#### CAPITAL LONG-RANGE IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

A Citizen Advisory Committee to the Mayor and City Council

**Presents** 

# THE CLIC REPORT

A Summary of Recommendations for the

## CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS



FIVE YEAR
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM
FOR YEARS 2007 – 2011

## CAPITAL LONG-RANGE IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE CLIC

July 21st, 2006

Mayor R.T. Rybak and City Council Members 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor – City Hall Minneapolis, MN 55415

Dear Mayor Rybak and City Council Members:

The Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee (CLIC) respectfully submits our report and recommendations for your consideration in developing the City's Five Year Capital Improvements Program for 2007 – 2011. The committee received and reviewed proposals with City funding and grant sources valued at \$597 million and approved \$450 million for the 2007 – 2011 timeframe. The key proposals/issues discussed and considered at length were:

Heritage Park Redevelopment     CD	<b>D</b> A01
• Public Safety Proposals:	
Forensic Laboratory MF	PD01
Skateboard Projects PR	K01
Emergency Operations/Training Facility FIF	R01
Library Projects MF	PL09,13,14,15,16
• Business Information System Technology Projects BIS	S02 - BIS11
• Sewer – Infiltration & Inflow Removal Program SW	7036
• Water Projects W1	ΓR15,16,22
• Stormwater Projects SW	7011,30,31

In addition to discussing specific project proposals, the committee struggled with proposing utility rates for Water, Sanitary Sewer & Stormwater Sewer fees and creative ways to fund the operating costs relative to Public Art and Bike Trails. Please see the pro forma's for the Water and Sewer funds and the other projects in the body of this report.

We are pleased to have participated in the discussion and decision processes that will ultimately help shape the 2007 – 2011 adopted Capital Improvements Program for the City of Minneapolis. CLIC looks forward to discussing our recommendations with you. Questions about this report can be addressed to me at (612)781-1502 or to the City's Director of Capital & Debt Management, Michael Abeln at (612)673-3496, who serves as CLIC's Executive Secretary.

Sincerely,

Tony Hostede

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX INDEX1
INTRODUCTION TO THE CLIC PROCESS
GLOSSARY OF CAPITAL TERMS & ACRONYMS
CLIC MEMBERSHIP4
CLIC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE5
CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS STAFF SUPPORT FOR THE CLIC PROCESS5
2007 – 2011 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED TO CLIC
2007 – 2011 CAPITAL RESOURCE ASSUMPTIONS USED BY CLIC11
2007 BOND REDEMPTION LEVY FOR CAPITAL PROGRAM11
PROPERTY TAX SUPPORTED CAPITAL ALLOCATION12
2007 – 2011 CLIC CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION13
CLIC COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT RATINGS FOR 2007 - 201118
CLIC PROJECT RATINGS BY COMMISSION/BOARD/DEPARTMENT MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION
2006 CLIC GENERAL COMMENTS25
2006 HUMAN DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE COMMENTS28
2006 TRANSPORTATION TASK FORCE COMMENTS32

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS – continued**

CLIC RECOMMENDED CAPITAL BUDGET BY COMMISSION/BOARD/DEPARTMENT
MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
LIBRARY BOARD FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM38
PARK BOARD FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM39
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM  FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT – FIVE YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY60
CLIC RECOMMENDED UTILITY RATES
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM65
CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS - FIVE YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY68
CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS - FIVE YEAR CAPITAL INVESTMENT ALLOCATION69

## **APPENDIX INDEX**

CLIC 2006 CAPITAL GUIDELINES	APPENDIX A
2006 CLIC SCHEDULE	APPENDIX B
JOINT PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES	APPENDIX C

#### Introduction to the CLIC Process

The Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee is a citizen advisory committee to the Mayor and City Council. The committee is authorized to have 33 appointed members, composed of two members per Council Ward and seven at-large members for the Mayor. The committee elects a Chair and Vice Chair of the whole group and also breaks into two programmatic task forces with approximately an equal number of members in each. Each task force elects a Chair and Vice Chair. Collectively, these six elected members form the Executive Committee and represent CLIC in meetings with the Mayor and City Council.

The two task forces are officially titled "Transportation and Property Services" and "Government Management, Health and Safety and Human Development". They are commonly referred to as the Transportation task force and the Human Development task force. The task forces receive and review all Capital Budget Requests (CBR's) for their program areas as submitted by the various City departments, independent boards and commissions. During several half day or full day meetings, employees who prepared the capital requests formally present their needs and answer any CLIC member follow up questions. Task force members then rate all proposals using a rating system with several specific criteria and create a numerical rating for each project. Highest rated priorities are then balanced against available resources by year to arrive at a cohesive five year capital improvements program recommendation to the Mayor and City Council.

For the five years covering 2007 - 2011, there were 118 CBR's reviewed and rated. The total requested capital budget for City funding and grant sources for the five years was \$596,514,000. This report provides ratings by project and summarizes the recommendations and comments made related to specific projects.

For more specifics on the CLIC process, please review the CLIC 2006 Capital Guidelines – Appendix A.

The CLIC committee appreciates the excellent efforts put forth by staff of the various City departments, independent boards and commissions in recommending capital investments in the City of Minneapolis.

## **Glossary of Capital Terms & Acronyms**

**CLIC** - Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee

Main Body - refers to the whole group of CLIC committee members.

**T** - Transportation and Property Services task force, a sub-set of the main body. Reviews and rates capital projects for Public Works improvements including Paving, Bridges, Sidewalks, Traffic Control & Street Lighting, Bike Trails, Sewer, Water and Parking projects.

**HD** - Government Management, Health & Safety and Human Development task force, a subset of the main body. Reviews and rates capital projects for the City's public building infrastructure including the Municipal Building Commission, Library Board, Park Board, Public Works, Police and Fire Departments and also Public Art and Technology investments.

**CBR** - Capital Budget Request — official form prepared by city departments and independent boards and commissions to define their needs for capital funds.

#### **Revenue Source Related Descriptions:**

**NDB** - Net Debt Bonds - bonds issued to finance general City capital improvements not associated with enterprise activities. Debt service is paid for out of the annual Bond Redemption Tax Levy.

Park Levy – A portion of the Park Board's tax levy dedicated to Capital Improvements.

**MSA** - Municipal State Aid - refers to gas tax dollars distributed to local governments for use on State designated Municipal State Aid streets - major thoroughfares.

**ASSM** - Assessments - improvements paid for partially or wholly by property owners.

Other/Transfers – refers to all other categories of resources used to support the capital programs. These sources include NRP (Neighborhood Revitalization Program), Library referendum taxes, grants from other governmental agencies or private foundations, transfers from within City funds or use of existing fund balances, land sale proceeds, etc.

**NON APPROP** - Non Appropriated – refers to cost participation from County, State or Federal dollars. For these projects, the City of Minneapolis is often not the lead agency and therefore only needs an appropriation to pay for the City's local share of cost.

**Enterprise Bonds/Revenue -** bonds related to the Sewer, Water, Parking and Solid Waste enterprises. Debt Service is paid by user fees charged for these enterprise services. Enterprise revenues are "pay as you go" cash sources planned for in the enterprise funds.

**REIMB** - refers to Capital work performed by divisions of Public Works for which reimbursements are received from other City departments, outside government agencies or private businesses.

## CLIC Membership February 1, 2005 through January 31, 2007

Council Ward#	Council Member	2006 CLIC Members	Task Force Assignment
1	Paul Ostrow	Michael Vennewitz	HD
1	Paul Ostrow	Ginger Derosier	Т
2	Cam Gordon	Emily Serafy Cox	Т
2	Cam Gordon	Ann Jaede	HD
3	Diane Hofstede	Greg Baumgartner	HD
3	Diane Hofstede	Tony Hofstede	Т
4	Barbara Johnson	Jeffrey Strand	- HD
4	Barbara Johnson	Roberta Englund	Т
5	Don Samuels	Vacant	
5	Don Samuels	Michael Paul Weber	Т
6	Robert Lilligren	Michelle Redmond	HD
6	Robert Lilligren	William Kingsbury	Т
7	Lisa Goodman	Bengt Sohlen	Т
7	Lisa Goodman	Bruce Shnider	HD
8	Elizabeth Glidden	Robinson Cook	Т
8	Elizabeth Glidden	Beth Hart	HD
9	Gary Schiff	Kris Brogan	HD
9	Gary Schiff	Brad Pass	T
10	Ralph Remington	Skyler Weinand	T
10	Ralph Remington	Gail Manning	HD
11	Scott Benson	Mary Ubl	Т
11	Scott Benson	Willie Bridges	HD
12	Sandy Colvin Roy	Vacant	
12	Sandy Colvin Roy	John Barron	. <b>T</b>
13	Betsy Hodges	Robert Gustafson	HD
13	Betsy Hodges	Vacant	
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	David Fisher	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Peter Taylor	T
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Jason Stone	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Richard K. Anderson	T
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Duane Reed	HD
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Trish Schilling	T
Mayor	R.T. Rybak	Charles Vanek	Т

# CLIC Executive Committee For Term February 1, 2005 through January 31, 2007

Leadership Position	Member Name	Appointment of
Main Body Chair	Tony Hofstede	Diane Hofstede - Ward 3
Main Body Vice Chair	Jeffrey Strand	Barbara Johnson-Ward 4
Task Forces: T - Chair T - Vice Chair	Mary Ubl Trish Schilling	Scott Benson-Ward 11 Mayor Rybak
HD - Chair	Greg Baumgartner	Diane Hofstede - Ward 3
HD - Vice Chair	David Fisher	Mayor Rybak

## **City of Minneapolis Staff Support for the CLIC Process**

Name / Department	Responsibility	Phone Number
Michael Abeln / Finance	Executive Secretary	612-673-3496
Pamela Miner/ CPED Planning	Planning Support	612-673-3240
Ray Waaraniemi / Finance	HD - Task Force Support	612-673-3775

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
						(in t	housands)
	AL BUILDING COMMISSION						
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	100	200	300	300	340	1,240
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	635	500	500	600	760	2,995
MBC04	Tower and Interior Court Elevators	0	0	95	100	100	295
	Total Municipal Building Commission	735	700	895	1,000	1,200	4,530
LIBRARY	BOARD						
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	0	200	1,600	2,400	800	5,000
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	1,000	1,500
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	0	500
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	0	613	500	0	0	1,113
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	850	1,110	500	0	0	2,460
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	0	500
	Total Library Board (Community Libraries)	850	1,923	2,600	3,900	1,800	11,073
PARK BO	ARD						
PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation	400	405	467	541	270	2,083
PRK02	Site and Totlot Rehabilitation	670	1,155	900	475	520	3,720
PRK03	Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools	450	205	315	250	0	1,220
PRK04	Athletic Field Renovation	0	0	0	150	150	300
PRK05	Tier 2 Athletic Fields	200	100	50	150	150	650
PRK06	Service Center Rehabilitation	0	0	0	150	0	150
PRK07	Tennis Court Rehabilitation	120	250	599	200	500	1,669
PRK09	HVAC	60	0	0	377	450	887
PRK11	Roof Replacement	0	0	0	251	420	671
PRK12	Community Skate Parks	0	0	0	0	300	300
PRK14	Complete Park Renovation	0	10,500	6,000	7,400	13,500	37,400
PRK15	New Park Facilities	0	. 0	3,800	1,500	0	5,300
PRKDT	Diseased Tree Removal	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
	Total Park Board	2,400	13,115	12,631	11,944	16,760	56,850

1 TOJOCE IE	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
m11m11m						(in t	housands)
	VORKS DEPARTMENT						
PSD01	IMPROVEMENTS	705	000	4.000	4.000	4 500	F 40F
PSD01	Facilities - Repair and Improvements	795	900	1,000	1,000	1,500	5,195
PSD00	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab Border Maintenance Facility	0 0	0	0 0	250 0	0 205	250
1.0001	Total Facility Improvements	795	900	1,000	1,250	1,705	205 5,650
				.,	.,	.,	0,000
STREET							
PV001	Parkway Paving	770	550	725	1,250	1,050	4,345
PV003	Street Renovation Program	1,316	2,103	3,236	4,283	6,445	17,383
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,500	1,600	6,550
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	0	970	0	0	0	970
PV006	Alley Renovation	265	265	500	500	500	2,030
PV007	University Research Park	1,696	1,325	0	7,000	2,600	12,621
PV008	I-35W & Lake St. Interchange Reconstruction	0	0	0	0	750	750
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	0	0	15	0	0	15
PV019	Sixth Avenue North	0	0	1,790	0	0	1,790
PV020	Loring Greenway	400	0	0	0	0	400
PV023	28th Ave South	0	0	0	5,529	0	5,529
PV027	Hennepin/Lyndale	0	0	0	0	10,511	10,511
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	0	0	0	3,784	0	3,784
PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to E 28th St)	0	5,992	6,060	0	0	12,052
PV031	27th Ave NE (RR Crossing)	245	0	0	0	0	245
PV032	LaSalle Ave S	0	0	0	6,476	0	6,476
PV034	Elliot & 10th Ave S Cul-de-sacs	285	0	0	0	0	285
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	2,450	2,450	4,900
PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt Segments	0	0	0	0	4,075	4,075
PV041	2nd Avenue North	0	480	0	0	0	480
PV042	50th St. E.	6,271	0	0	0	0	6,271
PV043	54th St. W.	0	1,967	0	0	0	1,967
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	0	0	0	0	200	200
PV00R	Reimbursable Paving Projects	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	17,500
	Total Street Paving Projects	15,898	18,302	16,976	36,272	33,681	121,129
SIDEWAI	LK PROGRAM						
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps	2,360	2,480	2,605	2,735	2,870	13,050
HERITAG	GE PARK INFRASTUCTURE						
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	1,250	1,000	750	0	0	3,000

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
BRIDGES				r		(in ti	housands)
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	200	200	200	200	200	1,000
BR106	1st Avenue South Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	0	0	0	0	2,630	2,630
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	0	Ö	5,990	Ő	0	5,990
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	Ö	Ŏ	0	ő	5,340	5,340
BR112	Nicollet Ave. Bridge	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	3,850	3,850
BR114	Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program	Ö	Ö	150	500	500	1,150
BR116	Bikeway/Bike Bridge 94246 Rehabilitation	0	Ö	0	0	6,425	6,425
BR117	1st Street North Bridge over Bassetts Creek	0	Õ	Ŏ	615	0,120	615
BR118	Minnehaha Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	Ö	Ö	ő	0	643	643
BR119	Minnehaha Parkway Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	Ō	Ö	230	230
BR120	3rd Street North Bridge over Bassetts Creek	0	0	0	655	0	655
BR121	Lowry Avenue Bridge over the Mississippi River	0	0	0	0	300	300
BR122	46th St. Pedestrian Bridge	0	0	0	0	5	5
	Total Bridge Projects	200	200	6,340	1,970	20,123	28,833
TRAFFIC	CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING						
TR003	LED Replacement Program	200	0	275	200	0	675
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	0	185	0	0	Ö	185
TR005	Controller Conversion	600	600	390	275	500	2,365
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	0	425	0	0	425	850
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	595	835	795	750	570	3,545
TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	175	300	150	150	350	1,125
TR010	Traffic Management Systems	0	2,755	3,890	3,375	0	10,020
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	240	100	0	0	350	690
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	585	2,940	1,380	2,545	260	7,710
TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	0	400	0	0	0	400
TR015	Safe Routes to School	0	0	0	Ô	300	300
TR00R	Relmbursable Transportation Projects	600	600	600	600	600	3,000
	Total Traffic Control & Street Lighting Projects	2,995	9,140	7,480	7,895	3,355	30,865
BIKE TRA	NLS						
BIK01	Cedar Lake Trail (Phase 3)	3,580	0	0	0	. 0	3,580
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	0	ŏ	2,125	2,125	0	4,250
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	Ö	Ő	1,250	2,120	0	1,250
BIK14	Midtown Greenway Bridge over Mississippi River	0	0	4,100	0	0	4,100
BIK17	Upper River Trails - Phase 1	0	ő	4,100	4,700	0	4,700
	Total Bike Trail Projects	3,580	0	7,475	6,825	0	17,880

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
STORMWA	ATER SEWER PROJECTS:					(in t	housands)
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	220	220	220	220	220	1,100
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	150	150	150	150	150	750
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	1,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	13,000
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	2,500	2,800	4,000	2,500	2,500	14,300
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	2,060	390	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,450
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	2,000	0	0	0	1,000	1,000
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	0	0	3,645	3,645	1,000	7,290
SW034	Flood Area 21 – Bloomington Pond	0	0	0,040	3,043	2,455	2,455
SW00R	Reimbursable Sewer and Storm Drain Projects	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
BR112	Nicollet Ave. from Lake St, to 29th	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	125	13,000
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	250	250	0	0	0	500
PV003	Street Renovation Program	129	192	314	357	670	1,662
PV007	University Research Park	500	300	800	0	800	2,400
PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to E 28th St)	0	143	0	0	000	143
PV032	LaSalle Avenue South	0	0	0	424	0	424
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	500	0	500
PV038	Miscellaneous Olled Dirt Segments	0	0	0	0	93	93
PV042	50th St. E.	509	0	0	0	93 0	509
PV043	54th St. W.	0	203	0	0	0	203
1 40 10	Total Storm Sewer Fund Projects		11,648	16,129	14,796	15,013	68,904
	1910. 919.11. 9919. 1 4110. 1 1999.	11,010	11,040	10,120	14,100	10,010	00,304
SANITARY	Y SEWER PROJECTS:						
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
SW012	Local Sewer Reconnection to 1-MN-320	750	0	0	0	0	750
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	100	100
SW036	Infiltration & Inflow Removal Program	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,000
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	0	3,350	0	0	0	3,350
	Total Sanitary Sewer Fund Projects	6,250	8,850	5,500	5,500	5,600	31,700
WATER				ı			
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	4,500	15,500	32,500	17,500	1 500	74 500
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500	1,500 6,500	71,500
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	3,000	0,500	0,500	0,500	0,500	32,500 3,000
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	7,000	7,500	0	0	0	14,500
WTR16	St. Paul/Minneapolis Interconnection	7,000	6,000	3,000	0	0	16,000
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	0,000	0,000	3,000	1,000	0	1,000
WTR22	New Filter Presses	0	0	1,050	5,355	5,985	12,390
WTR0R	Reimbursable Watermain Projects	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000
BR112	Nicollet Ave. from Lake St. to 29th	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	230
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	250	250	0	0	230	500
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	250	. 0	325	0	325
	Total Water Fund Projects		37,750	45,050	32,680	16,215	161,945

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
						(in t	housands)
PARKING	Davis Patitica Davis and tonor	4 700	4 700	4 700	4 7700		
RMP01 RMP03	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	8,500
RIVIPUS	Bicycle Parking  Total Parking Fund Projects	40 <b>1,740</b>	35 1,7 <b>35</b>	40 <b>1,740</b>	40 <b>1,740</b>	40 <b>1,740</b>	195 8,695
SOLID WA	ete.						
PSD08	City/County Solid Waste Management Facility	4,195	0	0	0_	0	4,195
	Total Public Works Department Projects	80,831	92,005	111,045	111,663	100,302	495,846
MISCELLA	ANEOUS PROJECTS						
ART01	Art in Public Places	400	400	400	400	400	2,000
BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	100	100	50	50	0	300
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	160	100	50	50	50	410
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	400	500	600	700	800	3,000
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	300	300	50	50	50	750
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	200	150	150	500	0	1,000
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000
BIS09	Enterprise Timekeeping Consolidation	0	0	500	200	. 0	700
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	3,300	250	0	0	1,000	4,550
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	0	0	0	0	400	400
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	1,479	1,996	2,060	0	0	5,539
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	0	0	0	0	2,600	2,600
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	0	0	0	0	730	730
MPD03	MPD STOP	0	0	0	0	1,240	1,240
PSD03	Facilities - Space Improvements	455	465	480	500	500	2,400
PSD04	Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	320	320	320	320	320	1,600
	Total Miscellaneous Projects	7,114	4,581	4,660	2,770	9,090	28,215
	TOTAL DEPARTMENT SUBMITTED CAPITAL BUDGET	91,930	112,324	131,831	131,277	129,152	596,514

Note: The totals above represent City funding and grant sources for those projects where the City is the lead agency. The funding detail pages that follow show additional leveraging with other units of government as Non Appropriated when the City is a contributing partner.

