improvements; and
- Completion of whole multi-faceted project would be jeopardized if project is not funded.

II. CONTRIBUTION TO CITY GOALS

Contribution to City Goals is defined as the extent to which capital improvement proposals contribute to achieving the City’s Goals and some or all of the strategic directions applicable to each. In addition, projects must support the policies of the City of Minneapolis’ Comprehensive Plan as cited in this document, as well as help to ensure the overall maintenance and improvement of the City’s infrastructure systems.

Capital improvement proposals will be evaluated for their overall ability to:

- achieve City goals and support the policies of the City of Minneapolis’ Comprehensive Plan
- ensure maintenance of City infrastructure systems and equitable delivery of services
- encourage coordinated planning efforts with project partners and the community

Point ranges for meeting the above objectives will be as follows:

Strong Contribution	46 - 70
Moderate Contribution	16 - 45
Little or No Contribution	0 - 15

Operating Cost Considerations will be analyzed in evaluating all capital requests. Emphasis will be placed on whether the request will maintain or reduce current operating and maintenance costs or would add to or create new operating or maintenance costs. Accuracy and completeness of information provided to operating cost questions and ability to demonstrate progress made with resources provided in prior years will be factored into points allocated for this major category. Operating cost implications should also be discussed at the CLIC Presentations. Points for this category will range from minus 25 to plus 25.

III. QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

Qualitative Criteria provide for evaluation of proposals related to the seven attributes described below. Evaluators should allocate points in this area using the definitions described below as well as by considering the impact these areas have in helping to achieve City Goals. Each of these criteria will be used to score proposals within a point range from 0 to 15. It is likely that most capital requests will not receive points for all attributes.

1. **Neighborhood Livability & Community Life** -- Extent proposal serves to preserve or improve the quality, safety and security of neighborhoods in order to retain and attract residents and engage community members. Consideration shall be given to proposals that are included in an NRP neighborhood action plan approved by the City Council and/or proposals that include NRP as a funding source.

Intent: to reward proposals that demonstrate potential to enhance the quality of life and public safety in neighborhoods and the community at large and to reward proposals in approved NRP Neighborhood Actions Plans or that include NRP funds.

2. **Public Benefit** -- Extent proposal directly benefits a portion of the City's population by provision of certain services or facilities.

Intent: to award points based on the percentage of the city's population (382,618) that will benefit.

3. **Capital Cost & Customer Service Delivery** -- Extent proposal delivers consistently high quality City services at a good value to taxpayers and that City infrastructure investment is appropriately sized for effective service delivery.

Intent: to reward proposals that improve the quality, cost effectiveness and equity of municipal services delivered to all residents.

4. **Environmental Quality** -- Extent proposal would preserve or improve the quality of the urban environment, including visual and other sensory attributes as well as natural resources.

Intent: to reward proposals contributing positively to the city's physical environment and/or conservation of natural resources.

5. **Collaboration & Leveraging Public/Private Investment** -- Extent proposal reflects collaboration between two or more public or public-private organizations to more effectively and efficiently attain common goals and for which costs can be met with non-City funds or generate private investment in the City.

Intent: to reward proposals that represent collaborative efforts with multiple project partners and possibly conserve municipal funds through generating public and/or private investment in the City.

6. **Effect on Tax Base and/or Job Creation** -- Extent proposal can be expected to preserve or increase the City's tax base and serve as a catalyst for job creation by the private sector.

Intent: to reward proposals that may have a positive effect on property values and thus have the potential for preserving or expanding the City's tax base and supporting job-intensive industries that provide living-wage jobs, especially for hard to employ populations.

7. **Intellectual & Cultural Implications** – Extent proposal would strengthen or expand educational, cultural, architectural or historic opportunities.

Intent: to reward proposals contributing to the City's intellectual and cultural growth, including promotion of historical preservation or architectural significance.

