

---

# POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Case Summary Data #4

March 2015

---

## OVERVIEW

Complainant states she was about to cross the street with her infant children. Complainant alleges that squad 1 was driving and did not stop at the stop sign. According to the Complainant, the squad did not have lights activated.

## THE COMPLAINT

1. 7 -401- Normal Vehicle Operation: Squad 1 was driving and did not stop at the stop sign.

## THE OPCR AND MPD POLICIES

1. OPCR Ord. § 172.20(8) – Violation of the P&P Manual.
2. MPD P&P § 7 -401- Normal Vehicle Operation.

## COMPLAINT PROCESSING

A complaint was filed online. The complaint underwent intake investigation, and was then reviewed by the joint supervisors. The joint supervisors determined that the complaint should be sent to the precinct for coaching. Completed and approved coaching documents were returned to OPCR.

## EVIDENCE

1. An online complaint was filed.
2. VisiNet GPS was obtained for squad 1.
3. A VisiNet incident report was obtained.

## SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

### Complaint

An online complaint was filed detailing the allegations. The Complainant alleged she was about to cross the street with her infant children when squad 1 rolled through a stop sign.

### VisiNet GPS

GPS indicated that squad 1 was at the location at the approximate time the Complainant alleged in her complaint. Squad 1 was going 17 mph at the location.

## **VisiNet Report**

A VisiNet Report indicates that Officer 1 and Officer 2 were assigned to squad 1, and were responding to an emotionally disturbed person call during the time of the incident. The report states that Officer 1 and Officer 2 transported an individual for a mental health evaluation.

## **COACHING**

### **Coaching Documents**

Coaching documents were sent to the precinct. The documents indicate that Supervisor met with Officer 1. Officer 1 stated he remembered the incident, and Officer 2 was the driver. Supervisor met with Officer 2 about the incident. Officer 2 stated that he did not remember the incident, and wanted Supervisor to pass on his apologies to the Complainant.

Supervisor contacted Complainant about the incident. The Complainant stated she was waiting to cross the street with her twin sons when she observed squad 1 fail to make a complete stop at the stop sign. Complainant stated she was upset because she felt squad 1 failed to follow a traffic law. The Supervisor told Complainant, about Officer 2's apology. The Complainant accepted Officer 2's apology.

The Supervisor indicated on the coaching documents that Officer 1 did not commit a policy violation, and was not coached. Officer 2 did commit a policy violation, and was coached on his driving.