# 2007 - 2011 Capital Resource Assumptions Used by CLIC For Property Tax Supported Infrastructure Improvements

As approved by Ways & Means Committee for 2007 - 2011

Recommended Resources by Category	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Totals
					(In the	usands)
CLIC & Mayor Resources:						
Net Debt Bond Authorizations	18,750	17,250	17,250	17,600	17,950	88,800
Park Board Capital Infrastructure Levy	1,075	1,290	1,500	1,500	1,500	6,865
	19,825	18,540	18,750	19,100	19,450	95,665
Prior Year Adjustments made by Mayor and Council*	-4,435	-3,355	-2,920	0	0	-10,710
2007 - 2011 Resource Assumptions for CLIC	15,390	15,185	15,830	19,100	19,450	84,955

#### Notes

This resource summary represents the City's commitment for General Infrastructure assets. General Infrastructure includes public buildings, roads, bridges, bike trails, street lights, traffic signals, parks & libraries.

## 2007 Bond Redemption Levy for Capital Program

## Amount Notes (in thousands)

Tax Levy Certified for Bond Redemption in 2006	19,785 For supporting Capital Program only
Bond Redemption Levy Reduction for 2007	-1,108 Per Five Year Financial Direction
Tax Levy Certified for Bond Redemption in 2007	18,677 For supporting New Capital Programs
Additional support - prior year debt commitments	1,626 General Fund Transfer
Total Capital Program Debt Support	20,303

<sup>\* -</sup> Adjustments represent dollars advanced to or from projects in the Capital programs for prior years.

## Property Tax Supported Capital Allocation - CLIC Recommended Summarized by Major Type of Infrastructure

Description of Category	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Totals
				A	mounts in t	housands
Municipal Building Commission - City Hall	735	700	895	900	940	4,170
Percentage allocated to MBC	4.78%	4.61%	5.65%	4.71%	5.03%	4.95%
Library Board Capital Program	850	450	2,100	2,480	80	5,960
Percentage allocated to Library	5.52%	2.96%	13.27%	12.98%	0.43%	7.08%
Park Board Capital Program - including Park Levy*	1,580	1,560	1,367	1,794	1,550	7,851
Percentage allocated to Park Board	10.27%	10.27%	8.64%	9.39%	8.29%	9.33%
Public Works Department:						
Facility Improvements	795	1,200	1,000	1,250	1,200	5,445
Street Paving	3,125	4,699	4,561	7,481	6,089	25,955
Sidewalk Program	175	185	195	205	215	975
Heritage Park	1,250	1,000	750	0	0	3,000
Bridges	200	200	559	547	3,172	4,678
Traffic Control & Street Lighting	1,165	1,233	1,453	2,318	1,687	7,856
Bike Trails	580	0	825	575	0	1,980
Subtotal Public Works	7,290	8,517	9,343	12,376	12,363	49,889
Percentage allocated to Public Works	47.37%	56.09%	59.02%	64.80%	66.15%	59.26%
Miscellaneous Projects/Other City Departments	4,935	3,958	2,125	1,550	3,755	16,323
Percentage allocated to Other City Departments	32.07%	26.07%	13.42%	8.12%	20.09%	19.39%
Percentage allocated to City Departments	79.43%	82.15%	72.44%	72.91%	86.25%	78.64%
Grand Total - Property Tax Supported Capital	15,390	15,185	15,830	19,100	18,688	84,193
*Park Capital Levy of \$6,865 is included above as follows->	1,075	1,290	1,500	1,500	1,500	6,865

	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
						(in th	ousands)
	AL BUILDING COMMISSION						
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	100	200	300	300	340	1,240
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	635	500	500	500	500	2,635
MBC04	Tower & Interior Court Elevators	0	0	95	100	100	295
	Total Municipal Building Commission	735	700	895	900	940	4,170
LIBRARY	BOARD*						
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	0	200	1,600	2,400	800	5,000
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	0	500
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	0	613	500	0	0	1,113
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	850	1,110	500	0	0	2,460
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	0	0	0	500	0	500
	Total Library Board (Community Libraries)	850	1,923	2,600	3,400	800	9,573
	* - Includes \$3,613 of Library Referendum Levy and \$5,960 of City pro	perty tax fund	ing.				
DADI/ 50							
PARK BO	DARD						
PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation	400	405	467	541	270	2,083
		400 670	405 1,155	467 900	541 475	270 260	2,083 3,460
PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation						
PRK01 PRK02	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation	670	1,155	900	475	260	3,460
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools	670 0	1,155 0	900 0	475 0	260 0	3,460 0
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation	670 0 0	1,155 0 0	900 0 0	475 0 150	260 0 150	3,460 0 300
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields	670 0 0 0	1,155 0 0 0	900 0 0 0	475 0 150 0	260 0 150 0	3,460 0 300 0
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05 PRK06	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields Service Center Rehabilitation	670 0 0 0 0	1,155 0 0 0 0	900 0 0 0	475 0 150 0	260 0 150 0	3,460 0 300 0 0
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05 PRK06 PRK07	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields Service Center Rehabilitation Tennis Court Rehabilitation	670 0 0 0 0 0	1,155 0 0 0 0 0	900 0 0 0 0	475 0 150 0 0	260 0 150 0 0	3,460 0 300 0 0
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05 PRK06 PRK07 PRK09	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields Service Center Rehabilitation Tennis Court Rehabilitation HVAC	670 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,155 0 0 0 0 0 0	900 0 0 0 0 0	475 0 150 0 0 0 0	260 0 150 0 0 0 450	3,460 0 300 0 0 0 887
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05 PRK06 PRK07 PRK09 PRK11	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields Service Center Rehabilitation Tennis Court Rehabilitation HVAC Roof Replacement	670 0 0 0 0 0 0 60	1,155 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	900 0 0 0 0 0 0	475 0 150 0 0 0 0 377 251	260 0 150 0 0 0 0 450 420	3,460 0 300 0 0 0 887 671
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05 PRK06 PRK07 PRK09 PRK11 PRK12	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields Service Center Rehabilitation Tennis Court Rehabilitation HVAC Roof Replacement Community Skate Parks	670 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 450	1,155 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	475 0 150 0 0 0 0 377 251	260 0 150 0 0 0 450 420	3,460 0 300 0 0 0 887 671 450
PRK01 PRK02 PRK03 PRK04 PRK05 PRK06 PRK07 PRK09 PRK11 PRK12 PRK14	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation Site and Totlot Rehabilitation Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools Athletic Field Renovation Tier 2 Athletic Fields Service Center Rehabilitation Tennis Court Rehabilitation HVAC Roof Replacement Community Skate Parks Complete Park Renovation	670 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 450	1,155 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	475 0 150 0 0 0 377 251 0	260 0 150 0 0 0 450 420 0	3,460 0 300 0 0 0 887 671 450

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
						(in th	nousands)
	ORKS DEPARTMENT						
	IMPROVEMENTS	705	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	E 40E
PSD01	Facilities - Repair and Improvements	795	1,200	1,000	1,000	1,200	5,195
PSD06	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	0	0	0	250	0	250
PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
	Total Facility Improvements	795	1,200	1,000	1,250	1,200	5,445
STREET F	PAVING						
PV001	Parkway Paving	770	550	550	950	950	3,770
PV003	Street Renovation Program	1,316	2,103	3,236	4,282	3,428	14,365
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,500	1,600	6,550
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	0	970	0	0	0	970
PV006	Alley Renovation	265	265	265	265	265	1,325
PV007	University Research Park	1,696	1,325	0	7,000	2,600	12,621
PV008	I-35W & Lake St. Interchange Reconstruction	0	0	0	.0	.0	0
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	0	0	15	0	0	15
PV019	Sixth Avenue North	0	0	1,790	0	0	1,790
PV020	Loring Greenway	400	0	0	0	0	400
PV023	28th Ave South	0	0	0	5,529	0	5,529
PV027	Hennepin/Lyndale	0	0	0	0	10,511	10,511
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	0	0	0	3,784	0	3,784
PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to E 28th St)	0	5,992	6,060	0	0	12,052
PV031	27th Ave NE (RR Crossing)	245	0	0	0	0	245
PV032	LaSalle Ave S	0	0	0	6,476	0	6,476
PV034	Elliot & 10th Ave S Cul-de-sacs	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	2,450	2,450	4,900
PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt Segments	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV041	2nd Avenue North	0	480	0	0	0	480
PV042	50th St. E.	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV043	54th St. W.	0	1,967	0	0	0	1,967
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	0	100	0	0	0	100
PV00R	Reimbursable Paving Projects	3,500	3,500	3;500	3,500	3,500	17,500
	Total Street Paving Projects	9,342	18,402	16,566	35,736	25,304	105,350
SIDEWAL	K PROGRAM						
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps	2,360	2,480	2,605	2,735	2,870	13,050
HERITAG	E PARK INFRASTUCTURE						
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	1,250	1,000	750	0	0	3,000

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
BRIDGES						(in th	nousands)
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	200	200	200	200	350	1,150
BR106	1st Avenue South Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	0	0	5,990	0	0	5,990
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	5,340	5,340
BR112	Nicollet Ave. Bridge	0	Ô	0	0	0	. 0
BR114	Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program	0	0	150	347	653	1,150
BR116	Bikeway/Bike Bridge 94246 Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	6,425	6,425
BR117	1st Street North Bridge Over Bassetts Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR118	Minnehaha Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR119	Minnehaha Parkway Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	Ō	0	0	0	0	0
BR120	3rd Street North Bridge over Bassetts Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
BR121	Lowry Avenue Bridge over the Mississippi River	0	0	0	0	300	300
BR122	46th St. Pedestrian Bridge	0	0	0	0	5	5
DIVILL	Total Bridge Projects	200	200	6,340	547	13,073	20,360
TDACEIC	CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING						
TR003	LED Replacement Program	200	0	275	200	0	675
		0	185	0	0	0	185
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication Controller Conversion	600	600	390	275	500	2,365
TR005		000	425	0	0	425	850
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	595	835	795	750	570	3,545
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	175	300	150	150	350	1,125
TR008 TR010	Parkway Street Light Replacement Traffic Management Systems	0	2,755	3,890	3,375	0	10,020
TR010	City Street Light Renovation	240	100	0,000	0,010	350	690
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	585	2,940	1,380	2,545	260	7,710
	LRT TOD Improvements	0	400	0	2,040	0	400
TR014	Safe Routes to School	0	0	0	0	300	300
TR015	Reimbursable Transportation Projects	600	600	600	600	600	3,000
TR00R	Total Traffic Control & Street Lighting Projects	2,995	9,140	7,480	7,895	3,355	30,865
BIKE TRA					_	_	
BIK01	Cedar Lake Trall (Phase 3)	3,580	0	0	0	0	3,580
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	0	0	2,125	2,125	0	4,250
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	0	0	1,250	0	0	1,250
BIK14	Midtown Greenway Bridge over Mississippi River	0	0	4,100	0	0	4,100
BIK17	Upper River Trails - Phase 1	0	0	0	4,700	0	4,700
	Total Bike Trail Projects	3,580	0	7,475	6,825	0	17,880

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
STOPMW/	ATER SEWER PROJECTS:					(in tr	ousands)
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	220	220	220	220	220	1,100
SW002	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	150	150	150	150	150	750
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	1,000	1,500	1,500	1,500	1500	7,000
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	1,500	1,500	2,000	2,000	2,000	9,000
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	500	500	500	500	750	2,750
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	1,500	390	0	0	0	1,890
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	. 0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW034	Flood Area 21 - Bloomington Pond	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW00R	Reimbursable Sewer and Storm Drain Projects	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
BR112	Nicollet Ave. from Lake St. to 29th	0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	0
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	250	250	0	0	0	500
PV003	Street Renovation Program	129	192	314	357	670	1,662
PV007	University Research Park	500	300	800	0	800	2,400
PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to E 28th St)	0	143	0	0	0	143
PV032	LaSalle Avenue South	0	0	0	424	0	424
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	500	0	500
PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt Segments	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV042	50th St. E.	0	0	0	0	0	0
PV043	54th St. W.	0	203	0	0	0	203
	Total Storm Sewer Fund Projects	8,749	8,348	8,484	8,651	10,090	44,322
CANITAD	Y SEWER PROJECTS:				*		
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
SW012	Local Sewer Reconnection to 1-MN-320	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	100	100
SW036	Infiltration & Inflow Removal Program	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,000
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Sanitary Sewer Fund Projects	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,600	27,600
WATER				•			
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	4,500	15,500	32,500	17,500	0	70,000
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	4,500	4,500	4,750	4,750	5,000	23,500
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	500	500	0	´ 0	0	1,000
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	6,000	5,000	0	0	0	11,000
WTR16	St. Paul/Minneapolis Interconnection	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	0	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
WTR22	New Filter Presses	0	0	0	1,050	5,355	6,405
WTR0R	Reimbursable Watermain Projects	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000
BR112	Nicollet Ave. from Lake St. to 29th	0	0	0	0	0	0
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	250	250	Ō	0	0	500
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	Ö	325	Ö	325
	Total Water Fund Projects	17,750	27,750	39,250	26,625	14,355	125,730

Project ID	Project Title	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
						(in t	housands)
PARKING		4 700	4.700	4 700	4 700	4 700	0.500
RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	8,500
RMP03	Bicycle Parking	40	35	40	40	40	195
	Total Parking Fund Projects	1,740	1,735	1,740	1,740	1,740	8,695
SOLID WA	STE						
PSD08	City/County Solid Waste Management Facility	4,195	0	0	0	0	4,195
	Total Public Works Department Projects	58,456	75,755	97,190	97,504	77,587	406,492
MOORIL	NEGUIO PRO IECTO						
ART01	ANEOUS PROJECTS  Art in Public Places	200	200	200	200	200	4.000
BIS02		100	100	200 50	200 50		1,000 300
	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	160	100	50 50	50 50	0 50	410
BIS03 BIS04	Enterprise Document Management Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	400	500	600	700	800	3,000
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	300	300	50	700 50	50	750
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	200	150	150	500	0	1,000
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
BIS09	Enterprise Timekeeping Consolidation	Õ	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	3,300	250	Ö	Ö	Ö	3,550
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	0	0	Ö	0	400	400
FIR01	City/County EOC/Training Facility	2,580	1,996	959	0	0	5,535
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	250	500	0	0	1,850	2,600
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	0	0	0	0	405	405
MPD03	MPD STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSD03	Facilities - Space Improvements	455	112	66	0	0	633
PSD04	Facilities - Security Management	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Miscellaneous Projects	7,945	4,208	2,125	1,550	3,755	19,583
	TOTAL CLIC RECOMMENDED CAPITAL BUDGET	70,066	84,646	104,677	105,648	85,132	450,169

Note: The totals above represent City funding and grant sources for those projects where the City is the lead agency. The funding detail pages that follow show additional leveraging with other units of government as Non Appropriated when the City is a contributing partner.

### CLIC Comprehensive Project Ratings for 2007 - 2011 Highest to Lowest Score - 118 Projects Rated

Project ID	Project Name	Score	Rank
		(Max 300)	
	Top Third of Projects		
CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	233.00	1
3R109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	220.20	2
3IS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	219.42	3
NTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	218.96	4
3R101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	218.80	5
TR003	LED Replacement Program	216.48	6
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	213.32	7
NTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	210.84	8
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	209.72	9
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	209.64	10
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	209.62	11
SIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	208.88	12
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	207.50	13
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	207.04	14
SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps	206.84	15
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	205.73	16
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	205.08	17
3IK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	204.96	18
MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	204.23	19
VIBC02 PV003	Street Renovation Program	204.08	20
2V003 2V007	University Research Park	203.00	21
3R121	Lowry Avenue Bridge over the Mississippi River	202.64	22
TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	200.44	23
PV001	Parkway Paving	198.84	24
PV031	27th Ave NE (RR Crossing)	198.28	25
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	196.24	26
PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation	194.65	27
PV027	Hennepin/Lyndale	194.32	28
3R111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	193.96	29
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	193.92	30
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	193.32	31
PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to E 28th St)	192.40	32
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	190.54	33
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	190.46	34
FIRU1 FR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	190.12	35
	Water Distribution Improvements	189.64	36
WTR12	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	189.12	37
SW002	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	188.08	38
WTR14	. Land to the state of the stat	187.04	39
PSD01 BIK01	Facilities Repair and Improvements  Cedar Lake Trail (Phase 3)	186.04	40

# CLIC Comprehensive Project Ratings for 2007 - 2011 Highest to Lowest Score - 118 Projects Rated

Project ID	Project Name	Score	Rank
	Middle Third of Projects		
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	184.92	41
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	184.88	42
PRK02	Site and Totlot Rehabilitation	184.08	43
BR114	Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program	183.72	44
TR010	Traffic Management Systems	181.64	45
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	181.44	46
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	180.81	47
PV006	Alley Renovation	180.40	48
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	180.04	49
PRK11	Roof Replacement	179.88	50
PV032	LaSalle Ave S	179.76	51
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	178.72	52
BIK14	Midtown Greenway Bridge over Mississippi River	178.32	53
ART01	Art in Public Places	178.31	54
MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	178.15	55
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	177.46	· 56
WTR22	New Filter Presses	177.08	57
RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	176.15	58
WTR16	Minneapolis/St. Paul Interconnection	175.84	59
PRK09	HVAC	175.69	60
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	175.52	61
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	174.88	62
PSD08	City/County Solid Waste Management Facility	174.77	63
MPL11	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	173.73	64
PV020	Loring Greenway	172.88	65
TR005	Controller Conversion	171.92	66
SW036	I&I Removal Program	171.64	67
BR116	Bikeway/Bike Bridge 94246 Rehabilitation	171.40	68
BR122	46th St. Pedestrian Bridge	170.48	69
PRK04	Athletic Field Renovation	168.81	70
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	166.92	71
BIK17	Upper River Trails - Phase 1	164.84	72
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	164.12	73
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	161.46	74
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	161.12	75
TR015	Safe Routes to School	160.48	76
PV019	Sixth Avenue North	160.28	77
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	159.63	78
RMP03	Bicycle Parking	158.80	79
BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	157.72	80

### CLIC Comprehensive Project Ratings for 2007 - 2011 Highest to Lowest Score - 118 Projects Rated

Project ID	Project Name	Score	Rank
	Bottom Third of Projects		
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	157.65	81
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	157.58	82
PV023	28th Ave South	157.40	83
MBC04	Tower and Interior Court Elevators	156.08	84
PRK03	Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools	154.23	85
PSD06	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	154.19	86
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	153.69	87
PV043	54th St. W.	153.68	88
PRK05	Tier 2 Athletic Fields	153.50	89
BR112	Nicollet Ave. Bridge	153.38	90
PSD03	Facilities - Space Improvements	151.54	91
SW012	Local Sewer Reconnection to 1-MN-320	151.13	92
BIS09	Enterprise Timekeeping Consolidation	148.65	93
MPD03	MPD STOP	148.19	94
PRK12	Community Skate Parks	147.81	95
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	141.68	96
BR118	Minnehaha Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	141.52	97
BR119	Minnehaha Parkway Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	136.56	98
BR106	1st Avenue South Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	136.24	99
PV041	2nd Avenue North	135.44	100
PV042	50th St. E.	135.04	101
PRK06	Service Center Rehabilitation	132.54	102
PV034	Elliot & 10th Ave S Cul-de-sacs	131.48	103
BR117	1st Street North Bridge Over Bassetts Creek	131.12	104
BR120	3rd Street North North Bridge over Bassetts Creek	130.40	105
PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	129.73	106
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	129.28	107
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	128.08	108
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	124.28	109
PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt Segments	123.64	110
PRK07	Tennis Court Rehabilitation	120.62	111
PSD04	Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	114.54	112
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	109.92	113
SW034	Flood Area 21 - Bloomington Pond	101.52	114
PV008	I-35W & Lake St. Interchange Reconstruction	99.52	115
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	96.96	116
PRK14	Complete Park Renovation	87.96	117
PRK15	New Park Facilities	81.58	118

#### **MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION**

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	209.62	11
	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	204.23	19
	Tower and Interior Court Elevators	156.08	84

#### LIBRARY BOARD

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital Improvements	190.54	33
	Walker Community Library Capital Improvements	173.73	64
	Hosmer Library Capital Improvements	178.15	55
MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library Capital Improvements	- 180.04	49
MPL15	Southeast Community Library Capital Improvements	184.92	41
MPL16	Washburn Community Library Capital Improvements	180.81	47

#### **PARK BOARD**

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
PRK01	Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation	194.65	27
PRK02	Site and Totlot Rehabilitation	184.08	43
PRK03	Rehab of Shelter Buildings and Wading Pools	154.23	85
PRK04	Athletic Field Renovation	168.81	70
PRK05	Tier 2 Athletic Fields	153.50	89
PRK06	Service Center Rehabilitation	132.54	102
PRK07	Tennis Court Rehabilitation	120.62	111
PRK09	HVAC	175.69	60
PRK11	Roof Replacement	179.88	50
PRK12	Community Skate Parks	147.81	95
PRK14	Complete Park Renovation	87.96	117
PRK15	New Park Facilities	81.58	118