CLIC RATING FORM

Project ID Number					
	Points				
Project Priority:					
Level of Need					
Critical	51-60				
Significant	41-50				
Important	21-40				
Desirable	0-20				
In Adopted Five Year Plan					
2008	30				
2009-2011	20				
2012	10				
New for 2008-2011	0				
Integrated Project	10				
Sub-Total Project Priority					
Contribution to City Goals:					
Strong Contribution	46 - 70				
Moderate Contribution	16 – 45				
Little or No Contribution	0 - 15				
Operating Costs:	-25 to +25				
Sub-Total Goals & Operating Costs					
Qualitative Criteria:					
Neighborhood Livability & Community Life	0 – 15				
Public Benefit	0 – 15				
Capital Cost/Customer Service Delivery	0 – 15				
Environmental Quality	0 – 15				
Collaboration & Leveraging	0 – 15				
Effect on Tax Base & Job Creation	0 – 15				
Intellectual & Cultural Implications	0 – 15				
Sub-Total Qualitative Criteria					
Total Rating Points	300 Possible				

APPENDIX B

2007 CLIC Schedule For the 2008 - 2012 Capital Budget Process

Working Group	Subject	Agenda/Topic(s) of Discussion	Date / Time	Location
CLIC Main Body & Staff Note: CLIC members bring binders back from last year.	1st CLIC Mtg	Introductions/Storytelling with Mayor Rybak & City Council President Johnson Discuss CLIC Job Description & 2007 CLIC Schedule Review CLIC By-laws & discuss nomination process for Executive Committee Homework - review 2007 CLIC Capital Guidelines before next meeting	Tuesday March 13th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Staff Note: CLIC members bring binders back from last year.	2nd CLIC Mtg	NRP & Comprehensive Plan - meeting with NRP Director and Planning Director Nominate & Vote on CLIC Chair & Vice-Chair Establish CLIC Task Forces - determine where new members go. Discuss 2007 CLIC Capital Guidelines & Capital Resources	Tuesday March 27th Noon to 12:45 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Task Force Members CLIC Task Force Staff		Break into task forces and nominate & vote on Task Force Chair & Vice-Chair Select secretary for compiling written comments and strategy for writing comments Discuss protocol for doing group ratings and teach new members about ratings process Prepare for presentations - questions you should ask presenters	12:45 to 1:30 p.m.	315/319 City Hall
Capital Budget Preparers	Capital Requests Due	Turn in 2 copies of capital submittals - no more changes in database	Friday March 30th - Noon	325M "Office of the Debt Guy"
CLIC Main Body & Staff	3rd CLIC Mtg	CLIC members receive binder of completed capital submittals Answer any remaining questions about ratings, presentations, process, etc. Discuss strategy for bringing forward comments and how they will be managed. Homework Assignment - Read proposals prior to presentations	Tuesday April 10th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Staff	4th CLIC Mtg	First Day Presentation Session Establish Group Ratings for the day	Friday April 20th 8:15 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.	PW - Equipment Currie Maintenance Facility
CLIC Main Body & Staff	5th CLIC Mtg	Second Day Presentation Session Establish Group Ratings for the day	Saturday April 28th 8:15 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.	PW - Equipment Currie Maintenance Facility
CLIC Main Body & Staff	6th CLIC Mtg	Third Day Presentation Session Establish Group Ratings for the day	Friday May 4th 8:15 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.	PW - Equipment Currie Maintenance Facility
CLIC Task Force Members CLIC Task Force Staff	7th CLIC Mtg	Task Forces work on individual and group comments - finish group ratings for any presentation days not yet completed.	Tuesday May 8th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	315/319 City Hall
CLIC Task Force Members CLIC Task Force Chairs ----->	8th CLIC Mtg	Task forces work on individual and group comments 1st draft of comments due to Executive Secretary by May 18th.	Tuesday May 15th Noon - 1:30 p.m.	315/319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Staff CLIC Task Force Chairs ----->	9th CLIC Mtg	Main body reviews comments together. 2nd draft of comments due to Executive Secretary by May 25th.	Tuesday May 22nd Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall

**2007 CLIC Schedule
For the 2008 - 2012 Capital Budget Process**

Working Group	Subject	Agenda/Topic(s) of Discussion	Date / Time	Location
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary (Optional for other CLIC members)	Joint Public Hearing	CLIC & Planning Commission - Joint Public Hearing on 2008 Capital Plan	Thursday May 24th 5:05 p.m.	220 City Hall
All CLIC Members	Submit Ratings	Ratings submitted to Executive Secretary	Friday May 25th - Noon	E-mail or In Person
CLIC Main Body & Staff	10th CLIC Mtg	Main body reviews and approves all comments - including any final changes .	Tuesday May 29th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Task Force Chairs	Submit Comments	Final Comments provided to Executive Secretary	Friday June 1st - Noon	E-mail
CLIC Main Body & Staff	10th CLIC Mtg Ratings/Comments Done	Final Comments provided to CLIC members & discussed Final Summarized Ratings provided to CLIC members & discussed	Tuesday June 5th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Executive Secretary	11th CLIC Mtg	Work on CLIC NDB Recommendation - review funding plan prioritized by Ratings Handout Sewer & Water & Parking details to be discussed on June 19th	Tuesday June 12th Noon to 2:00 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Executive Secretary	12th CLIC Mtg	Continue Work on CLIC NDB Recommendation Review Sewer & Water Pro Forma & discuss recommendations for CLIC Report	Tuesday June 19th Noon to 2:00 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Executive Secretary	13th CLIC Mtg	Finalize CLIC Recommendation for NDB & Sewer & Water & Parking	Tuesday June 26th Noon to 2:00 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary	CLIC Executives - Pre-Meeting	Discuss presentation strategy - review draft CLIC Report sections to be used in discussion with Mayor	Tuesday July 10th Noon to 1:00 p.m.	325M - St. Anthony Rm
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary	Meeting with R.T. Rybak	Executive Committee presents 2008 - 2012 CLIC Recommendation to Mayor Rybak	1:00 to 2:30 p.m.	331 City Hall Conf Room C
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary	CLIC Report Done	Executive Committee presents 2008 - 2012 CLIC Recommendation to W&M Committee CLIC Report distributed to Departments & Independent Boards after meeting	Monday July 16th - W&M 2:00 p.m.	Council Chambers
Executive Secretary	Council Overview on Capital	Mayor / CLIC Capital Overview presentation to W&M Committee with full City Council present	Thursday October 18th Tentative Date!!!	Council Chambers

APPENDIX C

**City of Minneapolis
Community Planning and Economic Development
Committee of the Whole
Joint Public Hearing with the
Capita Long-Range improvement Committee
5-24-2007**

**City Hall
Room 220 – 5:05 pm**

We have a little confusion because today is the day of our Public Hearing, and, unfortunately, it did not get listed. Therefore, we have two Public Hearings scheduled. Also, Jeff and I explained this date to NRP. So, we felt that it was only fair for those neighborhoods that were aware of the meeting to be given the opportunity to speak. But, we will be back again on the 14th and Karen is going to make sure that it gets posted.

Today, you will just hear testimony as well as on the 14th. So, again without further delay, Mike will begin. Mike advised that the distributed copies would be the object of discussion.

The group was advised that there were actually 119 proposals reviewed because one was withdrawn. As a group there are 33 members of whom 7 are appointed by the Mayor and each Council member appoints two members. After we have reviewed the proposals, we rank and rate the proposals which we are currently doing. Also, we are here to listen to testimony presented by the community. Our goal then is to advise the Council and the Mayor of what we recommend as capital projects which we feel should be funded for the years 2008-2012.

I would just like to start this formal process with looking at the totals of the capital proposals that have been submitted to CLIC and spend a few minutes talking about the property tax supported portion of the funding for these projects. We commonly refer to that in city terms of Net Debt Bonds. It tends to be a very critical source of funding for our projects because it's the piece that the taxpayers are paying for directly for improvements that are being made. We often use that kind of money to leverage other State, Federal and County grants, etc. as sources of funding. So, everything that the CLIC Committee does hinges on how they place net debt bonds into the total sphere of the projects they recommend to the current City Council.