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Project ID Project Title		Score	Rank
	Facilities Repair and Improvements	187.04	39
	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial Cemetery Fencing Rehab	154.19	86
	Border Maintenance Facility	129.73	106

#### STREET PAVING

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
PV001	Parkway Paving	198.84	24
PV003	Street Renovation Program	204.08	20
PV004	CSAH Paving Program	209.64	10
PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	141.68	96
PV006	Alley Renovation	180.40	48
PV007	University Research Park	203.00	21
PV008	I-35W & Lake St. Interchange Reconstruction	99.52	115
PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange Reconstruction	209.72	9
PV019	Sixth Avenue North	160.28	77
PV020	Loring Greenway	172.88	65
PV023	28th Ave South	157.40	83
PV027	Hennepin/Lyndale	194.32	28
PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha Intersection Realignment	174.88	62
PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to E 28th St)	192.40	32
PV031	27th Ave NE (RR Crossing)	198.28	25
PV032	LaSalle Ave S	179.76	51
PV034	Elliot & 10th Ave S Cul-de-sacs	131.48	103
PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	175.52	61
PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt Segments	123.64	110
PV041	2nd Avenue North	135.44	100
PV042	50th St. E.	135.04	101
PV043	54th St. W.	153.68	88
PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal Redevelopment	129.28	107

#### SIDEWALK PROGRAM

Project ID	Project ID Project Title		Rank
	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps	206.84	15

#### HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
	Heritage Park Redevelopment Project	233.00	1

#### **BRIDGES**

BRIDGES			
Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
BR101	Major Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation	218.80	5
BR106	1st Avenue South Bridge over the Midtown Greenway	136.24	99
BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	220.20	2
BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch Rehabilitation	193.96	29
BR112	Nicollet Ave. Bridge	153.38	90
BR114	Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program	183.72	44
BR116	Bikeway/Bike Bridge 94246 Rehabilitation	171.40	68
BR117	1st Street North Bridge Over Bassetts Creek	131.12	104
BR118	Minnehaha Ave Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	141.52	97
BR119	Minnehaha Parkway Bridge over Minnehaha Creek	136.56	98
BR120	3rd Street North North Bridge over Bassetts Creek	130.40	105
BR121	Lowry Avenue Bridge over the Mississippi River	202.64	22
BR122	46th St. Pedestrian Bridge	170.48	69

#### TRAFFIC CONTROL AND STREET LIGHTING

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
TR003	LED Replacement Program	216.48	6
TR004	Computerized Traffic Control Communication	124.28	109
TR005	Controller Conversion	171.92	66
TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	178.72	52
TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety Improvements	205.08	17
TR008	Parkway Street Light Replacement	190.12	35
TR010	Traffic Management Systems	181.64	45
TR011	City Street Light Renovation	193.32	31
TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety Improvements	166.92	71
TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	200.44	23
TR015	Safe Routes to School	160.48	76

#### **BIKE TRAILS**

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
	Cedar Lake Trail (Phase 3)	186.04	40
BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	204.96	18
	RiverLake Greenway (East of I-35W)	157.72	80
	Midtown Greenway Bridge over Mississippi River	178.32	53
BIK17	Upper River Trails - Phase 1	164.84	72

#### STORMWATER SEWER PROJECTS

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	189.12	37
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations	181.44	46
SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements	213.32	7
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program	207.50	13
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies	196.24	26
SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water Partnership	184.88	42
SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel Reconstruction	159.63	78
SW033	Flood Area 22 - Sibley Field	109.92	113
SW034	Flood Area 21 Bloomington Pond	101.52	114

#### **SANITARY SEWER PROJECTS**

Project	D Project Title	Score	Rank
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program	207.04	14
SW012	Local Sewer Reconnection to 1-MN-320	151.13	92
SW035	War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation	96.96	116
SW036	I&I Removal Program	171.64	67
SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	128.08	108

#### **WATER**

Project ID	Project Title	Score	Rank
WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	210.84	8
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements	189.64	36
WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security Improvement	188.08	38
WTR15	Pump Station No. 4 Rehabilitation	218.96	4
WTR16	Minneapolis/St. Paul Interconnection	175.84	59
WTR17	Treatment Modifications Based on New Regulations	164.12	73
WTR22	New Filter Presses	177.08	57

#### **PARKING**

Project ID	Project Title	_ Score	Rank
	Parking Facilities - Repair and Improvements	176.15	58
	Bicycle Parking	158.80	79

#### **SOLID WASTE**

 Project Title	Score	Rank
City/County Solid Waste Management Facility	174.77	63

#### **MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS**

	Project Title	Score	Rank
ART01	Art in Public Places	178.31	54
BIS02	Central Traffic Signal Computer Replacement	219.42	3
BIS03	Enterprise Document Management	205.73	16
BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure Capacity Upgrade	177.46	56
BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	157.65	81
BIS06	GIS Application Infrastructure Upgrade	208.88	12
BIS07	HRIS Upgrade	157.58	82
BIS09	Enterprise Timekeeping Consolidation	148.65	93
BIS10	Finance System Consolidation/Upgrade	193.92	30
BIS11	Citywide Electronic Citations System	161.46	74
FIR01	EO/Training Facility	190.46	34
MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	161.12	75
MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	153.69	87
	MPD STOP	148.19	94
MPD03	Facilities - Space Improvements	151.54	91
PSD03	Facilities - Space improvements  Facilities - Physical Security Improvements	114.54	112
PSD04	racinites - Physical Security Improvements		

#### 2006 CLIC General Comments

#### Preservation - Let's not miss those "last chances".

Minneapolis is only 150 years old. But how much of those 150 years remains? What examples of our earlier infrastructure, style, and atmosphere survive? Several neighborhoods and small business owners have maintained almost-hundred-year-old "streetcar corner" business and apartment blocks scattered throughout the city. A downtown "theatre district" consortium continues to protect, renovate, and reuse the city center's remaining vaudeville and motion picture houses. And we must remember to preserve examples of early, period, and classic commercial advertising and streetscape features in Minneapolis, including neon signs and painted building-side advertisements, even original park benches and replica streetlights. CLIC supports keeping as many examples of "early Minneapolis" as possible, to include actual examples of our city's earlier public infrastructure and institutions, each in *original*, *period*, *and working order*: a turn-of-the-century park building; a 1920's school; an early library; an entire rail corridor with its original bridges; several cobblestone streets; an original fire station house. It won't be too long before Minneapolis has only one "last chance" to save many of its historic features. Let's not miss these opportunities.

General Comment: Adequate Capital Program Funding (State of the Infrastructure)
Again this year, CLIC concluded that it requires more detailed information about what constitutes appropriate annual and five-year program levels of capital funding. To ensure that Minneapolis capital infrastructure remains vigorous, CLIC does not wish to facilitate future funding gaps by recommending lower-than-adequate annual funding levels. CLIC looks forward to better information in the 2007 capital budgeting process as staff of the departments and independent boards' research and report back on best practices and proper funding levels.

Surely the City Council and Mayor need not be reminded again how the 1997 Public Works' state of the public infrastructure and subsequent Park Board infrastructure gap reports illuminated the consequences of inadequately funding capital replacement. The 2003 CLIC Report commented that it "awaits an updated report on the Public Works' infrastructure gap. In times of tight budget restraints, the task force wants current information as it considers projects which do not further exacerbate longstanding gaps in the city's capital renovation program." Three years later, still no satisfactory update has been forthcoming. CLIC opposes shifting the burden of deferred capital infrastructure costs onto the next generation of taxpayers and ratepayers.

#### **General Comment**

As a general comment to all Paving, Bike, Bridge, Library, and Park construction projects (or any other applicable projects) CLIC commends the use of native plants and rain gardens. In line with City goals, CLIC commends any way to solve the overflow water issues that also adds green space and native species. However, CLIC does request evidence that shows the cost effectiveness of these measures as compared to the more 'conventional.'

#### **General Comment**

CLIC requests that communication be initiated with neighborhoods prior to bringing Capital Budget Requests to CLIC.

#### 2006 CLIC General Comments

#### BIK (All): Bicycle projects

CLIC thinks that on-street bike lanes should be included in paving projects, especially where they overlap with the bikeway plan. This would allow more coordination of projects and better quality bike lanes because of their construction on newly paved roads. This coordination would also allow bike lanes to be included in the design of new paving projects. If bike paths and bike striping are a necessary part of the city's multi-modal transportation plan, then it is time to make bike-striping a part of all relevant street paving proposals and current projects.

The Bicycle proposals are extremely well leveraged, with the federal government, the county and NRP funding contributing \$16.7 million plus over five years with the request for Net Debt funding being \$2.0 million over this same period. However, the five proposals presented result in a yearly increase of \$100,000 in operating cost with no clear plan of how to obtain or reduce these additional operating expenses. The Minneapolis Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) and the state BAC are studying ways to generate operating funds. Although these studies have been proceeding for the last few years, they have not been completed. CLIC would request that proposals from these committees be prepared for the Mayor and the City Council by the autumn of 2006 with some definite alternatives suggested that could begin implementation by January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2007.

Last year, CLIC suggested a \$0.05 surcharge be levied on hourly parking in the city ramps to fund Bicycle operating costs. The Mayor or City Council did not approve this. However, CLIC's intention was to present a funding system. The city cannot afford to put in place infrastructure that cannot be properly maintained without a viable operating funding plan. Bike paths must be maintained like any other public works project and there must be an operating budget for this.

#### **General Comment: Park Board**

CLIC is dissatisfied with both the structure and content of much of the Park Board's capital proposal. Insufficient justification and background was provided for most projects, particularly PRK14 and 15, representing about \$44 million of the \$100 million capital proposal. MPRB chose not to prioritize projects, advising CLIC that all capital projects were equally important.

For all projects, CLIC would have appreciated breakdowns on how funds would be spent instead of just the locations where funds would be spent.

CLIC is concerned about MPRB's intent to withdraw a portion of future capital projects from the CLIC process. MPRB informed CLIC that beginning in 2007 it would withdraw "capital repair" projects, providing PRK1-9, 11 & 12 as examples, and that MPRB would seek a property tax levy increase in exchange for reduced bonding. PRK1-9, 11 & 12 include items such as Community & Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation, Site & Totlot Rehabilitation, Athletic Field Renovations, Skate Parks, etc. MPRB explained, "We do not believe it is financially responsible to be bonding for maintenance and repair projects."

CLIC reinforces that Park Board projects PRK1-9, 11 & 12 are capital projects and believe bonding for these projects is appropriate and fiscally responsible.

#### 2006 CLIC General Comments

#### **General Comment: Library**

CLIC supports a strong library system for Minneapolis. The library board is completing plans for implementing the referendum and a beautiful central library is now open. The board should be commended for that. However, the health of a library system is dependent upon its sustainability. It is not enough to have a network of new, remodeled or renovated buildings. CLIC recommends that the library board develop a strategic plan describing how library hours can be provided across the city. CLIC encourages the board to develop collaborations with the schools, the parks, Hennepin County and other community entities.

CLIC received public hearing testimony regarding the Library projects and other projects during the Joint Public Hearing between the CLIC Committee and the Minneapolis Planning Commission. Readers of this report are encouraged to review the transcript of the meeting minutes for additional details about projects in this year's capital program in Appendix C of this report.

#### **ART01: Art in Public Places**

CLIC continues to support art in public places, but is concerned that the Art in Public Places Program continues to use capital funds for salaries and related benefits.

#### **BIS05: Enterprise Reporting**

There is a lack of information about what makes this tool usable by all departments as a reporting tool. What departments specifically have "bought in" to this software? Police? Public Works? What are the expected outcomes? There is more excitement around GIS-based enterprise reporting that generates site-specific reporting data that is truly flexible for use by a myriad of departments.

#### **BIS09: Enterprise Timekeeping**

CLIC recommends decreasing the number of timekeeping systems, but not to create one elaborate and expensive system for everyone to use with difficulty, in order to accommodate one particular department.

#### MPD01: MPD Forensic Laboratory

MPD02: MPD Evidence Unit

CLIC rated these projects before the current unacceptably high crime statistics for this city were published. We believe that all of the capital projects we recommend will lead to a higher quality of life for the citizens of Minneapolis. We also believe that a basic element of quality of life is the reality of public safety. One cannot exist without the other. Examples abound of once great American cities that did not deal with their crime situation and which are now untenable places for anyone to live. We cannot ignore crime control in Minneapolis!

CLIC therefore recommends proceeding with these projects and fully supporting the personnel and technological needs of the Minneapolis Police Department. It has become obvious that this funding and support cannot wait until 2011.

It is with these things in mind that CLIC recommends increased funding in 2007 for PRK12 Community Skate Parks.

#### MPL09-MPL16 - Minneapolis Public Library Proposals

The manner in which the Library Board is requesting funds is inconsistent with both how other capital requests are made to CLIC and with what CLIC is set out to do—prioritize necessity and finances for specified projects. The projects laid out by the Minneapolis Public Library (MPL) seem to follow a pattern where money is requested before specific plans for what the MPL is seeking to do is determined. CLIC suggests that the MPL develop its specific plans for requested funds prior to seeking them. As preferred by both CLIC and the MPL, it would be necessary to commence both public discussion and site planning prior to the MPL requesting funds. This would help to alleviate uncertainty and ambiguity in the MPL's requests and make the ratings process for CLIC much easier.

#### MPL09-MPL16 - Minneapolis Public Library Proposals

As in multiple requests made to CLIC, it's difficult to justify capital expenditures that have inadequate funding for future operating expenses.

#### PSD01: Facilities Repair and Improvements

There has been discussion regarding the replacement of the 4<sup>th</sup> Precinct facility based on the redevelopment plans for the Plymouth Avenue and W. Broadway areas. Former Chief McManus had signed on to move the 4<sup>th</sup> Precinct offices. It is possible that the addition of the University of Minnesota facility in north Minneapolis will create an opportunity for a new 4<sup>th</sup> Precinct facility. The present 4<sup>th</sup> Precinct building should be maintained under regular maintenance however, major renovation of the building systems should not occur until further discussion of the future of the facility is completed.

CLIC recommends that Public Works build back to a \$2 million annual level of funding, noting the \$1.2 million deferral during the 2007-2011 period to avoid additional maintenance funding gaps in the future.

#### **PSD06: Pioneer and Soldiers Memorial Cemetery**

Pioneer and Soldiers Memorial Cemetery is the oldest in Minneapolis and the City has a responsibility to restore and maintain this historic landmark. The wrought iron fence was one of the contributing features for both national and local historic designation of the cemetery. Any changes to the fence will need to be approved by the Heritage Preservation Commission (HPC). CLIC recommends a close alliance with the HPC in order to achieve the most historically accurate, fiscally responsible and longest lasting renovation possible.

It is also recommended that other sources of funding be sought to assist in the renovation and maintenance of this project including possible Federal Historic Preservation funds, State Historic Preservation funds, private fund raising efforts, etc.

#### PRK01: Community and Neighborhood Center Rehabilitation

CLIC is particularly supportive of some aspects of this project, such as accessibility, energy efficiency and capital repairs with a rapid return on investment. Since Park Board projects are not prioritized, and since there is no breakdown on where funds will be spent, CLIC does not have the level of detail required to allocate partial funds based on priorities within the project.

#### PRK02: Site and Totlot Rehabilitation

CLIC wonders whether the Park Board's end-to-end approach to park renovation may result in increased costs at a time when the city has low tolerance for cost increases. For example, the Park Board states, "whenever possible tot lots are proposed for rehabilitation at the same time as neighborhoods and community centers or shelter buildings are proposed." CLIC feels a "need-based" approach would be a more efficient use of capital dollars.

## PRK04: Athletic Field Renovation PRK05: Tier 2 Athletic Fields

Given the overall size of Park Board capital requests, lack of MPRB priorities and limited justification for these projects, CLIC will be challenged to rate these projects. CLIC cannot determine what type of athletic fields are being proposed and does not have any programming statistics to demonstrate need.

#### **PRK07: Tennis Court Rehabilitation**

CLIC commends the MPRB for maintaining a pavement condition index for tennis courts. In the future, CLIC would appreciate having the index provided as a part of the project justification. CLIC suggests that 'condition indexes' could be applied to other park infrastructure to reduce the subjectivity of capital requests.

CLIC recommends a thorough and quantifiable study of community needs before tennis courts are rehabilitated. Some neighborhoods may be better served with other amenities such as additional basketball courts or volleyball courts.

#### **SW011 Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program**

The annual operating costs decrease of \$300,000 for this program is worthy of note. CLIC appreciates this year receiving the 2004 consultant report "Phase II Storm Tunnel System Management Plan" prepared for Public Works to assist its evaluation of the proposed \$30 million renovation program that includes the 20 miles of deep drainage tunnels.

## SW030 Alternative Storm water Management Strategies (SW004 Implementation of USEPA Storm Water Regulations)

CLIC is impressed with the City-Public Schools collaborations funded through this program (SW030). Successful projects within this strategy should be used to illustrate how Minneapolis government works well. CLIC envisions further funding collaborations between the City and the Park Board within this strategy.

Neighborhoods are developing "green" committees and design standards, and are incorporating "green" strategies in their NRP Phase II Action Plans, such as "Green Kingfield." This program provides a perfect opportunity for strengthening community engagement with neighborhood residents.

There is confusion about these two different requests. How are they different? The information from Public Works puts green roofs, for example, into both "projects". There is a general excitement about and obvious need for the proposed removal of large areas of asphalt for example, and for other alternative storm water management strategies, but not about approaches that are too costly in the long term.

CLIC is very interested in new ways to be environmentally friendly but we are still not sure that Green roofs are a cost effective solution for storm water run off.

#### SW034: Flood Area 21 - Bloomington Pond

CLIC suggests the City consider offering to buy all of the affected, (flood-prone) homes valued at approximately \$900,000 –rather than spending \$2,455,000 to fix the problem. Combined Sewer Overflow (CSOP) must be eliminated regardless.

#### **SW035 War Department Tunnel Rehabilitation**

It is important that the City nominally funds this project in its 5-year capital program. Public Works intends to seek \$7.2 million from the Federal government, which gave up the tunnel without providing funds for renovation. Though only two city neighborhoods are serviced by this facility, its renovation is significant because it services the VA Medical Center and the Minneapolis-St. Paul Airport. The City's ultimate cost share is estimated to be \$1.5 million. Funding contributions should be sought from Metropolitan Airports Commission, and the Federal Government (VA Medical Center, Fort Snelling).

#### SW036: Infiltration and Inflow Removal Program

CLIC understands that the City must fund this new program at \$5 million annually to avoid charges of \$7.21 million annually by the Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES) under a surcharge system adopted for 2007. The surcharge program is designed to raise funds for communities to eliminate clear water entering the sanitary sewers carrying grey water to the MCES sanitary treatment facilities.

## 2006 Transportation Task Force Comments

#### **BIK (North and Northeast Projects):**

CLIC is pleased to see progress on bicycle trails and bike lanes in the North and Northeast part of the city this year, with more projects scheduled for construction in the next few years. In order to create a higher modal share of users in an underserved part of the city, it is imperative that these projects move forward as soon as funding is secured.

#### **BR114: Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge Program**

CLIC supports maintaining the historic Midtown Greenway Corridor Bridge system for the stated purpose of providing ".... structurally sound and aesthetically pleasing structures to serve the needs of business and residents in the area."

We are concerned that ".... some of the bridges may be reclassified from vehicular to bike and pedestrian facilities...." While this may be desirable, we see no mechanism in the proposal or plan to elicit the input and support of the businesses and residents in the area. As in any voluntary restriction or limitation of the existing transportation grid (reference PV 034: Elliot & 10<sup>th</sup> Ave. S Cul-de-sacs) the community affected should be the driving force. This is particularly important in the Lake St. area where the success of a revitalized, but fragile, business district is at stake.

Therefore, CLIC is opposed to any bridge in BR 114 being ".... reclassified from vehicular to bike and pedestrian facilities...." unless and until the residents and businesses in the areas affected are notified and consulted and fully support the reclassification through an open and public community process.

#### **PV005: Snelling Ave Extension**

Despite Met Council's (CMAQ) pledge of \$750,000 towards land acquisition, CLIC remains concerned about <u>total</u> project costs since the expenses related to purchasing and relocating the existing business is not included in the CBR. Further information from CPED about additional funding sources would be beneficial.