If you look at the last page of the handout and starting at the back, this is the direction that the City Council has given CLIC as to the total dollars that are going to be made available for this source of money called net debt bonds. In 2008, if you look at the bottom line, there is \$15,185,000 in net debt bonds that we can program in our balance recommendation. The CLIC Net Debt Bond numbers go up to \$19,820,000 in 2012. This source of funding will yield \$89,375,000 in the five-year plan. That's the biggest tax implication piece to all of you that goes to streets, roads, bridges, libraries, parks,

taking care of this building, Public Works facilities, fire houses, police stations, etc. - all those general assets of the City that we use to provide services. That being said, the six-page document is a listing of the individual projects that have been submitted by the various City departments, boards, etc. of the City of Minneapolis. I am not going to spend a lot of time talking about the details but this gives you a listing of public dollars by year for the different projects that have been submitted. This form is the starting point for CLIC's consideration. They will look at these projects and they will individually rank them, helped by your testimonies and from the departments as to why they need this money. They will then through a ratings process go through each of these projects and award them points to narrow the pool. You can see on the first page that we have the Building Commission, Library, and the Park Board, then we start with a bunch of Public Works projects. We are not going to go through this in detail but I would like to call your attention to Page 6 of that document.

This is the grand totals that we have for each year of the five-year plan. In 2008, what this says is that departments, boards and commissions have submitted \$103,416,000 worth of requests. Now this is the gross number and represents all sources of funding that the city will try to make available to fund projects. In total, we have \$529,000,000. This is the process that they go through and if I were a gambling man, I would say that they would probably cut, through their prioritization process, at least \$100,000,000 off this five-year total because they will not be able to have enough money to afford all of the projects that have been submitted. The net debt bond piece that I talked to you about, they have \$89,375,000 to program and the departments, boards and commissions have submitted \$115,173,000 worth of requests. Thus, right from the get-go in the five-year plan there is almost \$26,000,000 more money requested than the CLIC group has to use at its disposal to fund all of the projects in the five-year plan.

That is kind of what they do. They are the first review board for the City Council and the Mayor and they will go through a bunch of processes and narrow this down and determine what they feel is the highest priority for the city. Now, I will turn the meeting over to our Chair, Tony Hofstede.

I also want to introduce the Chair of Transportation. I am on one of our Task Forces. I did not know if you knew what everybody else does. But, Jeff is the Vice Chair, Ray is the Chair on Human Development, and John is the Vice Chair of Transportation.

Please state your name, where you are from and what group or whatever you may represent and which proposal you would be speaking to. Whoever would like to speak first, please come forward.

My name is Julie Crens and I am an eight year resident of Elliot Park Neighborhood. I am specifically speaking to Project PV051, the Ninth Street South Pedestrian Improvement. In addition to being a long-term resident, I actually just finished up six years on the Elliot Park Board. I am speaking with the recent understanding of what the neighborhood is looking for. We believe that this recommendation as put forth by the Public Works Department is fantastic. Both as a resident as well as what I understand

with that organization. It fits in well with Elliot Park's Master Plan which has been adopted by the City of Minneapolis. It would create a much needed improvement for that area thereby creating a walk-able place where people actually get from place to place rather than driving between the neighborhoods and downtown. That is really an exciting thing for us. It does fit well with some other conversions we understand that are underway regarding turning 9th Street, 10th Street, Portland and Park into two-ways. This would profit and make it more neighborly and we think it would be a really great fit in that regard. There are others that will speak on some of the other benefits of it but I just wanted to share that perspective.

My name is Ann Rothner, I have a house on 609 S. Lake Street and I have been a resident of Elliot Park Neighborhood for seven years. I am here specifically to mention the safety issues that we have and I am speaking on the same project -- Project PV051. We think that this is a great project. I work downtown but I walk from 9th Street from Portland to LaSalle everyday and I always do fine until I get to about 5th where there is a noticeable drop-off. It's a safety issue to be honest. We think that the plan proposed would be an enormous benefit. It would be nice to be able to have people come visit but you do not want to have to tell them, "Well, it's dark at places. You know, I have to think about friends visiting me because of the safety on the two blocks there; particularly, dark between 5th and Park especially." But, how very, very beneficial this project would be and very well served for industry. Thank you.

I am Adam Perry, a resident of River Flats. I am also the past president of the Board of the River Flats. I just want to really encourage the City to invest along the lines of the safety issues. As a visually impaired person that lives there, safety issues are not just criminality. Safety is also having a hard time getting down the street and finding out where the sidewalk is, finding out where holes and things that are green and in the way in the winter. It's very hard to find ice and things like that on the sidewalk and things of that sort. I just want to represent that there is also safety issues in terms of public hazards. So, I really encourage the City to invest. Thanks.

I am Susan O'Brian, a new resident at 9th and Portland. I come here from being a transplanted "baby boomer" from living in the suburbs for twenty years and I really like it downtown. I love to walk and, I think, I represent those populations that do that. I do agree that there is a relevance of not feeling safe when you get in the area where there is not good lighting. I am here and want to express my support to the project because I think that it would be really useful. Thank you.

My name is Linda Leonard. I would like to make a transition from one safety issue to another. I want to speak to the Park Board Proposal for the East Cultural Community Center on Page 1. I am speaking as a neighbor, mom and as a volunteer. I live just down the block on 18th Avenue from where that park is located. Those of you who are familiar with Minneapolis and East Phillips know that we are tucked between Bloomington Avenue and Hiawatha and between Lake Street and East 24th. In this whole corridor the only green spaces for people to be are a little half-block Cedar Hill Park which is near my house and across from me is 24th the proposed site for the

community center. These kids who happen to be mine and many others of the 4,000 families tucked into this little strip have nowhere to go safely for parks and recreation. Children must cross Bloomington Avenue, "The Gauntlet", to get to Stewart Park, six blocks in this direction or at the Wade house another six blocks in that direction.

I want to tell you of two incidences that happened yesterday which is very typical of every day in my life as a resident there. I heard a commotion outside my door about 5:30 in the evening, a young child's voice. So, I stepped outside my front door to see a neighbor's ethnic ten year old bantering in some kind of conflict with an older male of about 30 years old. I called to her by name and said get into my yard and she said, "Give me back my hat." A man of a different ethnic background said, "It's my hat." I said you need to get away from her and you come here. The man went back to Cedar Field Park and the child ran around the corner and I called her mother. I later received information that the child and another child had been approached by someone in a car on the excuse to ask the child for directions and he exposed himself to them.

Later last night, after dark, there was a series of fireworks and about thirty children in the Cedar Field Park, running all around and having a hilarious time. I thought, "Oh, well, the 4th of July comes early". However, when it got to be 11:30 last night and there were still fireworks and still a bunch of kids in the park, I did call 911. Now, why am I telling you this? I am telling you this because there is very little green space for these families and children to safely go. There are a lot of people and the space that is available is not safe. Therefore, my children are not allowed to go there. They can't bike, play basketball, soccer, and picnic, meet their friends and/or swing on swings unless I am with them. That is the case for all of these kids. Unless there is a safe place with adult supervision and planned activities, just having green grass will not help. Furthermore, the kids in this neighborhood are ethnically diverse as are the adults. We have enough trouble getting along as adults of different cultural/ethnic language backgrounds. But when I see 4 year olds using vulgar language with kids 2-4 years older, I see gangs in the making. In about two weeks, we sent two people to the hospital because one ethnic group told another ethnic group of kids, "This is our park and you cannot be here". I have broken up bottle fights, golf club standoffs and I think it is really, really time. If I understand that these Park commissioners agree that when I make statements like "looking like ten gangs" and those who work on gang controls and have confetti in our neighborhood would indicate that. We really need a place to teach kids to play together. We really need a place where adults in the community can come together for recreation and social events, cross-cultural understanding, etc. I believe that this community center would solve a lot of problems besides the meeting space and recreation. I think it could bring our kids and our community together. It's fine that the Mayor has projects with lots of things for you to do; but our youth have no place to do it in and they have no way to get to where those activities are going to be placed. Please consider that with your heart.