#### PV007: University Research Park (formerly SEMI)

This project exemplifies all the best that Minneapolis has to offer within its capital improvement plan: living wage jobs for tomorrow, major tax base enhancement, significant land reclamation and cleanup, long-needed attention to the natural environment, and ongoing cooperation with the nearby residential and university communities. Included are significant and appropriate considerations of roadway connections, storm water control, and a sports stadium for the University of Minnesota. If CLIC were restricted to approve only a handful of projects, this one would easily make the cut. "Way-to-go Minneapolis!"

#### PV008: I-35W & Lake St. Interchange Reconstruction

CLIC is concerned that with the completion of this project, there will be more cars and more parking lots competing for more street space and more land. CLIC always wants to encourage economic development and related jobs; however, CLIC wonders if this development is at the expense of disturbing an already unfair imbalance between families with children and two already unfriendly and divisive roadways. Thousands of more cars and the accompanying nightmares of rampant traffic control measures and further-reduced pedestrian acceptance may be too high a price to pay.

CLIC is also concerned that it seems as though more communication needs to happen with affected neighborhoods. We know that some communication has happened, but still feel that more dialogue with neighbors is required in order to know the full impact of this massive project.

Also, CLIC asks that bicycle commuters be more integrally considered in any plans for the area surrounding this project.

#### **PV019: Sixth Avenue North**

This street cries for attention. CLIC agrees: add curbs and gutters, parking lanes and bays, traffic lights and signage. But CLIC loudly asks that all available hundred-year-old materials and methods be used to complete this project, both in construction and final appearance. Let's not lose an opportunity to make this one of Minneapolis' last remaining 19<sup>th</sup> Century-standard cobblestone streets.

#### **PV020: Loring Greenway**

One of the Mayor's Priorities for the City of Minneapolis is to "Reweave the Urban Fabric", to restore the fabric that differentiates a great city from just another housing development or office park or shopping center. Creating a more "walk able" downtown to connect residents, workers and visitors to cultural institutions, sports arenas and restaurants/night clubs is a very worthwhile goal for a great City. However, we must first take care of infrastructure of this type that already exists. To this end CLIC moved funding for the Loring Greenway (PV020) forward last year rather than see continued deterioration of one of the most unique public spaces already created to achieve one of the Mayor's priorities. CLIC strongly recommends that the additional funding requested in 2007 be provided to see the completion of the renovations to the Loring Greenway.

#### PV027: Hennepin/Lyndale

Like-it-or-not, this is one of Minneapolis' major "choke-points", a vital automobile and mass transit route into downtown Minneapolis, a singular connection link between North and South Minneapolis. Obviously, this construction zone is going to be a nightmare. CLIC recommends that this project be adequately funded so as to allow completion in the shortest possible time, to include appropriate advance planning and construction overtime. And, CLIC strongly supports giving early and full attention to pedestrians and bicyclists who historically have been given little consideration through this route's "bottleneck" and "corkscrew" incarnations.

#### PV034: Elliot & 10th Avenue Cul-de-sacs

As new, vibrant, and perhaps parking-intensive developments emerge along this commercial corridor, more and more neighborhoods may look at the precedent set by this project and request cul-de-sacs for their areas. Cul-de-sacs can weaken the Minneapolis grid-style street layout and pose challenges to emergency vehicles. We recommend that alternative traffic-calming measures be sought after and implemented.

#### PV035: TH121/Lyndale Avenue South

The neighborhoods have completed the South Lyndale master planning process and it has been approved by the City Council in February 2006. With the approval of a master plan, the City will propose to change this area from a "potential growth" area to a "growth" area. The plan is expected to go before the Met Council in the fall of 2006. The catalyst in the master plan includes a realignment of TH121 to the east side of the roadway. The realignment will potentially calm traffic in the area and also free up land for redevelopment. The respective jurisdictions are in discussions to finalize the proposal in order to meet the concepts of the master plan.

Yesterday's nightmare: For many years, TH121/Lyndale was a full-freeway-standards access route to and from I-35W, before the Interstate carved its trench through South Minneapolis neighborhoods and created the bad-compromise intersection with the Cross-town freeway. Today's promise: The planned reconstruction of the I-35W Cross-town area should make the TH121/Lyndale route's enormous footprint unnecessary. Tomorrow's outlook: New homes and jobs, improved safety and esthetics, intensely involved neighborhoods and highly leveraged funding. This is one of CLIC's favorite projects and we support the effort to move forward to implement the master plan.

#### PV043: 54th Street West

The Public Works department identified a complete street reconstruction from Upton Avenue South to Penn Avenue South. The CLIC committee supports this project; however, the committee requests the proposal extend west to Xerxes Avenue and possibly east to Lyndale Avenue. This street has a significant amount of traffic and could also incorporate an extension of the bike path system.

### **PW001: Public Works Project Enhancements**

CLIC notes that during the last year, the City Council budgeted for "Public Works Project Enhancements" in each of fiscal years 2007 – 2010. We can assume this is a worthy initiative, and would have rated it together with other capital improvement projects in this cycle. However, no information was provided to CLIC to help in making this judgment. For this reason, CLIC has not rated this initiative nor recommended funding dollars for it in this cycle. CLIC believes its role is to review projects based on comprehensive written submissions and presentations by City officials, weigh each project against other worthy projects for City development, and advise the City Council and Mayor of our opinions on priorities. Without any information concerning the Public Works Project Enhancement initiative, CLIC is not able to satisfactorily perform this function and give proper guidance.

### **SWK01: Defective Hazardous Sidewalks/Complete Gaps**

CLIC considers this a high priority project and recommends that when setting prioritized sidewalks, Public Works take into consideration the location of higher populations of people with disabilities.

#### **TR006: Priority Vehicle Control System**

CLIC finds this project to be extremely valuable for the safety of all residents. Because of the value this project seems to have, CLIC questions why Public Works rates this project as only "desirable."

#### WTR(All): Water Projects

CLIC recommends that present and future water proposals have a rate pro forma component tied to each proposal in order to clearly delineate the impact of the project on the current rates. This information will allow CLIC to establish a cost benefit analysis and payback examination to assist in prioritizing the impact on Minneapolis and the appropriate suburban rate-payers. In addition, the rate proposal pro forma needs to be determined by the Minneapolis Water Department. In the past, the Finance Department has assumed the responsibility; clearly, the Water Department is responsible and accountable for the rate charged.

When this year's Water proposals were reviewed for the five year period 2007 – 2011, the total request was for \$232 million dollars in enterprise bonding; two of the proposals each listed an operating savings of \$500,000 a year. This begs the questions; could any of this saving result in a pro forma rate decrease? Furthermore WTR09, Ultrafiltration Program, total capital cost is \$150 million dollars, spent over 7 years. If this project were below budget, would this have a rate impact? Minneapolis can be proud to have one of the finest water systems with the best and softest water in the country.

#### WTR09: Ultrafiltration Program

If membranes require replacement every 6-7 years, then bond accordingly rather than annually to create reserve. Also, if higher rates reduce water usage, membranes should require less frequent replacement, which would presumably make up for lost revenue.

#### **WTR12: Water Distribution Improvements**

Top priority should be given to cleaning and repairing the ENTIRE water distribution system faster and this process should be systematic rather than driven by complaints. At the current rate of repair, it will take 80 years to clean the entire distribution system. It seems negligent to run such clean and expensive water through a dirty distribution system.

#### **WTR14: Water Facilities Security Improvement**

The security impact and the vulnerability of the Minneapolis water supply are a state and federal concern. Therefore, CLIC strongly recommends that the Minneapolis Water Department negotiate with the state and federal governments for complementary funding. It continues to surprise CLIC that Homeland Security funds are not available for these security improvements.

#### WRT16: Minneapolis/St. Paul Interconnection

CLIC agrees with the findings of the Minneapolis Water Works Citizen Advisory Committee and supports completing the Interconnection between the St. Paul Regional Water Services and Minneapolis Water Works for several reasons. The proposed Interconnection creates a 60 million gallons per day (MGD) reserve water capacity if needed due to emergency situation from natural or man-made disasters or plant outage, it develops a moderate cost 20 MGD finished water storage facility at the Dale Street Reservoir, it enhances flexibility and construction and repair cost savings by allowing for managed shut down of the water plants, and it furthers a regional perspective on drinking water management.

CLIC recommends that Minneapolis initiate a concerted effort to reach agreement with the St. Paul Regional Water Services to conclude a joint powers agreement for the interconnection of the municipal water utilities. Both cities need additional storage capacity for finished water in case of an emergency condition and for large scale scheduled repairs, improvements and maintenance. Strong leadership is now needed to formalize and implement the Interconnection as the most cost effective means to achieve reserve water capacity for each city and its utility customers.

# MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
	<u></u>							
2007	MBC01	Life Safety Improvements	100	0	0	0	100	100
2008			200	0	0	0	200	200
2009			300	0	0	0	300	300
2010			300	0	0	0	300	300
2011			340	0	0	0	340	340
Total			1,240	0	0	0	1,240	1,240
2007	MBC02	Mechanical Systems Upgrade	635	0	0	0	635	635
2008		•	500	0	0	0	500	500
2009			500	o	0	_ 0	500	500
2010			500	0	0	0	500	600
2011			500	0	0	0	500	760
Total			2,635	0	0	0	2,635	2,995
2007	MBC04	Tower & Interior Court	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Elevators	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			95	0	0	0	95	90
2010			100	o	0	0	100	100
2011			100	0	0	0	100	225
Total			295	0	0	0	295	415

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	735	0	0	0	735	735
2008	700	0	0	0	700	700
2009	895	0	0	0	895	890
2010	900	0	0	0	900	1,000
2011	940	0	0	0	940	1,325
Total Municipal Bldg Commission	4,170	0	0	0	4,170	4,650

# LIBRARY BOARD FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
YEAR	ID	IIILE	NDD	MOA	Addin	1104101 2.10		7
2007	MPL09	Nokomis Library Capital	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Improvements	200	o	0	0	200	0
2009		Note: Other funding for all	1,600	o	0	0	1,600	0
2010		Library projects refers to	1,600	o	0	800	2,400	0
2011		Library Referendum dollars.	80	o	0	720	800	0
Total			3,480	0	0	1,520	5,000	0
2007	MPL11	Walker Community Library	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		•	0	0	0	_ 0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	MPL13	Hosmer Library Capital	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			440	이	0	60	500	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			440	0	0	60	500	0
2007	MPL14	Roosevelt Community Library	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Capital Improvements	250	0	0	363	613	0
2009			500	0	0	0	500	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			750	0	0		1,113 850	0
2007	MPL15	Southeast Community Library	850	0	0	1 1		0
2008		Capital Improvements	0	0	0	1,110 500	1,110 500	0
2009			0	0	0	500	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0		2,460	0
Total			850	0	<b>0</b>		2,460	0
2007	MPL16	Washburn Community Library	0	0	0		0	0
2008		Capital Improvements	0	0	0		0	0
2009			0	0	0	60	500	0
2010			440	-1	0	1 1	500	0
2011	<u> </u>		0	0	0		500	0
Total	<u> </u>		440	U		60	500	

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	850	. 0	0	0	850	0
2008	450	0	0	1,473	1,923	0
2009	2,100	0	0	500	2,600	0
2010	2,480	0	0	920	3,400	0
2011	80	0	0	720	800	0
Total Library Board	5,960	0	0	3,613	9,573	0

## PARK BOARD FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM

## CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

ľ	<b>PROJECT</b>	PROJECT	NDB &			OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
			100			ol	400	0
2007		Community and Neighborhood	400	0	0	0	405	0
2008		Center Rehabilitation	405	0	-	0	467	0
		d requests include Net Debt Bonds	467	0	0			•
	& Park Car	pital Levy.	541	0	0	0	541	0
2011			270	0	0	0	270	0
Total			2,083	0	0	0	<b>2,083</b> 670	0
2007	PRK02	Site & Totlot Rehabilitation	670	0	0	0		0
2008			1,155	0	0	0	1,155	0
2009			900	0	0	- 0	900	0
2010			475	0	0	0	475	0
2011			260	0	0	0	260	0
Total			3,460	0	0	0	3,460	0
2007		Rehab of Shelter Buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		and Wading Pools	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PRK04	Athletic Field Renovation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	이	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	. 0	0
2010			150	0	0	0	150	0
2011			150	. 0	0	0	150	0
Total			300	0	0	0	300	0
2007	PRK05	Tier 2 Athletic Fields	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	.0
2007	PRK06	Service Center Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			O	0	0	0	0	0
2009			o	o	0	0	0	0
2010			0	o	0	0	0	0
2011			0	o	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	. 0	0	0	0
2007	PRK07	Tennis Court Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			o	0	0	o	0	0
2009			o	0	0	0	0	0
2010			o	0	0	o	0	o
2010			o	0	0	o	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PRK09	HVAC Improvements	60	0	0		60	0
2007	111103	The improvement	0	0	0	1 _1	0	0
2008			o	0	0	] :	0	0
1 1			377	0	0	1	377	0
2010			450	0	0	1	450	i
2011 Total	ļ		887	0	0			0

# PARK BOARD FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	NDB &			OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	PRK11	Roof Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			251	0	0	0	251	0
2011			420	0	0	0	420	0
Total			671	0	0	0	671	0
2007	PRK12	Community Skate Parks	450	0	0	0	450	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	_ 0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			450	0	0	0	450	0
2007	PRK14	Complete Park Renovation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0.	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PRK15	New Park Facilities	0	o	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	이	0	0
2009			0	0	0	이	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PRKDT	Diseased Tree Removal	0	0	500	이	500	0
2008			0	o	500	0	500	0
2009			0	0	500	0	500	0
2010			0	0	500	0	500	0
2011			0	0	500	0	500	0
Total			0	0	2,500	0	2,500	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB & Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	1.580	0	500	0	2,080	. 0
2008	1,560	0	500	0	2,060	0
2009	1,367	0	500	0	1,867	0
2010	1,794	0	500	0	2,294	0
2011	1,550	0	500	0	2,050	0
Total Park Board	7,851	0	2,500	0	10,351	0

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	PSD01	Facilities - Repair and	795	0	0	0	795	0
2008		Improvements	1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
2009			1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
2010			1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
2011			1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
Total			5,195	0	0	0	5,195	0
2007	PSD06	Pioneer & Soldiers Memorial	0	0	0	·0	0	0
2008		Cemetery Fencing Rehab	o	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	o	0
2010			250	. 0	0	0	250	0
2011			o	0	. 0	0	0	0
Total			250	0	0	0	250	0
2007	PSD07	Border Maintenance Facility	0	0	0	0	이	0
2008			o	0	0	o	o	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	. 0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	795	0	0	0	795	0
2008	1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
2009	1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
2010	1,250	0	0	0	1,250	0
2011	1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
Total Facility Improvements	5,445	0	. 0	0	5,445	0

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# STREET PAVING CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	T			OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
ILAK	1							
2007	PV001	Parkway Paving	720	0	50	0	770	0
2008		, ,	500	0	50	0	550	0
2009		'	500	0	50	0	550	o
2010			900	o	50	o	950	o
2011			900	o	50	o	950	0
Total			3,520	0	250	0	3,770	0
2007	PV003	Street Renovation Program	1,036	0	280	<sup>-</sup> 0	1,316	0
2008			1,616	О	487	o	2,103	o
2009			2,406	0	830	0	3,236	0
2010		(See Sewer section for Storm	2,850	o	1,432	0	4,282	0
2011		Sewer related work.)	2,850	0	578	0	3,428	0
Total			10,758	0	3,607	0	14,365	0
2007	PV004	CSAH Paving Program	400	0	750	0	1,150	3,000
2008		(County State Aid Highway)	400	o	750	o	1,150	3,000
2009	1	(County Class Tarking Transport	400	0	750	o	1,150	3,000
2010			750	o	750	o	1,500	3,000
2011			850	o	750	o	1,600	3,000
Total	<u> </u>		2,800	0	3,750	0	6,550	15,000
2007	PV005	Snelling Ave Extension	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	1 0000	Showing , we make the	460	o	510	l o	970	o
2009			0	0	0	o	0	o
2010			٥	0	0	ol	0	o
2010	1		o	0	0	o	0	О
	<del> </del>		460	0	510	0	970	0
<b>Total</b> 2007	PV006	Alley Renovation	200	0	65	0	265	0
2007	F V 000	Paley Renovation	200	0	65	o	265	o
1			200	0	65	0	265	o
2009			200	0	65	0	265	0
2010	1		200	. 0	65	: :	265	o
2011	<del> </del>		1,000	0	325	<del>                                     </del>	1,325	0
Total	PV007	University Research Park	350	200	646		1,696	4,999
2007	PVUU	Other = CPED contributions	300	500	328	1 !	1,325	1,700
2008		Non-Approp = State of MN	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		(See Sewer section for Storm		0	0	1	7,000	14,000
2010		1	400	835	835	1 1	2,600	1
2011	<del> </del>	Sewer related work.)	1,050	1,535	1,809		12,621	25,699
Total	51,000	1 25 M S 1 also St Interchange	1,030	1,000	1,505	0,227	0	,
2007	PV008	I-35W & Lake St Interchange	0	n	٥	ا	n	o
2008	1	Reconstruction	0	0	0	١	0	1
2009			0	0	0	1 .1	0	
2010		1	1		0	1 1	0	1
2011	<del> </del>	ļ	0	0	0	<u> </u>		
Total	1	1.0511/0	0		0		0	
2007	PV009	I-35W Crosstown Interchange	0	0	1		0	0
2008	1	Reconstruction	0	0	0		15	
2009			15	1	0	1 1	13	1
2010			0	ł	ł i	1		1
2011			0				0 15	<del></del>
Total	1		15	0	0	0	15	

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# STREET PAVING CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	1D	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
			<del></del>			<del></del>		
2007	PV019	6th Ave N	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	이	0	0	0	0
2009			290	1,271	229	이	1,790	0
2010			0	0	0	이	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			290	1,271	229	0	1,790	. 0
2007	PV020	Loring Greenway	400	0	0	이	400	0
2008			0	0	0	이	0	0
2009			0	o	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			400	0	0	0	400	0
2007	PV023	28th Ave S	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	이	0	0
2009			o	o	0	0	0	0
2010			771	3,918	840	0	5,529	0
2011			0	O	0	0	0	0
Total			771	3,918	840	0	5,529	0
2007	PV027	Hennepin/Lyndale	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
2009			0	o	0	0	0	0
2010			0	o	0	o	0	0
2011			489	3,421	618	5,983	10,511	0
Total			489	3,421	618	5,983	10,511	0
2007	PV028	Franklin/Cedar/Minnehaha	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Intersection Realignment	0	o	0	0	0	0
2009		Other = Federal Govt 2,727 &	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		Hennepin County 100	30	660	267	2,827	3,784	0
2011		,	- 0	0	0	o	0	0
Total			. 30	660	267	2,827	3,784	0
2007	PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	,	to E 28th St)	750	2,500	2,742	0	5,992	0
2009		,	750	2,567	2,743	o	6,060	0
2010		(See Sewer section for Storm	o	o	0	o	0	. 0
2011		Sewer related work.)	o	О	0	o	0	0
Total	<u> </u>		1,500	5,067	5,485	0	12,052	0
2007	PV031	27th Ave NE (RR Crossing)	19	55	0	171	245	0
2008	1 ,,,,,	Other = Federal Govt	o	0	0	o	0	o
2009		0	o	o	0	О	0	o
2009		_	0	0	0	o	0	0
2010		a	o	o	0	o	0	0
Total			19	55	0		245	0
	PV032	Lasalle Ave S	0	0	0		0	0
2007	F V U 3 Z	Lasane Ave o	0	n	ő	o o	0	0
2008			0	0	0	o	n	0
2009		(See Sewer section for Storm	1,580	4,408	488	1 -1	6,476	0
2010	1	Sewer related work.)	1,380	4,400	0	1 1	0,470	o
2011	<u> </u>	Sewei leiateu WUIK.)	1,580	4,408	488		6,476	0
Total	L		1,560	4,400	400	<u> </u>	0,470	

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

### STREET PAVING

**CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET** 

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID.	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	PV034	Elliot & 10th Ave S Cul-de-sacs	0	0	0	o	0	0
2008			0	0	0	o	0	0
2009			0	0	0	o	0	0
2010		1	0	0	0	0	o	0
2011			0	0	0	ő	0	0
Total	<del>                                     </del>		0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	1 1000	Triz i/zyriadio / tro c	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	n	0	0
2010		1	400	1,650	400	ol	2,450	0
2010			400	1,650	400	اة	2,450	0
Total			800	3,300	800	0	4,900	0
2007	PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt	0	0,500	000	0	4,300	0
2008	1 7000	Segments	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		Cegments	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
2010		(See Sewer section for Storm	o	ol	0	0	o	0
2010	İ	Sewer related work.)	o	0	0	0	0	0
Total		Sewer related work.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PV041	2nd Avenue North	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	17041	Zild Aveilde North	17	387	76	0	480	0
2009			0	0	0	0	400	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	٥
1			0	0	0	o	0	٥
2011			17	387	76	0	480	0
Total 2007	PV042	50th St. E.	0	0	0	0	460	0
2007	PV042	3001 St. E.	- 1	0	0	0	0	٥
1			0	ő	0	0	- 1	0
2009		(See Sewer section for Storm	0	o o	- 1	0	0	
2010		Sewer related work.)	0	0	- 0 0	0	0	0
2011		Sewer related work.)	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Total	PV043	54th St. W.	0	0	0	0	0	
2007	PV043	19401 St. VV.	356	-1	93	7.1	1,967	0
2008			1	1,518	Į.	0	1	0
2009		(See Sewer section for Storm	0	0	0		0	0
2010			0	ol ol	0	0	0	0
2011		Sewer related work.)	0	0	93	0	4 067	0
Total	70.44	Hanne Harbay Tarminal	356	1,518		0	1,967	0
2007	PV044	Upper Harbor Terminal	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Redevelopment	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	<b>-</b>		100	0	0	0	100	0
2007	PV00R	Reimbursable Paving Projects	0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2008			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2009			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2010		1	0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
2011			0	0	0	3,500	3,500	0
Total			0	0	0	17,500	17,500	0

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# STREET PAVING CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	3,125	255	1,791	4,171	9,342	7,999
2008	4,699	4,905	5,101	3,697	18,402	4,700
2009	4,561	3,838	4,667	3,500	16,566	3,000
2010	7,481	10,636	4,292	13,327	35,736	17,000
2011	6,089	5,906	3,296	10,013	25,304	8,000
Total Street Paving	25,955	25,540	19,147	34,708	105,350	40,699

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

### SIDEWALK PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
0007	SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks	175	<u> </u>	2,185	o	2,360	0
2007 2008	SVVNUI	Delective Hazardous Glucwarks	185	o	2,295	1 1	2,480	
2009			195	o	2,410	0	2,605	
2010			205	0	2,530	1 1	2,735	
2011			215	0	2,655	<del> </del>	2,870	
Total			975	0	12,075	0	13,050	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	175	0	2,185	0	2,360	0
2008	185	0	2,295		2,480	0
2009	195	0	2,410	0	2,605	0
2010	205	0	2,530	0	2,735	0
2011	215	0	2,655	0_	2,870	0
Total Sidewalk Program	975	0	12,075	0	13,050	0

## HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE

#### CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment	1,250	0	0	0	1,250	
2008	ŧ	Project	1,000	o	0	0	1,000	
2009	İ	(See also Water & Sewer	750	o	0	0	750	
2010		sections for contributions to	o	o	0	o	0	(
2011		this project for 2007 - 2008)	o	0	0	0	0	(
Total		### ##################################	3,000	0	0	0	3,000	(

NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
1.250	0	. 0	0	1,250	0
1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
750	0	0	0	750	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	3,000	0
	1,250 1,000	1,250 0 1,000 0 750 0 0 0	1,250 0 0 1,000 0 0 750 0 0 0 0 0	NDB         MSA         ASSM         TRANSFERS           1,250         0         0         0           1,000         0         0         0           750         0         0         0           0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0	NDB         MSA         ASSM         TRANSFERS         TOTAL           1,250         0         0         0         1,250           1,000         0         0         0         1,000           750         0         0         0         750           0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0

Note: Additional funding sources for this project will be appropriated as agreements are finalized.

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

## **BRIDGES**

## CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

1-	PROJECT	PROJECT			1	OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	BR101	Major Bridge Repair and	200	0	0	0	200	0
2008		Rehabilitation	200	o	0	0	200	0
2009			200	o	o	o	200	0
2010			200	o	o	o	200	0
2011			350	o	o	o	350	0
Total			1,150	0	0	0	1,150	0
2007	BR106	1st Avenue South Bridge over	0	0	0	- o	0	0
2008		the Midtown Greenway	o	o	o	o	0	0
2009			0	o	o	o	0	0
2010			ol	0	o	0	0	0
2010			o	0	О	o	0	o
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	BR109	Camden Bridge Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	BICIOS	Other = Federal Govt 3,353 &	ol	0	o	o	0	0
2009		State of MN 839	209	1,589	o	4,192	5,990	o
2010		oldic of third ood	0	0	o	0	0	o
2010			اه	0	0	0	0	0
Total			209	1,589	0	4,192	5,990	0
2007	BR111	10th Ave SE Bridge Arch	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	Dixiii	Rehabilitation	o	0	o	0	0	) о
2009		Other = Federal Govt 3,256 &	o	o	o	0	0	0
2010		State of MN 814	o	0	o	o	0	) о
2010		oldic or mir or r	254	1,016	o	4,070	5,340	0
Total			254	1,016	0	4,070	5,340	
2007	BR112	Nicollet Ave Bridge from	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	DIXI12	Lake St to 29th St	ا	0	o	0	0	0
2009		Lake of to 20th of	0	0	o	0	0	0
2010			o	0	o	0	0	0
2010			ol	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	BR114	29th St Corridor Bridge	o	0	0	0	0	0
2008	Dittit	Rehab Program	o	0	0	0	0	0
2009		l l	150	o	0	0	150	0
2010			347	0	o	0	347	·   0
2010			653	o	o	0	653	
Total			1,150	0	0	0	1,150	0
2007	BR116	Bikeway/Bike Bridge 94246	0	0	0	0	0	
2007	טונוט	Rehabiltation	0	0	0	О .	0	0
2009		Other = Federal Govt 3,852 &	o	0	0	0	0	0
		State of MN 963	0	. 0	0	0	o	o c
2010		GIGIE OF WIN SOO	1,610	0	ő	4,815	6,425	d
2011			1,610	0	0			
Total	DD447	1st Street North Bridge over	1,010	0	0		C	
2007	BR117		0	0	0	١		
2008		Bassetts Creek		0	0	0	Č	1
2009				0	0	0	C	1
2010			0	_	0	· ·	ì	1
2011 Total			0	0				

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# BRIDGES CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT					OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
	,	parameter and the second secon						
2007	BR118	Minnehaha Ave Bridge over	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Rehabiltation	0	0	0	이	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0		0	0
2007	BR119	Minnehaha Parkway Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		over Minnehaha Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	BR120	3rd Street North Bridge over	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Bassetts Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	이	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0		0	0
Total			0	0	0		0	0
2007		Lowry Avenue Bridge over	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		the Mississippi River	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	,		0	0	0	1	0	0
2011			300	0	0		300	0
Total			300	0	0		300	0
2007	BR122	46th St. Pedestrian Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			5	0	0		5	0
Total			5	. 0	0	0	5	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	200	0	0	0	200	0
2008	200	0	0	0	200	0
2009	559	1,589	0	4,192	6,340	0
2010	547	0	0	0	547	0
2011	3,172	1,016	0	8,885	13,073	0
Total Bridges	4,678	2,605	0	13,077	20,360	0

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

2007 1 2008 2009 2010	TR003	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2008 2009	TR003							
2008 2009	TR003						200	0
2009	i i	LED Replacement Program	200	0	0	0	200	0
1 1	- 1		0	0	. 0	0	275	0
2010			275	-1	0	0	200	0
. (	1		200	0	0	0	200	0
2011			0	0	0	0	675	0
Total			675			- 0	0/3	0
2007	TR004	Computerized Traffic Control	0	0	0	-1	185	0
2008		Communication	10	0	0	175	0	
2009		Other = Hennepin County	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	, 0	0		0
Total			10	0	0	175	185	0
2007	TR005	Controller Conversion	200	200	0	200	600	- 1
2008	- 1	Other = Hennepin County	200	200	0	200	600	0
2009			250	140	0	0	390	0
2010			275	0	0	0	275	0
2011			500	0	0	0	500	0
Total			1,425	540	0	400	2,365	0
2007	TR006	Priority Vehicle Control System	0	이	0	0	0	0
2008			25	400	0	0	425	0
2009	1		0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			25	400	0	0	425	0
Total			50	800	0	0	850	0
2007	TR007	Traffic & Pedestrian Safety	350	112	0	133	595	0
2008		Improvements	468	130	0	237	835	0
2009		Other = combination of Fed	409	61	0	325	795	0
2010		Govt & Hennepin County	489	50	0	211	750	0
2011		For 2007 = \$133 Henn Cty	362	74	0	134	570	0
Total			2,078	427	0	1,040	3,545	0
2007	TR008	Parkway Street Light	175	0	0	0	175	0
2008		Replacement	300	0	0	0	300	0
2009			150	0	0	0	150	1
2010			150	0	0	1 1	150	. 0
2011			350	0	0	0	350	0
Total			1,125	0	0	0	1,125	0
2007	TR010	Traffic System Management	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Other = combination of Fed	130	525	0	_,	2,755	E .
2009		Govt, Hennepin County &	140	833	0	1 1	3,890	
2010		University of Minnesota	148	440	0	2,787	3,375	
2011		•	0	0	0		0	
Total			418	1,798	0	7,804	10,020	
	TR011	City Street Light Renovation	240	0	0	0	240	i
2008		,	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009	,		О	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			350	0	0	0	350	
Total			690	0		0	690	0

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

## TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING

CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	TR013	Railroad Crossing Safety	0	313	0	272	585	0
2008		Improvements	0	1,936	0	1,004	2,940	0
2009		Other = Hennepin County &	229	o	0	1,151	1,380	0
2010	]	State of MN	1,056	210	0	1,279	2,545	0
2011		2007 = 272 Hennepin County	0	260	0	0	260	0
Total			1,285	2,719	0	3,706	7,710	0
2007	TR014	LRT TOD Improvements	o	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Other = Hennepin County	0	o	100	300	400	0
2009			0	o	0	0	0	0
2010			o	0	0	0	0	0
2011	l		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	100	300	400	0
2007	TR015	Safe Routes to School	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Other = Federal Govt	0	o	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			100	0	0		300	0
Total			100	0	0		300	0
2007	TR00R	Reimbursable Transportation	0	0	0	1	600	0
2008		Projects	0	0	0	1	600	0
2009		·	0	0	0	600	600	0
2010			0	0	0	11	600	0
2011			0	0	0	600	600	0
Total			0	0	0	3,000	3,000	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	1.165	625	0	1,205	2,995	0
2008	1,233	3,191	100	4,616	9,140	0
2009	1,453	1,034	0	•	7,480	0
2010	2,318	700	0	4,877	7,895	0
2011	1,687	734	0	934	3,355	0
Total Traffic Control & Street Lighting	7,856	6,284	100	16,625	30,865	0

(GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE)

# BIKE TRAILS CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

Γ	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
						3000	3580	
2007	BIK01	Cedar Lake Trail (Phase 3)	580	0	0	3000	3300	0
2008		Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0.	١	0	o o
2009			0	0	U	0	U	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	o l
2011			0	0	0	0 000	0 500	- 0
Total			580	0	0	3,000	3,580 0	0
2007	BIK04	18th Ave NE Bikeway	0	0	0	١	0	0
2008		Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0	4.750	0.405	
2009			375	0	0	1,750	2,125	0
2010			375	0	0	1,750	2,125	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	- 0
Total			750	0	0	3,500	4,250	0
2007	BIK13	RiverLake Greenway (East of	0	0	0	0	0	U
2008		I-35W)	0	0	0	0	4.050	ا
2009		Other = Federal Govt	250	0	0	1,000	1,250	O O
2010			0	0	0	0	0	o o
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			250	0	0	1,000	1,250	0
2007	BIK14	Midtown Greenway Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		over the Mississippi River	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	Ì	Other = Federal Govt 2,900 &	200	0	0	3,900	4,100	0
2010	ļ	Hennepin County 1,000	0	0	0	0	0.	0
2011			0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>	0	0
Total			200	0	0	3,900	4,100	0
2007	BIK17	Upper River Trails - Phase 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Other = Federal Govt	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009		,	0	0	0	이	0	0
2010			200	0	0	4,500	4,700	0
2011			0			<del>                                     </del>	0	0
Total			200	0	0	4,500	4,700	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	580	0	0	3,000	3,580	0
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	825	0	0	6,650	7,475	0
2010	575	0	0	6,250	6,825	0
2011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Bike Trails	1,980	0	0	15,900	17,880	0

# PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING SUMMARY CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	7,290	880	3,976	8,376	20,522	7,999
2008	8,517	8,096	7,496	8,313	32,422	4,700
2009	9,343	6,461	7,077	19,335	42,216	3,000
2010	12,376	11,336	6,822	24,454	54,988	17,000
2011	12,363	7,656	5,951	19,832	45,802	8,000
Total PW General Infrastructure	49,889	34,429	31,322	80,310	195,950	40,699

# STORMWATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

YEAR	PROJECT ID	PROJECT TITLE	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	REIMB	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains	0	220	0	220	0
2008			0	220	0	220	0
2009			0	220	0	220	0
2010			0	220	o	220	0
2011			0	220	0	220	0
Total			0	1,100	0	1,100	0
2007	SW004	Implementation of US EPA	0	150	0	150	0
2008		Storm Water Regulations	0	150	0	150	0
2009			0	150	o	150	. 0
2010			0	150	0	150	0
2011			0	150	0	150	0
Total			0	750	0	750	0
2007	SW005	Combined Sewer Overflow	1,000	0	0	1,000	0
2008		Improvements	1,500	0	0	1,500	0
2009			1,500	0	0	1,500	0
2010			1,500	o	0	1,500	0
2011			1,500	0	0	1,500	0
Total			7,000	0	0	7,000	0
2007	SW011	Storm Drains & Tunnels	1500	0	0	1,500	0
2008		Rehabilitation Program	1,500	o	0	1,500	0
2009			2,000	o	0	2,000	0
2010			2,000	0	0	2,000	0
2011			2,000	0	0	2,000	
Total			9,000	0	0	9,000	0
2007	SW030	Alternative Storm Water	500	0	0	500	0
2008		Management Strategies	500	0	0	500	1
2009			500	0	0.	500	0
2010			500	0	0	500	0
2011			750	0	0	750	
Total			2,750	0	0	2,750	
2007	SW031	Lake Hiawatha / Blue Water	1,500	0	0	1,500	0
2008	Ì	Partnership	390	0	0	390	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	
Total			1,890	0	0	1,890	
2007	SW032	I-35W Storm Tunnel	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Reconstruction	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	i
2011			1,000	0	0		
Total			1,000	0	0	1,000	0
2007	SW033	Flood Area 22- Sibley Field	0	1	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	ł	0	0	0
2010			0		0	0	0
Total			0		0	0	0

# STORMWATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	REIMB	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
YEAR	ID	IIILE	DONDO	KEVENOL	1,21112		
2007	SW034	Flood Area 21 - Bloomington	0	. 0	0	0	0
2007	30057	Pond	o	o	o	o	o
2008		lond	0	o	o	o	o
1			0	0	o	ol	o
2010			0	o	o	o	o
2011 Total			0	0	0	0	0
2007	SW00R	Reimbursable Sewer and	0	0	3,000	3,000	0
1	SWOOK	Storm Drain Projects	0	0	3,000	3,000	o
2008		Storm Brain Frojects	0	0	3,000	3,000	o
2009			0	0	3,000	3,000	o
2010			0	0	3,000	3,000	o
2011			0	0	15,000	15,000	0
Total		Nicollet Ave Bridge from	0	0	0	0	0
2007	BR112	Lake St to 29th St	0	0	ol	o	o
2008		Lake St to 29th St	0	0	o	0	o
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	o	0	0
2011	<u> </u>		0	0	0	0	0
Total		D. I. D. J.		250	0	250	0
2007	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment	0	250 250	0	250	0
2008		Project - new infrastructure	0	1 1	0	0	0
2009		contribution	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0		0	500	0
Total			0		0	129	0
2007	PV003	Street Renovation Program	0	1 1	- 1	192	0
2008			0	1	0	314	0
2009	l		0	1	0	357	0
2010			0	1	0	670	0
2011			0				0
Total			0			500	0
2007	PV007	University Research Park	500		0	300	0
2008			300 800		0	800	0
2009					0	000	0
2010	ı		0	1	0	800	_
2011			800			0.400	
Total			2,400	T*****		100	0
2007	PV029	Chicago Ave S (E 14th St to	0	1	1		l
2008		E 28th St)	0	1	1	1 _	0
2009			0	1 .	i		1
2010			0	1	1	i	0
2011			0		<del></del>		
Total			0				
2007	PV032	LaSalle Ave S	0	1		1 _	l .
2008			0	1	į	i	0
2009	-		0	i	1	L.	0
2010			0	1	1	1	1
2011	1		0				<del> </del>
Total			0	424	0	424	0

# STORMWATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	SEWER	SEWER		CITY	NON		
YEAR	ID	TITLE	BONDS	REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL	APPROP		
2007	PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0	0		
2008			0	0	0	0	0		
2009			0	0	0	0	0		
2010			0	500	0	500	0		
2011			0	0	0	0	0		
Total			0	500	0	500	0		
2007	PV038	Miscellaneous Oiled Dirt	0	0	0	0	0		
2008		Segments	0	0	0	0	0		
2009			0	0	ol	. 0	0		
2010			0	0	0	0	0		
2011			0	0	0	0	0		
Total			0	0	0	0	0		
2007	PV042	50th St. E.	0	0	0	0	0		
2008			0	0	0	0	0		
2009			0	0	0	0	0		
2010			0	o	0	0	0		
2011			0	0	0	0	0		
Total			0	0	0	0	0		
2007	PV043	54th St. W.	0	0	0	0	0		
2008			0	203	o	203	0		
2009			0	0	0	0	0		
2010			0	0	0	0	0		
2011			0	0	0	0	0		
Total			0	203	0	203	0		

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	5,000	749	3,000	8,749	0
2008	4,190	1,158	3,000	8,348	0
2009	4,800	684	3,000	8,484	0
2010	4,000	1,651	3,000	8,651	0
2011	6,050	1,040	3,000	10,090	0
Total Stormwater Sewer Fund	24,040	5,282	15,000	44,322	0

# SANITARY SEWER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	SEWER	SEWER		CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	BONDS	REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL	APPROP
	1	10 " 7 10 10	500		्	500	0
2007	SW001	Sanitary Tunnel and Sewer	500	0	0	500	0
2008		Rehabilitation Program	500	0	0		0
2009			500	0	0	500	0
2010	1		500	0	0	500	0
2011			500	0	0	500	0
Total	<u> </u>		2,500	0	0	2,500	0
2007	SW012	Local Sewer Reconnection	0	0	0	0	0
2008		to 1-MN-320	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	. 0	0
2010	-		0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0
2007	SW035	War Department Tunnel	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	이	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0		0	100	0
Total			0		0	100	0
2007	SW036	Infiltration & Inflow Removal	5,000	1	0	5,000	0
2008		Program	5,000		0	5,000	0
2009			5,000		0	5,000	0
2010			5,000		0	5,000	0
2011	1		5,000	0	0	5,000	、 0
Total			25,000	0	0	25,000	0
2007	SW037	Irving Sewer Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	SEWER BONDS	SEWER REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	5,500	0	0	5,500	0
2008	5,500	0	0	5,500	0
2009	5,500	0	0	5,500	0
2010	5,500	0	0	5,500	0
2011	5,500	100	0	5,600	0
Total Sanitary Sewer Fund	27,500	100	0	27,600	0

# WATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	WATER	WATER	OTHER/	
YEAR	ID	TITLE	BONDS	REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL
2007	WTR09	Ultrafiltration Program	4,500	1	0	4,500
2008			15,500		0	15,500
2009			32,500	1	0	32,500
2010			17,500	0	0	17,500
2011			0	0	0	0
Total			70,000		0	70,000
2007	WTR12	Water Distribution	0	4,500	이	4,500
2008		Improvements	0	4,500	0	4,500
2009			0	4,750	0	4,750
2010			0	4,750	0	4,750
2011			0	5,000	_ 0	5,000
Total			0	23,500	0	23,500
2007	WTR14	The MWW Facilities Security	500	0	0	500
2008		Improvement	500	0	0	500
2009		•	0	0	0	0
2010			0	o	o	o
2011			0	o	o	0
Total			1,000	0	0	1,000
2007	WTR15	Pump Station No. 4	6,000		0	6,000
2008		Rehabilitation	5,000	i :	o	5,000
2009	ĺ	Tondom distribution	0	o	o	0
2010			0	o	o	0
2010			0	o	o	o
Total			11,000		0	11,000
2007	WTR16	Minneapolis/St. Paul Inter-	0	0	0	0
2007	Wikio	connection	0	o	o	o
2009		Note: Supporting contributions	0	0	0	o
2009	1	from St. Paul or other sources	0	o	0	0
1	ĺ	are not yet determined.	2,000	1	0	2,000
2011		are not yet determined.	2,000		0	2,000
Total	WCD47	Treatment Modifications Based	2,000	0	0	0
2007	WTR17	1 4	0	0	ol	o
2008 2009		on New Regulations	0	0	ől	o
2009			ō	1,000	0	1,000
2011			0		0	0
Total			0	1,000	0	1,000
2007	WTR22	New Filter Presses	0	0	0	0
2008	]		0	1	0	0
2009			0	1	0	0
2010			1,050		0	
2011			5,355		0 0	5,355 <b>6,405</b>
Total		District Materials	6,405			2,000
2007	WTR0R	Reimbursable Water Projects	0	1	2,000	
2008			0		2,000	2,000
2009			0	1	2,000	2,000
2010			0	1	2,000	2,000
2011			0		2,000	
Total			0	0	10,000	10,000