My name is Rosie Kruse and I have lived here about 12 years. I have four children and they grew up here. Where I live there are so many children of different populations and that I am hoping that we receive this. This past Saturday we had the Twins and Tony

Oliva come over to Stewart Park. Then we asked him if he was able to come do a clinic for children in our neighborhood. You cannot image how many children came over. Children come over for baseball. You know we have a church there which we donated. We keep calling and receive a lot of calls about this. We just received a call regarding 107 children. Where are we going to put those children? They are still waiting. They have been waiting since just yesterday. We do not have a place. They are of the middle income class. It's a lot of violence over there. Why, because kids have no place to go? We have things over there but not the space. So, if we are going to have a community center it will make a big, big difference. Like I say, think about it twice, you guys are going to do a lot for those children. Thank you very much.

We are going to beat this drum really hard. We have big needs. We just bought a tent using our CVU money because we have no meeting space. Our board meets in the Wellness Hardware conference room. It's getting a little small. The Senior High-rises, they have no place to meet. They are right off the end of this park. They have no place to go to sit around and meet with each other. Additionally, the Hispanic Baseball League that Rosie was alluding to.

Will you please introduce yourself?

Sorry, I just thought that everybody knew me. My name is Carol Taft and I live at 2536 18th Avenue South, and I am the current president of the East Phillips Improvement Coalition. I want to say that the Hispanic Baseball League – it's one of those things where you push a button and all (we) have children coming out of our ears with regard to this. We don't know what to do. We just finished, I just finished writing their 501T3 and we are going to receive this soon. We are going to apply for funding everywhere. However, we have an education conflict. We want to traffic kids, we want to be sure that they are in school, we want those that are failing or dropping out or whatever. We want to get at that, setup mentoring, etc. The Waite House is full, Stewart Park is full and they are further away. We don't have enough space. We are swamped with needs. There is a concern that I noticed in the CLIC report on this about whether or not we can provide program funding. I know we can write grants for this. We are sitting there with grants ready to go and no place to put the activities. I think I am going to call the Mayor because we are that desperate. We cannot have all of these little kids growing up and nothing for them. We can't have this.

The thing is, it's this report that I took to the State Legislature and lobbied for this \$3.5 million using the mayor's own report saying that crime went down and when extended hours and programming went up in the parks. Since everyone is cost conscience here and I know how this is because I am looking at NRP and everything else. But look at the policing dollars, school attendance problems and the additional issues that are hitting this City? It may not appear on the CLIC budget but believe me the policing dollars for kids that are not in school, that are causing trouble and that are turning into new gang members. Those dollars are huge and I have to say what we are watching is there has been pressure around and the police are trying to deal with all of this. But in the past couple of years, people have said, "Oh, they must be pushing on the North side

because we have new dealers here". You know, there is always this discussion and they pop up here. But you know what, they are not new dealers. They are new dealers but they are not North side coming down. They are our home-grown, entrepreneurs taking care of the drug dealing problems that we have been having. Why? Because we haven't had enough police but also we do not have ways to mentor these kids into appropriate responses to society. We just don't have it and I'm telling you, we are going to pay.

Maybe some of us will be too old to really know. But the next ten years when these kids who are 5 or 15, we will pay if we don't supply programs for these kids. We are ready to go. We have written all of these. We have East Phillips Sports Association. We just finished their federal nonprofit status. A whole bunch of Somalia, Mexican kids together and native kids all playing soccer. This is race relations of the future. And we don't have a place to do it. So, our seniors and poor, little board who has no place to meet and our creativity and of course, have to meet in this tent all summer. I mean, come on you guys do this. We need to do this.