# WATER FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	WATER	WATER	OTHER/			
YEAR	ID	TITLE	BONDS	REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL		
2007	BR112	Nicollet Ave Bridge from	0	0	0	0		
2008		Lake St to 29th St	0	0	0	0		
2009		:	0	0	0	0		
2010			O	0	0	0		
2011	}		0	0	0	0		
Total			0	0	0	0		
2007	CDA01	Heritage Park Redevelopment	0	250	0	250		
2008		Project - new infrastructure	0	250	0	250		
2009		contribution	0	0	0	0		
2010	}		0	0	0	0		
2011		·	0	0	0	0		
Total			0	500	0	500		
2007	PV035	TH121/Lyndale Ave S	0	0	0	0		
2008			0	0	0	0		
2009			0	0	0	0		
2010			0	325	0	325		
2011			0	0	0	0		
Total			0	325	0	325		

WATER BONDS	WATER REVENUE	REIMB	TOTAL
11 000	4.750	2.000	17,750
21,000	4,750	2,000	27,750
32,500	4,750	2,000	39,250
•	•		26,625
			14,355 125,730
	11,000 21,000 32,500 18,550 7,355	11,000 4,750 21,000 4,750 32,500 4,750 18,550 6,075	BONDS         REVENUE         REIMB           11,000         4,750         2,000           21,000         4,750         2,000           32,500         4,750         2,000           18,550         6,075         2,000           7,355         5,000         2,000

# PARKING FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	PARKING	PARKING	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLLE	BONDS	REVENUE	TOTAL	APPROP
,						
2007	RMP01	Parking Facilities - Repair	1,700	0	1,700	0
2008	1	and Improvements	1,700	0	1,700	0
2009			1,700	0	1,700	0
2010			1,700	0	1,700	o
2011			1,700	0	1,700	0
Total			8,500	0	8,500	0
2007	RMP03	Bicycle Parking	0	40	40	O
2008			0	35	35	o
2009			0	40	_ 40	o
2010			0	40	40	o
2011			О	40	40	0
Total			0	195	195	0

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	PARKING BONDS	PARKING REVENUE	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	1.700	40	1,740	0
2008	1,700	35	1,735	0
2009	1,700	40	1,740	0
2010	1,700	40	1,740	0
2011	1,700	40	1,740	0
Total Parking Fund	8,500	195	8,695	0

# SOLID WASTE FUND FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT	SOLID WASTE	SOLID WASTE	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID ID	TITLLE	BONDS	REVENUE	TOTAL	APPROP
p	·			<del>,</del>		
2007	PSD08	City/County Solid Waste	0	4,195	4,195	4,195
2008		Management Facility	0	0	0-	0
2009	İ	Non Approp = Hennepin	0	o	0	0
2010	ļ	County, equal project partner	0	o	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0
Total			0	4,195	4,195	4,195

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	SOLID WASTE BONDS	SOLID WASTE REVENUE	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	0	4,195	4,195	4,195
2008	0	0	0	0
2009	0	0	0	0
2010	0	0	0	0
2011	0	0	0	0
Total Solid Waste Fund	0	4,195	4,195	4,195

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIVE YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	7.290	880	3,976	8,376	20,522	7,999
2008	8,517	8,096	7,496	8,313	32,422	4,700
2009	9,343	6,461	7,077	19,335	42,216	3,000
2010	12,376	11,336	6,822	24,454	54,988	17,000
2011	12,363	7,656	5,951	19,832	45,802	8,000
Total Public Works General Infrastructure Improvements	49,889	34,429	31,322	80,310	195,950	40,699

ENTERPRISE FUND CAPITAL* FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ REIMB	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	23.200	9,734				5,000	37,934	0
2008	32.390	5,943				5,000	43,333	0
2009	44.500					5,000	54,974	0
2010	29,750	7,766				5,000	42,516	0
2011	20,605	6,180				5,000	31,785	0
Total Public Works Enterprise Fund Capital	150,445	35,097	0	(	) 0	25,000	210,542	0

<sup>\* -</sup> Enterprise funds include Stormwater & Sanitary Sewers, Water, Parking & Solid Waste.

CONSOLIDATED PUBLIC WORKS FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ REIMB	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	23,200	9.734	7,290	880	3,976	13,376	58,456	7,999
2007 2008	32,390	5,943	8,517	8,096	7,496	13,313	75,755	4,700
2009	44.500	5,474	9,343	6,461	7,077	24,335	97,190	3,000
2010	29,750	7,766	12,376	11,336	6,822	29,454	97,504	17,000
2011	20,605	6,180	12,363	7,656	5,951	24,832	77,587	8,000
Total Public Works Department Projects	150,445	35,097	49,889	34,429	31,322	105,310	406,492	40,699
Funding Breakdown by Major Revenue Sources		8.63%	12.27%	8:47%	7.71%	25.91%	100.00%	

(City Funding & Grant Sources where the City is the lead agency)

# CLIC Recommended Utility Rates Supporting the 2007 - 2011 CLIC Capital Recommendation

#### **Stormwater Rates**

Prior Year Approved Rates

riidi i cai Ap	DIOVEG IN	103	
Effective		Total	%
Date	Increase	Rate*	Change
01/01/05		8.72	
01/01/06	0.450	9.17	5.2%
01/01/07	0.400	9.57	4.4%
01/01/08	0.340	9.92	3.6%
01/01/09	0.000	9.92	0.0%
01/01/10			
01/01/11			

2007 CLIC Pro Forma Rates

Effective		Total	%
Date	Increase	Rate*	Change
01/01/05		8.72	
01/01/06		9.17	
01/01/07	0.400	9.57	4.4%
01/01/08	0.350	9.92	3.7%
01/01/09	0.250	10.17	2.5%
01/01/10	0.260	10.43	2.6%
01/01/11	0.260	10.69	2.5%

<sup>\* -</sup> Expressed in \$/Equivalent Stormwater Unit (ESU) where 1 ESU = 1,530 square feet of impervious (hard surface) area.

#### Sanitary Sewer Rates

Prior Year Approved Rates

Effective		Total	%
Date	Increase	Rate**	Change
01/01/05		2.00	
01/01/06	0.100	2.10	5.0%
01/01/07	0.090	2.19	4.3%
01/01/08	0.070	2.26	3.2%
01/01/09	0.060	2.32	2.7%
01/01/10	0.050	2.37	2.2%

2007 CLIC Pro Forma Rates

Effective		Total	%
Date	Increase	Rate**	Change
01/01/05		2.00	
01/01/06		2.10	
01/01/07	0.200	2.30	9.5%
01/01/08	0.130	2.43	5.7%
01/01/09	0.120	2.55	4.9%
01/01/10	0.120	2.67	4.7%
01/01/11	0.120	2.79	4.5%

#### **Water Rates**

Prior Year Approved Rates

Effective		Total	%
Date	Increase	Rate**	Change
01/01/05		2.50	
01/01/06	0.120	2.62	4.8%
01/01/07	0.050	2.67	1.9%
01/01/08	0.080	2.75	3.0%
01/01/09	0.050	2.80	1.8%
01/01/10	0.100	2.90	3.6%
01/01/11	0.050	2.95	1.7%

CLIC recommends keeping water rates the same as last year's approved budget with only a small increase in 2011.

For more details - see the cash basis pro formas for these funds

<sup>\*\* -</sup> Sanitary Sewer and Water Rates are expressed in \$/100 Cubic Feet

## Stormwater Fund Cash Basis Pro Forma - CLIC Recommended

	Actual 2005	Budget 2006	CSL 2007	Plan 2008	Plan 2009	Plan 2010	Plan 2011
Rate Increase on a cost per billing unit basis*			4.40%	3.70%	2.50%	2.60%	2.50%
Operating Revenues							
Utility Charges	26,896,492	30,508,000	28,835,729	29,902,651	30,650,217	31,447,123	32,233,301
State/Cty/Other Maintenance Agreement	913,691	964,455	959,606	959,606	959,606	959,606	959,606
Design & Misc. Revenue	1,168,949	884,368	1,084,368	1,084,368	1,084,368	1,084,368	1,084,368
Total Operating Revenues	28,979,132	32,356,823	30,879,703	31,946,625	32,694,191	33,491,097	34,277,275
Operating Expenses:							
Sewer Design	2,424,051	2,455,311	3,007,755	3,097,988	3,190,927	3,286,655	3,385,255
Sewer Maintenance	2,205,230	2,854,059	3,704,718	3,815,860	3,930,335	4,048,245	4,169,693
Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	2,525,980	1,780,434	1,842,749	1,898,031	1,954,972	2,013,622	2,074,030
General Fund Overhead	849,912	1,098,204	1,136,641	1,170,740	1,205,862	1,242,038	1,279,299
Combined Sewer Overflow	1,210,158	2,285,616	1,967,027	2,026,038	2,086,819	2,149,424	2,213,906
Street Cleaning	6,354,645	6,147,822	6,880,713	7,087,134	7,299,748	7,518,741	7,744,303
I&I Surcharge Government Service Fee	1 264 540	4 070 574	0.000.000	0.004.044	0.457.700	0.000.000	0.000.000
Budget vs Actual Spending Adjustment	1,364,519	1,973,571	2,033,926 (1,000,000)	2,094,944	2,157,792	2,222,526	2,289,202
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10.001.100	(1,000,000)		(1,000,000)	(1,000,000)	(1,000,000)	(1,000,000)
Total Operating Expenses	16,934,496	17,595,017	19,573,529	20,190,735	20,826,457	21,481,251	22,155,688
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses)							
Capital Related							
Bond Proceeds	1,257,619	4,072,000	5,000,000	4,190,000	4,800,000	4,000,000	6,050,000
Reimbursed Capital Revenue	2,199,805	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
Total Capital Related Revenues	3,457,424	7,072,000	8,000,000	7,190,000	7,800,000	7,000,000	9,050,000
Non-Capital Related							
Special Assessment	260,548	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000
Transfers to Other Funds/MERF Debt Svc.	(261,845)	(147,696)	(170,980)	(270,001)	(401,060)	(410,135)	(419,199)
Total Non-Capital Related Revenues/Expenses	(1,297)	(32,696)	(55,980)	(155,001)	(286,060)	(295,135)	(304,199)
Net Income	15,500,763	21,801,110	19,250,195	18,790,890	19,381,674	18,714,711	20,867,388
SEWER CAPITAL PROGRAM							
Capital Expenditures-Bonds Funded.	(1,257,619)	(4,072,000)	(5,000,000)	(4,190,000)	(4,800,000)	(4,000,000)	(6,050,000)
Pay As You Go Capital-Reimbursed	(2,199,805)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)
Capital Expenditures-Sewer Revenue	(9,502,853)	(2,245,000)	(749,000)	(1,158,000)	(684,000)	(1,651,000)	(1,040,000)
Total Capital Expenditures	(12,960,277)	(9,317,000)	(8,749,000)	(8,348,000)	(8,484,000)	(8,651,000)	(10,090,000)
SEWER - DEBT SERVICE PLANS					•		
Debt Service Payments - Currently Structured	(12,681,144)	(10,741,327)	(10,382,124)	(10,510,953)	(8,235,037)	(3,351,052)	(3,251,632)
Debt Service-Future Bond Funded Capital	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(527,343)	(1,174,866)	(1,717,490)	(2,339,112)	(2,857,130)	(3,640,633)
Total Debt Service	(12,681,144)	(11,268,670)	(11,556,990)	(12,228,443)	(10,574,149)	(6,208,182)	(6,892,265)
Net Income (loss) after Debt & Capital Expenditures	(10,140,658)	1,215,440	(1,055,795)	(1,785,553)	323,526	3,855,530	3,885,123
Cash		. <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Beginning Balance	13,657,676	3,517,018	4,732,458	3,676,663	1,891,110	2,214,636	6,070,166
Net Increase/(Decrease)	(10,140,658)	1,216,440	(1,055,795)	(1,785,553)	323,526	3,855,530	3,885,123
Ending Balance	3,517,018	4,732,458	3,676,663	1,891,110	2,214,636	6,070,166	9,955,289

<sup>\* -</sup> The rate increase for 2007 is 4.4% greater on a cost per unit basis. The dollar amount of revenue reflects a downward adjustment to the 2006 budget due to a revised 2006 budget estimate.

## Sanitary Sewer Fund Cash Basis Pro Forma - CLIC Recommended

	Actual 2005	Budget 2006	CSL 2007	Plan 2008	Plan 2009	Plan 2010	Plan 2011
Rate increase on a cost per billing unit basis*			9.50%	5.70%	4.90%	4.70%	4.50%
Operating Revenues							
Utility Charges	34,032,149	35,959,562	35,924,571	37,972,272	39,832,913	41,705,060	43,581,788
Sewer Availability Charges	2,685,275	1,500,000	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842	2,384,842
Design & Misc. Revenue	200,689	364,141	605,841	605,841	605,841	605,841	605,841
Total Operating Revenues	36,918,113	37,823,703	38,915,254	40,962,955	42,823,596	44,695,743	46,572,471
Operating Expenditures:							
Sewer Design	248,215	396,099	395,372	407,233	419,450	432,034	444,995
Sewer Maintenance	5,140,759	5,911,188	6,070,700	6,252,821	6,440,406	6,633,618	6,832,626
Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	26,515,815	27,073,853	28,021,437	28,862,080	29,727,943	30,619,781	31,538,374
General Fund Overhead	2,597,730	2,225,179	2,191,832	2,257,587	2,325,315	2,395,074	2,466,926
Government Service Fee Budget vs Actual Spending Adjustment	1,672,133	990,193	998,609	1,028,567	1,059,424	1,091,207	1,123,943
Total Operating Expenses	36,174,652	36,596,512	37,677,950	38,808,289	39,972,537	41,171,713	42,406,865
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses) Capital Related							
Bond Proceeds			5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000
Total Capital Related Revenues	•		5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	5,500,000
Non-Capital Related							
Transfers to Other Funds/MERF Debt Svc.	(203,236)		(170,980)	(270,001)	(401,060)	(410,135)	(419,199)
Total Non-Capital Related Revenues/Expenses	(203,236)	•	(170,980)	(270,001)	(401,060)	(410,135)	(419,199)
Net Income (loss)	540,226	1,227,191	6,566,325	7,384,666	7,949,999	8,613,895	9,246,407
SEWER CAPITAL PROGRAM				,			
Capital Expenditures-Sewer Revenue	(191,466)	(625,000)	•	•	•		(100,000)
Capital Expenditures-Bonds Funded.	·	•	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)
Total Capital Expenditures	(191,466)	(625,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,600,000)
SEWER - DEBT SERVICE PLANS							
Debt Service-Future Bond Funded Capital			(712,275)	(1,424,550)	(2,136,825)	(2,849,101)	(3,561,376)
Total Debt Service		•	(712,275)	(1,424,550)	(2,136,825)	(2,849,101)	(3,561,376)
Net Income (loss) after Debt & Capital Expenditures	348,760	602,191	354,050	460,116	313,174	264,794	85,031
Cash		<del></del>					
Beginning Balance	5,832,116	6,180,876	6,783,067	7,137,117	7,597,232	7,910,406	8,175,200
Net Increase/(Decrease)	348,760	602,191	354,050	460,116	313,174	264,794	85,031
Ending Balance	6,180,876	6,783,067	7,137,117	7,597,232	7,910,406	8,175,200	8,260,231

<sup>\*-</sup> The rate increase for 2007 is 5.2% greater than a revised 2006 budget estimate of \$34,148,831. The cost per billing unit on the utility bill will actually be 9.5% greater than the 2006 cost per billing unit.

#### Water Fund Cash Basis Pro Forma - CLIC Recommended

	Actual 2005	2006 Budget Revenue only	Budget Revised Revenue 2006	CSL 2007	Plan 2008	Plan 2009	Plan 2010	Plan 2011
Rate increase on a cost per billing unit basis*				1.90%	3.00%	1.80%	3.60%	1.70%
Operating Revenues:								
Utility Charges Mpls	47,744,999	63,897,000	50,524,060	51,488,260	53,030,979	53,995,179	55,923,578	56,887,778
Utility Charges Suburb	9,339,864		9,479,774	9,701,340	10.005.543	10.263.038	10.634.310	10.891.805
All other operating revenue	3,645,766	3,376,053	3,726,053	3,781,944	3,895,402	3,838,673	3,953,833	3,896,253
Adjusted for revised revenue estimate	00 700 000	A7 675 656	00 700 000					
Total Operating Revenues	60,730,628	67,273,053	63,729,888	64,971,544	66,931,924	68,096,890	70,511,721	71,675,836
Operating Expenses:								
Security Personnel	911,559		1,268,862	650,000	669,500	689,585	710,273	731,581
Water Design	657,732		1,128,498	1,187,700	1,223,331	1,260,031	1,297,832	1,336,767
Contribution To Other Funds	875,412		1,384,830	1,817,191	1,871,707	1,927,858	1,985,694	2,045,264
General Fund Overhead	3,773,637		2,702,368	4,429,375	4,562,256	4,699,124	4,840,098	4,985,301
General Fund Overhead-Utility Billing			· · ·			-	•	-
Government Service Fee	1,651,883		2,072,122	2,178,337	2,243,687	2,310,998	2,380,328	2,451,737
Administration & Permits	1,202,302		1,128,080	1,059,771	1,091,564	1,124,311	1,158,040	1,192,782
Treatment - Operations	12,761,761		14,449,217	13,058,934	13,450,702	13,854,223	14,269,850	14,697,945
Treatment - Maintenance	7,465,339		6,733,750	7,075,508	7,287,773	7,506,406	7,731,599	7,963,547
Distribution	7,692,392		8,190,789	7,961,456	8,200,300	8,446,309	8,699,698	8,960,689
Major Repairs & Replacement Unspent Appropriation	4,929,336		6,599,214	6,993,640	7,203,449	7,419,553	7,642,139	7,871,403
Total Operating Expenses	41,921,354		(2,200,000) 43,457,730	(2,200,000) 44,211,912	(2,200,000) 45,604,269	(2,200,000) 47,038,397	(2,200,000) 48,515,549	(2,200,000) 50,037,016
Operating Margin	18.809.275		20,272,158	20,759,632	21,327,655	21,058,493	21,996,172	21,638,820
	,,		,,		2.,02.,000	21,000,100	21,000,112	21,000,020
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses)								
Capital Related	40 000 404		00 050 000	44 000 000	04 000 000	00 500 000		
Bond Proceeds	12,380,491		22,250,000	11,000,000	21,000,000	32,500,000	18,550,000	7,355,000
Reimbursed Capital Revenue	1,162,281		2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
Grant Proceeds Total Capital Related Revenue (Expenses)	13,542,772		24,250,000	13,000,000	23,000,000	34,500,000	20,550,000	9,355,000
. , , ,	10,012,712		21,200,000	10,000,000	20,000,000	04,000,000	20,000,000	0,000,000
Non-Capital Related								
Transfers from Other Funds	218,500							
Transfers to Other Funds/MERF Debt Service	(689,005)		(274,517)	(663,578)	(1,047,881)	(1,556,528)	(1,591,747)	(1,626,926)
Total Non-Capital Related Revenue (Expenses) Total Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)	(470,505) 13,072,268	•	(274,517) 23,975,483	(663,578) 12,336,422	(1,047,881) 21,952,119	(1,556,528) 32,943,472	(1,591,747) 18,958,253	(1,626,926) 7,728,074
(Experience)						02/0/0/112	10,000,200	7,120,011
Net Income	31,881,542		44,247,641	33,096,054	43,279,774	54,001,965	40,954,425	29,366,894
WATER - CAPITAL PROGRAM	د . د ميور							
Pay As You Go Capital - Reimbursed/Assessed Expenditu	(791,522)		(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)	(2,000,000)
Capital Expenditures - Bonds	(12,380,491)		(22,250,000)	(11,000,000)	(21,000,000)	(32,500,000)	(18,550,000)	(7,355,000)
Capital Expenditures - Water Revenue	(2,891,000)	1	(6,250,000)	(4,750,000)	(4,750,000)	(4,750,000)	(6,075,000)	(5,000,000)
Capital Expenditures- Interconnect (grant)	-		•	-	-	•	-	-
Carry-Over Pay As You Go Capital Costs Total Capital ( Expenditures)	(16,063,013)		(30,500,000)	(17,750,000)	(27,750,000)	(39,250,000)	(26,625,000)	(14,355,000)
	(,,-		(,,	(,,	(=:,::=;::=,	(,,	(==,===,==,	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
WATER - DEBT SERVICE PLANS	// / 007 /00		/40 /04 07	(40.0.0.0.000	(0.000.100:	/ <del>7</del> <b>7</b> / 5 / 5 / 5 / 5	,	.m.a
Debt Service Payments - Currently Structured Debt	(14,897,168)	•	(13,462,251)	(12,842,075)	(9,868,406)	(7,746,819)	(7,941,135)	(7,848,737)
Debt Service-Future Bond Funded Capital Program Total Debt Service	(14,897,168)		(13,462,251)	(1,167,854) (14,009,929)	(2,879,253) (12,747,659)	(4,867,811) (12,614,631)	(6,074,553) (14,015,689)	(7,052,514) (14,901,251)
Net Income (loss) after Debt & Capital Expenditures		,	, , , ,	, , , , ,				
ret income (1055) after Dept & Capital Expenditures	921,361		285,390	1,336,125	2,782,115	2,137,334	313,736	110,642
Cash with 2007 CLIC Recommended Capital Program							······································	
Beginning Balance	4,102,831		1,992,032	2,277,423	3,613,547	6,395,663	8,532,996	8,846,733
Reconciling Adjustment - Net Income/Timing	(2,110,799)	<u>.</u>	285,390	1,336,125	2,782,115	2,137,334	313,736	110,642
Ending Balance	1,992,032		2,277,423	3,613,547	6,395,663	8,532,996	8,846,733	8,957,375

<sup>\* -</sup> The rate increase for 2007 is 1.9% greater on a cost per unit basis.

# MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	ART01	Art in Public Places	200	0	0	0	200	0
2008			200	0	0	0	200	0
2009 2010			200 200	0	0	0	200	0
2010			200	0	0	0	200 200	0
Total			1,000	0	0	Ö	1,000	0
2007	BIS02	Central Traffic Signal	100	0	0	0	100	0
2008		Computer Replacement	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009			50	0	0	o	50	0
2010			50	0	0	- 0	50	0
2011			o	0	0	o	0	0
Total			300	0	0	0	300	0
2007	BIS03	Enterprise Document	150	0	0	10	160	0
2008	2,000	Management	100	0	0	0	100	0
2009		Other = Dept Operating funds	50	0	0	0	50	0
2010		Cure. Dopt operating funds	50	0	0	o	50	0
2011			50	o	0	0	50	0
Total			400	0	0	10	410	0
2007	BIS04	Enterprise Infrastructure	400	0	0	0	400	0
2008	5.001	Capacity Upgrade	500	0	o	o	500	0
2009		- Oupdoing Opgrado	600	o	0	o	600	0
2010			700	0	0	0	700	0
2010			800	0	0	0	800	0
Total			3,000	0	0	0	3,000	0
2007	BIS05	Enterprise Reporting	300	0	0	0	300	0
2008	2.000	Zinoipiico i topoliung	300	o	0	ol	300	o
2009			50	ol	0	ol	50	0
2010			50	o	ő	ol	50	0
2010			50	0	0	0	50	0
Total			750	0	0	0	750	0
2007	BIS06	GIS Application	200	0	0	0	200	0
2008	<i>D</i> ,000	Infrastructure Upgrade	150	o	o	0	150	0
2009		masauctare opgrade	150	ol	0	0	150	0
2010			500	ol	0	0	500	0
2010		·	0	0	o	0	300	0
Total			1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
2007	BIS07	HPIS Upgrade	0	0		0	0	
2007	Dioor	HRIS Upgrade	0		0	0	o	0
2008		·	-1	0	ŧ.	0	1	0
2009			0	-1	0	٥	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0	0	0
Total	DICOO	Enterprise Timekeening	0	<del></del>		0	0	0
2007	BIS09	Enterprise Timekeeping	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Consolidation	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

# MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

i	PROJECT PROJECT			MCA ACCM		OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
0007	DICAG	Einange System	300		0	3,000	3,300	
2007	BIS10	Finance System	300	0	0	250	3,300 250	0
2008		Consolidation/Upgrade	0	0		_	_	0
2009			U	0	0	0	0	U
2010			0	0	0	o o	o o	0
2011			0	0	0	0	2.550	0
Total	DIGAA	O't - id- Flasti-	300	0	0	3,250	3,550	0
2007	BIS11	Citywide Electronic	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Citations System	U	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	_ 0	U	0
2010			0	0	0	0	400	0
2011			400	0	0	0	400	0
Total			400	0	0	0	400	0
2007	FIR01	City/County EOC/Training	2,580	0	0	0	2,580	2,000
2008		Facility	1,996	0	0	0	1,996	1,000
2009			959	0	0	0	959	0
2010	•		0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			5,535	. 0	0	0	5,535	3,000
2007	MPD01	MPD Forensic Laboratory	250	0	0	0	250	0
2008			500	0	0	0	500	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			0	0	0	이	0	0
2011			1,850	0	0	0	1,850	0
Total		·	2,600	0	0	0	2,600	0
2007	MPD02	MPD Evidence Unit	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010			이	0	0	0	0	0
2011			405	0	0	0	405	0
Total			405	0	0	0	405	0
2007	MPD03	MPD STOP	이	0	0	0	0	0
2008			0	0	0	0	0	0
2009			0	0	0	0	0	0
2010		ļ	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	. 0	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	PSD03	Facilities-Space Improvements	455	0	0	0	455	0
2008			112	0	0	o	112	0
2009			66	0	0	0	66	0
2010			0	0	0	0	0	0
2011			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			633	0	0	0	633	0
2007	PSD04	Facilities - Security	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008		Management	o	0	0	o	0	0
2009		Ĭ	0	0	0	o	0	0
2010			0	0	0	o	0	0
2011			0	0	o	О	0	0
Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

# MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS IN THE FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

	PROJECT	PROJECT				OTHER/	CITY	NON
YEAR	ID	TITLE	NDB	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP

FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ TRANSFERS	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	4,935	0	0	3,010	7,945	2,000
2008	3,958	0	0	250	4,208	1,000
2009	2,125	0	0	0	2,125	0
2010	1,550	0	0	0	1,550	0
2011	3,755	0	0	_ 0	3,755	0
Total Miscellaneous Projects	16,323	0	0	3,260	19,583	3,000

# CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS FIVE YEAR CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	NDB &	T		OTHER/	CITY	NON
FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	TRANSFERS	TOTAL	APPROP
2007	15,390	880	4,476	11,386	32,132	10,734
2008	15,185	8,096	7,996	10,036	41,313	6,400
2009	15,830	6,461	7,577	19,835	49,703	3,890
2010	19,100	11,336	7,322	25,374	63,132	18,000
2011	18,688	7,656	6,451	20,552	53,347	9,325
Total General Infrastructure Improvements	84,193	34,429	33,822	87,183	239,627	48,349

ENTERPRISE FUND CAPITAL* FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	ENTERPRISE BONDS	ENTERPRISE REVENUES	NDB	MSA	ASSM	OTHER/ REIMB	CITY TOTAL	NON APPROP
2007	23,200	9.734				5.000	37.934	0
2008	32,390	5,943				5,000	43,333	0
2009	44,500	5,474				5,000	54,974	0
2010	29,750	7,766				5,000	42,516	Ō
2011 -	20,605	6,180				5,000	31,785	0
Total Enterprise Fund Capital	150,445	35,097	0	0	0	25,000	210,542	0

<sup>\* -</sup> Enterprise funds include Stormwater & Sanitary Sewers, Water, Parking & Solid Waste.

CONSOLIDATED CITY-WIDE CAPITAL	ENTERPRISE	<b>ENTERPRISE</b>	NDB &		- I	OTHER/	CITY	NON
FUNDING SUMMARY BY YEAR	BONDS	REVENUES	Park Levy	MSA	ASSM	REIMB	TOTAL	APPROP
000		. 70.	45.000		=0	40.000		
2007	23,200	9,734	15,390	880	4,476	16,386	70,066	10,734
2008	32,390	5,943	15,185	8,096	7,996	15,036	84,646	6,400
2009	44,500	5,474	15,830	6,461	7,577	24,835	104,677	3,890
2010	29,750	7,766	19,100	11,336	7,322	30,374	105,648	18,000
2011	20,605	6,180	18,688	7,656	6,451	25,552	85,132	9,325
Total City-Wide Capital - All Sources	150,445	35,097	84,193	34,429	33,822	112,183	450,169	48,349
ling Breakdown by Major Revenue Sources	33.42%	7.80%	18.70%	7.65%	7.51%	24.92%	100.00%	

(City Funding & Grant Sources where the City is the lead agency)

# CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS FIVE YEAR CAPITAL INVESTMENT ALLOCATION CLIC RECOMMENDED BUDGET

COMMISSION/BOARD/DEPARTMENT	2007-2011 TOTAL*	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	(in thousands)	
MUNICIPAL BUILDING COMMISSION	4,170	0.9%
LIBRARY BOARD	9,573	2.1%
PARK BOARD	10,351	2.3%
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	5,445	1.2%
- STREET PAVING	105,350	23.4%
- SIDEWALK PROGRAM	13,050	2.9%
- HERITAGE PARK INFRASTRUCTURE	3,000	0.7%
- BRIDGES	20,360	4.5%
- TRAFFIC CONTROL & STREET LIGHTING	30,865	6.9%
- BIKE TRAILS	17,880	4.0%
- STORMWATER SEWERS	44,322	9.8%
- SANITARY SEWERS	27,600	6.1%
- WATER	125,730	27.9%
- PARKING	8,695	1.9%
- SOLID WASTE	4,195	0.9%
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT TOTAL	406,492	90.3%
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS	19,583	4.4%
TOTAL CLIC RECOMMENDED CAPITAL PROGRAM	450,169	100.0%

<sup>\* -</sup> Represents the total Five Year CLIC Recommended Budget from City funding sources for projects where the City is the lead agency.

# APPENDIX A

# 2006 CLIC Capital Guidelines

**CITY GOALS** 

PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**CLIC RATING FORM** 

#### **CITY GOALS**

The Adopted Minneapolis City Goals and Expectations and the policies of the City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan will be used by the Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee (CLIC) in the evaluation of capital requests and in developing recommendations for the City's 2007-2011 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The eight City Goals are:

- 1. Build communities where all people feel safe and trust the City's public safety professionals and systems.
- 2. Maintain the physical infrastructure to ensure a healthy, vital and safe City.
- 3. Deliver consistently high quality City services at a good value to our taxpayers.
- 4. Create an environment that maximizes economic development opportunities within Minneapolis by focusing on the City's physical and human assets.
- 5. Foster the development and preservation of a mix of quality housing types that is available, affordable, meets current needs, and promotes future growth.
- 6. Preserve and enhance our environmental, economic and social realms to promote a sustainable Minneapolis.
- 7. Promote public, community and private partnerships to address disparities and to support strong, healthy families and communities.
- 8. Strengthen City government management and enhance community engagement.

For details about Minneapolis City Goals & Expectations, see hyperlink below. To activate link, hold down Ctrl key and click on the link.

http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/council/goals/

#### City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan

The City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan provides guidance to elected officials, city staff, businesses, neighborhoods and other constituents. This document outlines the details of the City's vision, by focusing on the physical, social and economic attributes of the city and is used by elected officials to ensure that decisions contribute to and not detract from achievement of the City's vision. The plan can be found on the City's web site at the following address:

http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/citywork/planning/planpubs/mplsplan/index.html

#### PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following evaluation system adopted by the City Council and Mayor will be used by CLIC as the basis for evaluating all requests for capital improvements. This system shall be uniformly applied in evaluating and rating all capital improvement requests submitted for each year of the five year plan.

The Evaluation System has three sections as follows:

		Point Allocation
I.	PROJECT PRIORITY	100
II.	CONTRIBUTION TO CITY GOALS OPERATING COST CONSIDERATIONS	70 -25 to +25
III.	QUALITATIVE CRITERIA	105
	Total Possible Points	300

#### I. PROJECT PRIORITY

Project Priority provides preferential evaluation based on the following attributes:

- Capital projects defined in terms of <u>Level of Need</u> 0 to 60 points.
- 2. Capital projects In Adopted Five Year Plan 0 to 30 points.
- 3. Coordinated planning and prioritized funding for an **Integrated Project** 10 points.

<u>Level of Need Definitions</u> - The level of need is the primary criteria defining a capital request's priority. Requests are determined to be *critical*, *significant*, *important* or *desirable* for delivering municipal services.

**Critical** - Describes a capital proposal as indispensable and demanding attention due to an immediate need or <u>public endangerment</u> if not corrected. Few projects can qualify for this high of a classification. Failure to fund a critical project generally would result in suspension of a municipal service to minimize risk to the public.

Point Range 51 - 60

**Significant -** Describes a capital proposal deemed to have a high priority in addressing a need or service as previously indicated by policymakers and/or submitting agency priority rankings. This designation may also pertain to a proposal that is an integral and/or inseparable part of achieving completeness of a larger improvement or series of improvements. Point Range 41 - 50

**Important** - Describes a capital proposal addressing a pressing need that can be evaluated as a standalone project. Proposals may be considered "important" if they are required to maintain an expected standard of service, achieve equity in service delivery or increase efficiency in providing public services. Failure to fund an "important" proposal would mean some level of service is still possible.

Point Range 21 - 40

**Desirable** - Describes a capital proposal that would provide increased public benefits, enhancement of municipal services or other upgrading of public infrastructure. Failure to fund a "desirable" project would not immediately impair current municipal services. Point Range 0 - 20

#### In Adopted Five Year Plan

Is the project currently funded in the adopted 2006-2010 Capital Improvement Program?

#### Point Allocation -

- Identified for funding as a 2007 project	30
- Identified for funding as a 2008-2010 project	
- New proposal for 2011 funding	
- New proposal for 2007-2010, not in the current Five Year	

#### Integrated Project - 10 points

The intent of this category is to encourage joint project planning and funding efforts with other City Departments, Independent Boards and Commissions, other Governmental Units or private developments.

Awarded to capital requests meeting **both** of the following criteria:

- Integral part of a multi-faceted or multi-jurisdictional project or an inseparable part of a larger improvement or series of improvements; and
- Completion of the whole multi-faceted project would be jeopardized if this project is not funded

#### II. CONTRIBUTION TO CITY GOALS

**Contribution to City Goals** is defined as the extent to which capital improvement proposals contribute to achieving the City's Goals and the detailed expectations applicable to each. In addition, projects must support the policies of the City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan as cited in this document, as well as help to ensure the overall maintenance and improvement of the City's infrastructure systems.

Capital improvement proposals will be evaluated for their overall ability to:

- achieve City goals and support the policies of the City of Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan
- ensure maintenance of City infrastructure systems and equitable delivery of municipal services
- encourage coordinated planning efforts with project partners and the community

Point ranges for meeting the above objectives will be as follows:

Strong Contribution 46 - 70 Moderate Contribution 16 - 45 Little or No Contribution 0 - 15

**Operating Cost Considerations** will be analyzed in evaluating all capital requests. Emphasis will be placed on whether the request will maintain or reduce current operating and maintenance costs or would add to or create new operating or maintenance costs. Accuracy and completeness of information provided to operating cost questions and ability to demonstrate progress made with resources provided in prior years will be factored into points allocated for this major category. Operating cost implications should also be discussed at the CLIC Presentations. Points for this category will range from minus 25 to plus 25.

#### III. QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

**Qualitative Criteria** provide for evaluation of proposals related to the seven attributes described below. Evaluators should allocate points in this area using the definitions described below as well as by considering the impact these areas have in helping to achieve City Goals. Each of these criteria will be used to score proposals within a point range from 0 to 15. It is likely that most capital requests will not receive points for all attributes.

Neighborhood Livability & Community Life -- Extent proposal serves to preserve or improve the quality, safety and security of neighborhoods in order to retain and attract residents and engage community members. Consideration shall be given to proposals that are included in an NRP neighborhood action plan approved by the City Council and/or proposals that include NRP as a funding source.

Intent: to reward proposals that demonstrate potential to enhance the quality of life and public safety in neighborhoods and the community at large and to reward proposals in approved NRP Neighborhood Actions Plans or that include NRP funds.

2. **Public Benefit --** Extent proposal directly benefits a portion of the City's population by provision of certain services or facilities.

Intent: to award points based on the percentage of the city's population (382,618) that will benefit.

3. Capital Cost & Customer Service Delivery -- Extent proposal delivers consistently high quality City services at a good value to taxpayers and that City infrastructure investment is appropriately sized for effective service delivery.

Intent: to reward proposals that improve the quality, cost effectiveness and equity of municipal services delivered to all residents.

4. **Environmental Quality --** Extent proposal would preserve or improve the quality of the urban environment, including visual and other sensory attributes as well as natural resources.

Intent: to reward proposals contributing positively to the city's physical environment and/or conservation of natural resources.

5. **Collaboration & Leveraging Public/Private Investment --** Extent proposal reflects collaboration between two or more public or public-private organizations to more effectively and efficiently attain common goals and for which costs can be met with non-City funds or generate private investment in the City.

Intent: to reward proposals that represent collaborative efforts with multiple project partners and possibly conserve municipal funds through generating public and/or private investment in the City.

6. **Effect on Tax Base and/or Job Creation --** Extent proposal can be expected to preserve or increase the City's tax base and serve as a catalyst for job creation by the private sector.

Intent: to reward proposals that may have a positive effect on property values and thus have the potential for preserving or expanding the City's tax base and supporting job-intensive industries that provide living-wage jobs, especially for hard to employ populations.

7. **Intellectual & Cultural Implications** – Extent proposal would strengthen or expand educational, cultural, architectural or historic opportunities.

Intent: to reward proposals contributing to the City's intellectual and cultural growth, including promotion of historical preservation or architectural significance.

### **CLIC RATING FORM**

Project ID Number						
	Points					
Project Priority:	1 01110					
Level of Need		-				
Critical	51-60				-	
Significant	41-50					
Important	21-40				1	
Desirable	0-20				<del> </del>	
Desirable	0-20					
In Adopted Five Year Plan						
2007	30					
2008-2010	20					<del> </del>
2011	10					
New for 2007-2010	0					
11011 202 2007 2010						
Integrated Project	10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Integrated 1 To Jeet						
Sub-Total Project Priority						
Contribution to City Goals:						
Strong Contribution	46 - 70					
Moderate Contribution	16 – 45					
Little or No Contribution	0 - 15					
Entite of No Contribution	0-13		.,,.,.			
0 1: 0 1	-25 to +25					
Operating Costs:	-23 10 +23					
Sub-Total Goals & Operating Costs						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Qualitative Criteria:						
Neighborhood Livability & Community	0 – 15					
Life						
Public Benefit	0 – 15					
Capital Cost/Customer Service Delivery	0 – 15					
Environmental Quality	0 – 15					
Collaboration & Leveraging	0-15					
Effect on Tax Base & Job Creation	0 – 15					
Intellectual & Cultural Implications	0 – 15					
Sub-Total Qualitative Criteria						
Total Rating Points	300					
	Possible		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			



#### 2006 CLIC Schedule For the 2007 - 2011 Capital Budget Process

Working Group	Subject	Agenda/Topic(s) of Discussion	Date / Time	Location
CLIC Main Body & Staff	1st CLIC Mtg	Welcoming Kickoff & Introductions of CLIC members & staff NRP & Comprehensive Plan - meeting with NRP Director and Planning Director Discuss 2006 CLIC Schedule	Tuesday March 14th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	220 City Hall
Note: CLIC members bring binders back from last year.		Review prior CLIC Recommendation & changes made by the Mayor & City Coun Review CLIC Job Description & Recruitment Tool	cil	
CLIC Main Body & Staff	2nd CLIC Mtg	Visit with Mayor Staff & City Council President Johnson Introduction of new CLIC members Introduction to 2006 CLIC Capital Guidelines Description of CLIC Process for 2007 - 2011 & Capital Resources	Tuesday March 21st Noon to 12:45 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Task Force Members CLIC Task Force Staff		Break into CLIC Task Forces - determine where new members go. Select secretary for developing written comments.	12:45 to 1:30 p.m.	315/319 City Hall
Note: CLIC members bring binders back from last year.		Prepare for presentations - questions you should ask presenters  Discussion of Rating System and protocol for doing group ratings, bring any new members up to speed on ratings process.		
Capital Budget Preparers	Capital Requests Due	Turn in 2 hard copies of capital submittals - no more changes in database	Friday March 31st - Noon	325M
CLIC Main Body & Staff	3rd CLIC Mtg	CLIC members receive binder of completed capital submittals Answer any remaining questions about ratings, presentations, process, etc. Discuss strategy for bringing forward comments and how they will be managed.	Tuesday April 11th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	220 City Hall
		Homework Assignment - Read proposals prior to presentations		
CLIC Main Body & Staff	4th CLIC Mtg	First Day Presentation Session Establish Group Ratings for the day	Tuesday April 18th 8:15 a.m 5:00 p.m.	Park Board Headquarters
CLIC Main Body & Staff	5th CLIC Mtg	Second Day Presentation Session Establish Group Ratings for the day	Saturday April 22nd 8:15 a.m 5:00 p.m.	Park Board Headquarters
CLIC Main Body & Staff	6th CLIC Mtg	Third Day Presentation Session Establish Group Ratings for the day	Tuesday May 2nd 8:15 a.m 5:00 p.m.	Park Board Headquarters
CLIC Task Force Members CLIC Task Force Staff	7th CLIC Mtg	Task Forces work on individual and group comments - finish group ratings for any presentation days not yet completed.	Tuesday May 9th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	315/331 City Hal

#### 2006 CLIC Schedule For the 2007 - 2011 Capital Budget Process

Working Group	Subject	Agenda/Topic(s) of Discussion	Date / Time	Location
CLIC Task Force Members CLIC Task Force Staff	8th CLIC Mtg	Task forces work on individual and group comments	Tuesday May 16th	
CLIC Main Body & Staff	9th CLIC Mtg	1st draft of comments due to Executive Secretary by May 19th.	Noon - 1:30 p.m.	315/319 City Hall
,	our office with	Main body reviews comments together.  2nd draft of comments due to Executive Secretary by June 2nd.	Tuesday May 23rd Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary (Optional for other CLIC members)	Joint Public Hearing	CLIC & Planning Commission - Joint Public Hearing on 2006 Capital Plan	Thursday May 25th 5:05 p.m.	220 City Hall
All CLIC Members	Submit Ratings	Ratings submitted to Executive Secretary and CLIC Staff - May 26th	Friday May 26th	E-mail
CLIC Main Body & Staff	10th CLIC Mtg Ratings Done	Main body reviews and approves all comments - including any final changes . Final Summarized Ratings provided to CLIC members & discussed	Tuesday June 6th Noon to 1:30 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Task Force Chairs	Submit Comments	Final Comments provided to Executive Secretary	Friday June 9th - Noon	E-mail
CLIC Main Body & Executive Secretary	11th CLIC Mtg Comments Done	Distribute Final Comments to CLIC members  Work on CLIC NDB Recommendation - review funding plan prioritized by Ratings Handout Sewer & Water Details to be discussed on June 21st	Tuesday June 13th Noon to 2:00 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Executive Secretary	12th CLIC Mtg	Continue Work on CLIC NDB Recommendation Review Sewer & Water Recommendation for CLIC Report	Tuesday June 20th Noon to 2:00 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Main Body & Executive Secretary	13th CLIC Mtg	Finalize CLIC Recommendation for NDB & Sewer & Water	Tuesday June 27th Noon to 2:00 p.m.	319 City Hall
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary	CLIC Executives - Pre-Meeting	Discuss presentation strategy - review draft CLIC Report sections to be used in discussion with Mayor	Tuesday July 11th Noon to 1:00 p.m.	325M Conf Rm
CLIC Executive Committee & Executive Secretary	Meeting with R.T. Rybak	Executive Committee presents the 2007 - 2011 CLIC Recommendation to Mayor Rybak	1:00 to 2:30 p.m.	331 City Hall Conf Room C
Executive Secretary	CLIC Report Done	Distribute CLIC Report to CLIC Members, Mayor & City Council members	Wednesday July 19th	
Executive Secretary & CLIC Executive Committee	Council Overview on Capital	Mayor / CLIC Capital Overview presentation to W&M Committee with full City Council present	Tuesday October 17th Tentative Date	Council Chambers

# APPENDIX C

#### Joint Public Hearing Minutes

# Capital Long-Range Improvement Committee And Minneapolis Planning Commission

May 25, 2006 5:05 p.m.

CLIC 5-25-2006 transcription; part of the CPC-COW meeting.

Talking about the total dollars that are available for spending for 2007 through 2011 that are net debt dollars. Does not include MSA funding; federal funding or any state funding. This coming year there will be \$15,390,000, The \$4,435,000 reduction that line there represents is what is going to the Hiawatha campus. What is that? Public Works 28<sup>th</sup> through 26<sup>th</sup>.

Never heard of that as being referred to as a campus.

That is what that money is for.

And the same thing for 2008 and 2009? Yes. It is a total of 10 million dollars over that period of time.

How much money was set aside for Hiawatha this year? More like 3 million + or -.

Are we going to see this sort of master plan for a campus for public works at some point?

CM Gary Schiff: not only do we need to see it, but the neighborhood involvement needs to begin, it has not even started in East Phillips.

Handouts were distributed and explained as an overview.

Can you comment on the quality of participation from the library board and park board, because I know this has been an issue before.

There is an issue in terms of the park board and what they want to do going forward in the presentations to CLIC. They have a letter from John Gurban that general capital projects in effect, like roofing and the tot lots and the other things they have presented in the past, after 2008 those are not going to be going to CLIC. They will be going to the Board of Estimate and Taxation. And then what they will do is bring projects to CLIC which will be for an entire park and that entire park setting, 2009 and 2010 those are the two years that CLIC will be looking at an entire park, in all of the changes that will be made to that park from the ground level to the building and whatever else they have to do. So that will encompass everything to bring the park up to snuff.

First of all it asks for a lot more money, what we're looking at in 2009, is close to 10 million dollars, and you can see the amount of money that we have if we were looking at 2009-2010 is totally 15 million of net debt dollars and they are asking for 10 million. That would be the case pretty much in 2010 and it would continue that way. That does not include any of these other projects that would have to be included. They did ask and are concerned about gap projects as they talked about in the past. The gap being that there are so many things they cannot get to. What are the projects that they cannot fund.

It is my understanding that the downtown TIF districts are retired somewhere in this period somewhere between 2009-2010 or 2011.

2009, a lot of what are now called common bond fund projects will be decertified, so that is coming and a lot of the debts related to those will be paid off by then.

What does that do to our capacity then to make some adjustments on this schedule?

It depends on how policy makers treat the change and taxability on those properties. The properties come back on the tax rolls, which increases the assessed value upon which we could tax. But whether they choose to raise additional revenue be that or whether they choose to mitigate tax rate or future tax rate increases is kind of the question. There is a lot of options at their discretion at that point.

Gary Schiff: when we crunch the numbers the average benefit for the average valued home in the city of Minneapolis, if we do not raise the taxes that year, could be a \$24 dollar reduction in property taxes for one year, plus the no increase. If we were to increase taxes 2, 4, 6 or 8 % that year it may be indicated and you would see no benefit what so ever. You will receive more tax dollars, but it does not really show up for the residential property base as any serious reduction. We are talking about capitalizing and extending those districts as a potential source of NRP phase II.

I understood the amount of money was substantial, 19 million or 11 million.

Because the CI commercial rates have been depressed so significantly it is no longer a bubble.

If you look on this sheet to finish with the park board, one through twelve would be projects they would be taking to the board of estimate and taxation, instead of CLIC. And 14 and 15 would be coming to CLIC

What governs that, is that by ordinance or charter? They won't be requesting the board of estimate and taxation to review those projects instead of CLIC, what they are wanting to do is request the board of estimate and taxation to increase the levy for capital improvements that they get as a portion of a total of tax levies of the city so that they can do those programs at their own discretion. The board of estimate is not going to review these and then take on the role that CLIC used to play. That's not what will happen. They are just asking for flexibility to have the money to do these things as they see necessary.

In terms of what the library and if that has been the same in terms of their presentation for using referendum dollars and using net debt dollars to fund the libraries, he does not think there has been any change in terms of their presentation of what they are asking for in dollars.

Judith Martin: it looks to her like we are spending a lot of money on sewer and water and when we get to 2011 having spent another \$230,000,000, are we going to be done with the sewer separation, are we going to be done with all of that stuff, are we getting close and where is all of that?

What CLIC has seen this year, we are seeing the in fill and infiltration irregulations coming out of the Metropolitan Council, where supposedly the city's going to get hit with the 7.2 million dollar surcharge with the present fund to 5 million dollars program, to end that infiltration into the sanitary system. They are looking at 2.2 million net gains by funding the 5 million dollar capital program. The key difference that is important to note is enterprise funding, with the rate payers and the property tax payers funding the water projects and sewer projects and storm water for the most part. Ultra filtration membrane filtration was recommended by the water works CAC and implemented by the council and mayor and then the next significant project is to interconnect with the St. Paul regional water services to provide some level of redundancy in our water supply.

Gary Schiff: so far we are showing benefits of lessons we have made to date. The in fill was one of the wettest months we have had to date and we had no combined sewer over flow events at all in the month of April, which was amazing.

Judith Martin: are we getting to the point where we have separation through out the city. Or do we still have a lot combined.

Gary Schiff: The original 30 million dollar project, we should be nearing the end of that.

I would not say never – because we have the deep storm tunnels for which the CLIC committee received a 2004 report for this year, and that is an estimated 30 million dollar program.

Even if it is up?

Yes.

There is some dollars set aside so they can study it, but the problem is that they are trying to prioritize how bad those tunnels are so that we can determine how we have to fix them and we are waiting for that report. We requested last year that we go to the council that they would be done by last year and they still do not have it completed. We are hoping that they have something to give to you. I know they are working on it.

Judith Martin asked who is in charge.

That is the CLIC department itself.

These were not in the original plan with the disconnect and separation?

That is a different program we are looking at. SW005 is the CSO program. And the SW011 is the storm drains and tunnels. 20 miles of deep drainage tunnels and 566 miles of storm drain piping. There was news about one program, Mr. Cervantes indicated that in 2014, that the municipal buildings improvements should be wrapping up. So that shared city county program, that has been running 25 years. Once the municipal building has been upgraded then we should have a respite in those improvements.

Is this room on the list? (Referencing room 220 City Hall).

What is I & I removal? The 25 million dollar program.

That is the infiltration of the storm water into the sanitary system.

So it's another storm sewer separation? Yes.

We do look at the rates. Obviously we are concerned about those rates. And we have requested that it come from the water department and sewer department when we quoted them in the finance department. So there is some responsibility and accountability for how they are determining these projects. Not just let's go do them. We probably have the best water in the entire country. Which is notable since there are other cities; like in the south and northeast, we should be grateful for what we have.

SW035 war department rehab? That actually is the tunnel that is running from Fort Snelling back to the airport.

Is there a connection with the VA hospital as well? Yes. We have requested that they do proceed.

There are two neighborhoods that are serviced by the war department tunnel and the Met airport, the VA and Fort Snelling. And yes it is sort of a place holder but public works assures us that the city needs to put a place holder in the amount of funding in order to be eligible to apply for the 7 million in federal funding. Formal federal asset was given to the city without any money for rehabilitation.

That's 7 million dollars and we only have to put in \$100,000.00.

I think 1.5 million is the estimate. \$100,000.00 is the place holder.

Opened for the public.

Thank you members. I have heard from members of the historic preservation commission that they declared this room a historic landmark in 1965.

Not old enough yet. Not yet.

I have heard rumors in the CLIC process that some members of CLIC are concerned that the library is talking about closing some libraries unless there is no need to fund those libraries. He wants to dispel those rumors. The library board has not made any decision to close any libraries. In fact the library board wants to keep the libraries open that we have got. They are working very hard to do that. The latest TWINS bill that has passed and was signed today actually has some money in it for libraries. 2 million dollars for Hennepin County and Minneapolis.

It won't be signed until 7:00 p.m.

That's right before the Twins game tonight. It will be signed in an hour and a half. Minneapolis has about 1/3 of the residents, so that is about \$600,000.00 at least as a minimum that we would receive. We have worked hard with the Hennepin County commissioners who represent Minneapolis and with our State Legislative delegation, visiting with council members and the mayor to talk about funding. Our intention is that we have libraries for the citizens of Minneapolis. Our answer to this is not to close the libraries but to do a review of the operating budget and include the capital budget and have discussions with the city finance department and work out a solution that is good for Minneapolis. We have also beefed up the Friends of the Minneapolis Library program. They have dramatically increased the amount of funding that they do. They went from a few thousands dollars to multi-million dollar operations, fund raising, and most of that went to the new Central Library which opened last Saturday. But now they are turning their efforts towards programming and doing the dollars there. They are going to work very hard with that, they have a great donor base and we expect some great things from them. In addition, we do not think this is a good time to reduce or eliminate any of the library board's assets. Our citizens, about 1/5 of our citizens, speak a second language and many of them do not speak English at all. We have a historic nature in Minneapolis of working with immigrants to bring them into our community and society and to integrate those people into US citizenship and think that the library has performed a great operation there. It is like the K-12 schools are for the kids and libraries are for the adults in many ways of the education process. We think to postpone capital projects does harm to the buildings that are out there. There are a lot of inefficient plumbing and electrical materials handling systems that are out there. Which we can get great savings on our operating side if we do them on the capital side. For example Nokomis libraries the book chute comes into one end and the librarians are in the middle, it really is an inefficient system. Looking at the new library there is an automated system to scan the bar code to tell you whether it is within our system or not and where it is to go, the Central Library or any other branch library within our system, without touching a human. That frees up a lot of people and money, so doing capital dollars saves us some operating dollars.

Is there a postponement of capital investment in this?

We are in the middle of a 10 year program for capital, that was a 2000 referendum, which passed by 2/3 of the voters. And we have spread out the last 5 years over a 6 year period, because we want to be fiscally prudent and we want to tell CLIC exactly what is going on. We think it is best to do it over a 6 year period instead of 5 and that frees up some dollars for CLIC in other ways. We are in the midst of a one year review of our capital program and we are talking with the City Council and the Mayor about the whole process.

Is this the long range improvement committee as well?

Yes. I think if you support libraries this is a good time to send a message to our council members and our mayor about how strongly you feel about libraries and how important they are and to fully front our capital requests sends that message.

What parts of these would you have moved up?

We are not asking for any of these to be moved up. I just heard rumors from people that some CLIC members had concerns about doing some libraries that they think might eventually be closed.

We gave this to CLIC and adjusted it from 5 to 6 years.

Will the central library ever be opened on Sunday?

If the operating dollars are there, yes. Our effort is to prudently use our operating resources by having them open six days a week and having the things in there that we need. The acquisitions budget is about ½ of what should be according to national norms. About 1/3 of what Hennepin County is. There are lots of things we would like to do.

Kit Richardson: is there anything in this budget that anticipates the Twins stadium in the North Loop?

No.

The bike trail that passes by Cedar.

Yes we have anticipated that. Since that is in the out year that could be a possibility.

For that it is a minor part. There are a lot of Federal dollars related to all bike projects. For all the bike projects, probably 19 million dollars is Federal money. About 2 million for all the projects for city money in that 5 year period.

I want to come ahead and speak for the Longfellow community council with the infrastructure investment of LRT and the upcoming Midtown Greenway; we are going to be seeing a lot development. Strategic to public works investments are going to be critical in getting people across Hiawatha and to these new developments. Just the tip of the iceberg.

The LRT TOD improvements TR014 and also the 9<sup>th</sup> Ave extension PV005, they are not the biggest numbers in here. But they are critical to having the improvements that we need so that these developments go forward. The traffic circulation works and that there is good access for pedestrians and bicyclists. Longfellow Park is also in here for some major improvements as well. And as population grows within the communities at these developments and elsewhere it will be critical to have amenities and good parks for the residents and others that come to the community to access.

Jill Davis from the Waite Park community council.

Gary Hanson we are here because Joe Stratton got NRP to send out a letter to all the neighborhoods about this process. We are thankful for that. As individuals we strongly support the \$120,000 for 2007 for the tennis courts. \$700,000 for 2010 for center rehab/shelter/wading pool/tot lot and inside improvements and the new structure that the park board has, doing the whole park all at once, is working here for 2010. It seems like this due process is working real well. I'm in favor of that.

Jill Davis in general the Waite Park is a school park complex and heavily utilized. One of the highest, in terms of Park Board participation in this city, with lots of kids and families who hang out at our park all day everyday. Our tennis courts boarder our basketball courts. Our basketball court is not too bad. But our tennis courts are actually a safety hazard at this point. I do use the tennis courts every year. We won't be using them this year because they are in such bad shape as to be unusable. They have not been renewed in a long time. The community council has invested heavily in our park with NRP money in the past. We want to make sure our park stays usable and impacts the quality and livability of our neighborhood and we want to see that continue.

Judith Martin: so the tennis court rehab money that is in here, which is part of what you are talking about?

Ms. Davis: yes.

Mr. Hanson: the \$120,000 is to tear it all up and build brand new.

Ms. Davis: it is in very tough shape.

The public hearing was closed.

Mr. Schiff: stated that he knows that at these committee of the whole meetings that we have been burdened by simple requests that are required to come by city's planning commission; like land sales and we wonder what are we doing here looking at these. And we have tried to take things off our agenda that would just seem like rag tag. There is one element of city place making and urban planning that we have never had a say in and that I think we should advocate adding to our agenda because it is a sizable chunk and that is the street paving and the public works projects. The design of city roads and the question of sidewalk widths and the question of whether or not there is a boulevard. All of this, as he has seen so many

road projects, about 2 a year come to the city council, and contracting that process, the average term of a city council member is 8 years. And during that we have brought on 16 different road designs. And when you start off you have no idea. There is no preparation. No civil engineers on the city council. As public works does a great job with citizen engagement. The whole planning aspect is left to the side. As a result, in his district, 38<sup>th</sup> Street is a community corridor, Lake Street is a commercial corridor. The lanes driving are wider on 38th Street then they are on Lake Street. This is a planning error, one that I had no idea what I was doing on my first year on the council because I did not know about state variances, or about roadway, or city driving lanes. And public works was not to keen on sharing information. I think the design of these roads should come before the planning commission, preferably in the committee of the whole process, so that we could be briefed and we could start too. Neighbors are over whelmed. People go through one design as a resident once in their lifetime. They have no idea what they are voting on. They have no idea of the options. They have no idea of the different decisions that can be made. I think that this is something that the planning commission can develop an expertise with over time. That really affects the speed of traffic in neighborhoods, whether or not there is a safe pedestrian environment, how businesses eventually interact. There are a lot of places right now where we have narrow sidewalks that the community had hoped that when a building gets built that the building gets set back so they would get adequate sidewalk width. And that knowledge will be lost over time. We just can build that institutional knowledge it has to be planned for.

Council Member Schiff made a **motion** that street paving projects are brought before the planning commission in committee of the whole for discussion. Commissioner Martin **Seconded** the motion.

Do we do this on a project by project basis or do we establish a set of guidelines?

Council Member Schiff responded that we could pick and choose the ones that we want to see, the alley renovations, that we have no comment on. But some of the major roads that are being reconstructed we would probably want to see because they will affect development in the future.

Staffing for this would be from public works?

CM Schiff responded yes public works project engineers would have, that is the extra burden we are putting on the organization. One more meeting for those project engineers.

To get the bonds issued for these roadway projects, don't they have to come through location and design review that comes through the planning commission.

Judy Martin responded not anymore.

When did that change?

Well we review them periodically but we get them as a big block early on. As a pro forma exercise.

Judy Martin: it's location it's never design.

It often happens before any engineering or design work has been done at any level of detail. We are just asked to say okay we are going to redo this street and we have no idea what the street is going to look like.

At what point in the planning process would you suggest the planning commission look at this, because it's either too early or too late.

Could we put this on our agenda for a subsequent committee of the whole meeting – to talk about how and at what point to deal with this issue.

Judy Martin a big chunk of the street paving stuff is actually going for something called the University Research Park?

We should take that out I think?

The public CLIC meeting was then closed.

Note: Substance of comments at hearing is reflected, with only minor modifications for clarity and consistency.