I've got to say my last point in this. We need to apply for \$3 ½ million and it is not going to get us what we need. However, it is going to be a start. We need to raise more. We have put up 185,000 bucks and two neighborhoods working together and that's for capital. We also have committed ourselves to a 4 to 1 match and we are going to get the 4 and the 1 is the \$185,000. This is Midtown and East Philips and we also have put up \$59,000 for a capital funding. We are not going to let this fall down and not get funded in the future. We are also not looking to the Park Board and the City; we want to do it ourselves. We believe we can. We have been pulling ourselves up by our own bootstraps for a long time. So, we really believe we can. I think that it's time. It's really time. The last thing is that if we seek additional funding from all over the place which we intend to do and we are doing. If the City doesn't look as if this is something that they really want; I mean that's a serious chiller on any funding campaign. The City of Minneapolis needs to look like they care and like it is important to them. That's the whole State Legislature – with all Republican's running things. I know I'm speaking to a predominate audience and I think you guys can do as well.

What I want to point out is again when you come up, please state your name, what your address is and what specific proposal that you are speaking to. If you can, try to speak to the point and keep your comments about two minutes. Thank you.

I'm Brian Stamman, I live at 525 South Penn and I am a resident of Elliott Park Neighborhood. I am going to speak to the Ninth Street South Improvements, PV051. I am also the president of the condominium association and actually own rental property right now. I have been in Elliott Park for about 4 years. I am speaking in regards to the importance of the lighting on 9th Street, in terms, of obviously improving this streetscape. One of the things that I don't think has been mentioned is this point that we don't have a representative from the business component of Elliott Park. With these increases in the neighborhood population, retail and business is the next component

and I think improvement of street lighting will promote that in order to bring in and invite those interested in retail spaces.

A final point, that I really want to speak to is about two years ago our condominium association along with River Flats really attempted to gain approval from the city for installation of lighting. Each of the condominium associations signed off on the petitions, more than 75 percent of each of the community associations agreed to have a special assessment so that we could have installed lighting but as you are aware the moratorium prevented us from actually obtaining that. I believe in this project, I applaud it and I think that it speaks to the master plan and a lot of the other things that Elliott Park Neighborhood hopes to accomplish. I want to echo the safety and hopeful the other piece that was being mentioned having to do with safety. I think you hear that across Minneapolis and it has come a long ways from the time where it was talked about – crime-wise. I think you need lighting for this kind of first step to get people out in the streets and take their neighborhoods back.

My name is Andrew Anglaube and I am a resident of Elliot Park. I live at Park 525 South 9th Street and I would like to speak on PV051 of the Ninth Street South Pedestrian Lighting proposal. I have lived in the area for ten years. We represent the original condominium residents in the City of Minneapolis. We were one of the first buildings in the mid 1980s to switch over to condominiums and were just recently refurbished. Many of the new developments of the city do have pedestrian street lighting and their sidewalks were just rebuilt. We represent the oldest in Minneapolis and we would like you to consider the proposal so that we can have the kind of lighting to cover all the streets. Thank you.

My name is Scott Vreeland and I am a Park Board commissioner. I live at 2437 33rd Avenue South and am given the opportunity to personally present this to the Board and I appreciate the opportunity. I have been thinking about this concept of “no child” left inside. That really means something in East Phillips. It’s really hard to do things. There are no joggers. There isn’t the opportunity to stay healthy and to be safe. This building is really the piece of restoration of healing the earth, healing the problems of the inner city and really working together as a community. So it’s not just for kids – it’s really about bringing adults together with children so that this can be a really viable community. I think that this is the right place and at the right time. We have done this before as a city in Parkwood. When Bottenville burned down, we saw the need and opportunity and put that together. We have an incredible opportunity to do that here. It was a miracle to get the money from the Legislature – it was such a far shot. This has been funded as a regional event and the State thinks that this is important. So, I hope that the City feels that this is important too. It’s been a pleasure to see the kind of connections that happened just in this process of neighborhood building. This is also a connection to the wind. What’s the connection – there is no lake in East Phillips. What is natural environment? What we are hoping is by working with LHV and the folks that built the Green Institute that we have something that connects folks to the natural environment. A building that connects folks to the wind, the rain, and to the power of the sun and place where folks want to come to from all over to learn about the

environment. The city is building a gold certified campus very close to this and there is the new Energy Center. This building could be funded by the logs that we cut down. We have an energy source. That's happening close to the Green Institute and this can be one of the greenest and interesting areas for folks from around the world to come to. I hope we make the best of this opportunity. Thank you.

Hello, my name is Lynne Malinski and I am a resident of 3519 Second Street Northeast. That's the Columbia Park Neighborhood in northeast Minneapolis and I am also here to talk about Park Board funding for Item 17 – the East Phillips Community Center. This is strange probably because I don't live anywhere near East Phillips. In fact I probably live in the one part of town that has more park land per capita than any other part of the city between our golf course, dog park, playground and; of course, the driving range; we have more green space and railroad yard than any service in the city. However, this is not just about East Phillips, this is about Minneapolis and I am a resident in Minneapolis. My family lives on the Northeast side of town and my son goes to school on the North side. This is not something that you can break into little neighborhoods, it's about the collective. We as a city need to start taking care of the least of our brothers which in this case I feel are the North side and East Phillips. East Phillips needs this community center. At times of largesque, when the city had huge CLIC budgets they didn't get anything. I think that maybe it's their turn. I really hope that you consider investing in this park. These people have spent enormous amounts of time in the Legislature and coming before the Park Board. They have really invested in seeing this happen. As a Minneapolis neighbor I would like to see it happen as well.

My name Barry Arson and I live at 3050 N.E. Metro Street. Waite Park Community Council passed a motion in solidly supporting the applications of the Minneapolis Public Library for the Northeast Library. A year ago, we were here at this meeting in support of tennis courts and now they are going to be built in about a month. The five year plan did not include the library. All of a sudden now, Northeast Library came from out of nowhere not even in the five-year plan and it is going to happen. So, we are very excited and happy about that. Personally, I feel it's important to say "thank you" to Jeff Strand and Mike Abeln and Hofstede. I think going through the interview process and forms and all like that – that's why all these people are here. They might not know it but on the 24th of last month, those guys put together a meeting and we all had thoughts on these proposals. So we're here tonight. The City does this community engagement thing. This is finally after NRP. When NRP started, people have said CLIC and NRP need to work together. Jeff has been trying to make that happen. Now we have this good turnout tonight and I say "thank you" to all.

Would anybody else like to speak? Okay, that will conclude our Public discussion and Jeff do you have something else to say? Just to note that I would like a transcript of the records.

Thank you. Anybody else have anything to say? Okay, we thank you very much. I guess we will see you again on the 14th of June. Thank you, you all.

**City of Minneapolis
Community Planning and Economic Development
Committee of the Whole
Joint Public Hearing with the
Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee
6-14-2007**

**City Hall
Room 220 – 5:05 pm**

And we're here again today because of the fact that it wasn't registered, so we are having a public hearing again today. So, we're here to open the doors to anyone who wants to speak to us about projects, we're happy to hear from anyone. So, again you know just to repeat, we are handing you sort of what all the projects are that we've reviewed and I don't know what else that we can say. We reviewed 116 proposals. All of the City department's have capital projects. So, again what we're here to do is to take any testimony anybody would have. Open, hear what people have to say and then close it. Do we have anybody here who wishes to speak?

I'm just curious, was there a public notification that this was public? Yes, we already had one. Yes, I'm just curious. Yea, we already had one. There was a public notification and because we don't normally do much public notification for council. Yes, we had one previously where we came before you in May. What's the date? May 25th I believe. Yes. So, we're presenting you the same thing. We did have a number of people who did comment at that point. Okay. Thanks. So, it doesn't look like we have any speakers. Okay – open and then we're closed. We appreciate all those who could come. We're glad we could come and we're always available. I just wanted to beat Michael Krause's record. Well, you just did. You got it. Nice work. Thank you.